

VECTOR BUNDLES AND $SO(3)$ -INVARIANTS
FOR ELLIPTIC SURFACES III:
THE CASE OF ODD FIBER DEGREE

ROBERT FRIEDMAN

Introduction.

Let S be a simply connected elliptic surface with at most two multiple fibers, of multiplicities m_1 and m_2 , where one or both of the m_i are allowed to be 1. In this paper, the last of a series of three, we shall study stable rank two vector bundles V on S such that $\det V \cdot f$ is odd, where f is a general fiber of S . Thus necessarily the multiplicities m_1 and m_2 are odd as well. Bundles V such that $\det(V|f)$ has even degree for a general fiber f have been studied extensively [3], [4, Part II], and as we shall see the case of odd fiber degree is fundamentally different. Thus we shall have to develop the analysis of the relevant vector bundles from scratch, and the results in this paper are for the most part independent of those in [3] and [4]. Our goal in this paper is to give a description of the moduli space of stable rank two bundles with odd fiber degree and then to use this information to calculate certain Donaldson polynomials. Before stating our main result, recall that, for an elliptic surface S , $J^d(S)$ denotes the elliptic surface whose general fiber is the set of line bundles of degree d on the general fiber of S . We shall prove the following two theorems:

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathfrak{M}_t$ be the moduli space of stable rank two bundles V on S (with respect to a suitable ample line bundle) with $\det V \cdot f = 2e + 1$ and $4c_2(V) - c_1^2(V) - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2t$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_t$ is smooth and irreducible and is birational to $\text{Sym}^t J^{e+1}(S)$.*

Theorem 2. *Let γ_t be the Donaldson polynomial of degree $2t$ corresponding to the choice of moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_t$. Let $\kappa \in H_2(S; \mathbb{Z})$ be the primitive element such that $m_1 m_2 \kappa = f$. Then, for all $\Sigma \in H_2(S)$,*

- (i) $\gamma_0 = 1$.
- (ii) $\gamma_1(\Sigma, \Sigma) = (\Sigma^2) + ((m_1^2 m_2^2)(p_g(S) + 1) - m_1^2 - m_2^2)(\Sigma \cdot \kappa)^2$.
- (iii) $\gamma_2(S)(\Sigma, \Sigma, \Sigma, \Sigma) = 3(\Sigma^2)^2 + 6C_1(\Sigma^2)(\Sigma \cdot \kappa)^2 + (3C_1^2 - 2C_2)(\Sigma \cdot \kappa)^4$, where

$$C_1 = (m_1^2 m_2^2)(p_g(S) + 1) - m_1^2 - m_2^2;$$

$$C_2 = (m_1^4 m_2^4)(p_g(S) + 1) - m_1^4 - m_2^4.$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14J60, 57R55 Secondary 14D20, 14F05, 14J27.

Research partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9203940

Let us outline the basic ideas behind the proof of Theorem 1. Standard arguments show that, for a suitable choice of an ample line bundle L on S , a rank two vector bundle V with $c_1(V) \cdot f = 2e + 1$ is L -stable if and only if its restriction to a general fiber f is stable. A pleasant consequence of the assumption of odd fiber degree is that there is a unique stable bundle of a given determinant of odd degree on each smooth fiber f . Using this, it is easy to show that there exists a rank two vector bundle V_0 whose restriction to *every* fiber f is stable, and that V_0 is unique up to twisting by a line bundle. The bundle V_0 is the progenitor of all stable bundles on S , in the sense that every stable rank two vector bundle is obtained from V_0 by making elementary modifications along fibers. Generically, this involves choosing t smooth fibers f_i and line bundles λ_i of degree $e + 1$ on f_i . These choices define the birational isomorphism from the moduli space to $\text{Sym}^t J^{e+1}(S)$.

Given the above analysis of stable bundles, the main problem in computing Donaldson polynomials is to fit together all of the various possible descriptions of stable bundles into a universal family whose Chern classes can be calculated. This is easier said than done! Even in the case where S has a section, the construction of the universal bundle for the four-dimensional moduli space, which just involves well-known techniques of extensions and elementary modifications, is already quite involved. We shall therefore proceed differently, and try to describe the moduli spaces and Chern classes involved up to contributions which only depend on the analytic type of a neighborhood of the multiple fibers. But we shall not try to analyze these contributions explicitly. Instead we shall repeatedly use the fact that an elliptic surface with $p_g = 0$ and just one multiple fiber is a rational surface, and thus its Donaldson polynomials are the same as those for an elliptic surface with $p_g = 0$ and with a section, or equivalently no multiple fibers. Thus if we know these, we can try to interpolate this knowledge into the general case. We shall use this idea twice. The first application will be to calculate the invariant γ_1 . Here the moduli space is $J^{e+1}(S)$ and a lengthy calculation with the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem identifies the divisor corresponding to the μ -map up to a rational multiple of the fiber, which depends only on the multiplicities. Appealing to the knowledge of the invariant for a rational surface enables us to determine this multiple. Of course, it is likely that the exact multiple could also be determined by a direct calculation. In order to calculate the polynomial γ_2 , we shall use a variant of this idea. In this case, the divisor corresponding to the μ -map is essentially known from the corresponding calculation in the case of γ_1 . However what changes is the moduli space itself: the presence of multiple fibers means that the birational map from the moduli space to $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ is not a morphism, and the actual moduli space differs from $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ in codimension two. Thus while the divisors are known, their top self-intersection is not. Again using the rational elliptic surfaces, we are able to determine the discrepancy between the self-intersection of the μ -divisors in $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ and in the actual moduli space. The methods used here are in a certain sense the analogue in algebraic geometry of gluing techniques for ASD connections.

Although the actual arguments are rather involved, the main point to emphasize here is that the coefficients of the Donaldson polynomial are quite formally determined by the knowledge of the polynomial for a rational surface. It is natural to wonder if the techniques in this paper can be pushed further to determine γ_t for all t . I believe that this should be possible, although one necessary and so far missing ingredient in this approach is the knowledge of the multiplication table for divisors

in $\mathrm{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$.

Here is a rapid description of the contents of the paper. In Section 1 we describe some general results on rank two vector bundles on an elliptic curve. In Section 2 these results are extended to cover the case of an irreducible nodal curve of arithmetic genus one. In Section 3 we give the classification of stable bundles on an elliptic surface S and prove Theorem 1. In Section 4 we specialize to the case of a surface with a section. Our purpose here is twofold: First, we would like to show how many of the results of the preceding section take a very concrete form in this case. Secondly, we shall make a model for a piece of the four-dimensional moduli space which we shall need to use later. In Section 5 we calculate the two-dimensional invariant γ_1 in case S has a section. This calculation has already been done by a different method in Section 4 and will be redone in full generality. However it seemed worthwhile to do this special case in order to make the general calculation more transparent. The next three sections are devoted to calculating γ_1 in general. The outline of the argument is given in Section 6. We construct a coherent sheaf which is an approximation to the universal bundle over the moduli space, over a branched cover T of S . We determine its Chern classes via a lengthy calculation using the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem, which is given in Section 7. The necessary correction terms are identified via the results in Section 8. In Sections 9 and 10 we deal with the invariant γ_2 . Once again the outline of the calculation is given first and the technical details are postponed to Section 10. The paper concludes with an appendix which collects some general results about elementary modifications.

Notation, conventions, and preliminaries.

All spaces are over $\mathbb{C}$, all sheaves are coherent sheaves in the classical topology unless otherwise specified. We do not distinguish between a vector bundle and its locally free sheaf of sections. Given a subvariety Y of a compact complex manifold X , we denote the associated cohomology class by $[Y]$.

If V is a rank two vector bundle on a complex manifold or smooth scheme X , we shall frequently need to consider the first Pontrjagin class of $\mathrm{ad} V$, which is $c_1^2(V) - 4c_2(V)$. We will denote this expression by $p_1(\mathrm{ad} V)$. We shall occasionally and incorrectly use the shorthand $p_1(\mathrm{ad} V)$, for an arbitrary coherent sheaf V , to denote $c_1^2(V) - 4c_2(V)$.

Given a vector bundle V , we shall need to know how $p_1(\mathrm{ad} V)$ changes under elementary modifications. Recall that an elementary modification is defined as follows. Let X be a smooth scheme and let D be an effective divisor on X , not necessarily smooth, with $i: D \rightarrow X$ the inclusion. Let L be a line bundle on D . Then i_*L is a coherent sheaf on X , which we shall frequently just denote by L . Suppose that V_0 is a rank two vector bundle on X and that $V_0 \rightarrow i_*L$ is a surjective homomorphism. Let V be the kernel of the map $V_0 \rightarrow i_*L$. Then V is again a rank two vector bundle on X (and in particular it is locally free). We call V an *elementary modification* of V_0 . The change in p_1 is given as follows:

Lemma 0.1. *Let X be a smooth scheme and let D be an effective divisor on X , not necessarily smooth. Let L be a line bundle on D and V_0 a rank two vector bundle, and suppose that there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow i_*L \rightarrow 0,$$

where $i: D \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion. Then

$$p_1(\text{ad } V) - p_1(\text{ad } V_0) = 2c_1(V_0) \cdot [D] + [D]^2 - 4i_*c_1(L).$$

Proof. The proof follows easily from standard formulas for $c_1(V)$ and $c_2(V)$, cf. [7] or [5]. $\square$

Next we will recall some properties of the scheme $\text{Hilb}^2 S$, where S is an algebraic surface. In general, we denote by $\text{Hilb}^n S$ the smooth projective scheme parametrizing 0-dimensional subschemes of S of length n . There is a universal codimension two subscheme $\mathcal{Z} \subset S \times \text{Hilb}^n S$. We may describe the case $n = 2$ quite explicitly. Let $\tilde{H}$ be the blowup of $S \times S$ along the diagonal $\mathbb{D}$ and let $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ be the exceptional divisor. There is an involution ι of $\tilde{H}$ whose fixed set is $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$. We claim that the quotient $\tilde{H}/\iota$ is naturally $\text{Hilb}^2 S$. Indeed, if $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{12}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{13}$ are the proper transforms in $S \times \tilde{H}$ of the subsets

$$\mathbb{D}_{1j} = \{p \in S \times S \times S \mid \pi_1(p) = \pi_j(p)\},$$

then $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} = \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{12} + \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{13}$ is a codimension two subscheme of $S \times \tilde{H}$ which is easily seen to be a local complete intersection. Thus it defines a flat family of subschemes of S and so a morphism $\pi: \tilde{H} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^2 S$. It is easy to see that the induced morphism $\tilde{H}/\iota \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^2 S$ is an isomorphism. The projection $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^2 S$ is a double cover which identifies $\mathcal{Z}$ with $\tilde{H}$.

Given $\alpha \in H_2(S)$, we can define the element $D_\alpha \in H^2(\text{Hilb}^2 S)$ by taking slant product with $[\mathcal{Z}] \in H^4(S \times \text{Hilb}^2 S)$. If for example $\alpha = [C]$ where C is an irreducible curve on S , then D_α is represented by the effective divisor consisting of pairs $\{x, y\}$ of points of S such that either x or y lies on C . The inverse image $\pi^*D_\alpha \in H^2(\tilde{H})$ is the pullback of the class $1 \otimes \alpha + \alpha \otimes 1 \in H^2(S \times S)$. There is also the class in $H^2(\text{Hilb}^2 S)$ represented by the divisor E of subschemes of S whose support is a single point. Since π is branched over E , the class $[E]$ is divisible by 2 and $\pi^*[E] = 2\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$. Using this it is easy to check that the map $\alpha \mapsto D_\alpha$ defines an injection $H_2(S) \rightarrow H^2(\text{Hilb}^2 S)$ and that $H^2(\text{Hilb}^2 S) = H_2(S) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot [E/2]$. Finally the multiplication table in $H^2(\text{Hilb}^2 S)$ can be determined from the fact that $\tilde{H}$ is the blowup of $S \times S$ along the diagonal and that the normal bundle of the diagonal in $S \times S$ is the tangent bundle of S : we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha^4 &= 3(\alpha^2)^2; & D_\alpha^3 \cdot E &= 0; & D_\alpha^2 \cdot E^2 &= -8(\alpha^2); \\ D_\alpha \cdot E^3 &= -8(c_1(S) \cdot \alpha); & E^4 &= 8(c_2(S) - c_1(S)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we need to say a few words about calculating Donaldson polynomials. Let M be a closed oriented simply connected 4-manifold with a generic Riemannian metric g , and let P be a principal $SO(3)$ -bundle over M with invariants $w_2(P) = w$ and $p_1(P) = p$. There is a Donaldson polynomial $\gamma_{w,p}(S)$ defined via the moduli space of g -ASD connections on P , together with a choice of orientation for this space. If $b_2^+(M) > 1$, then this polynomial is independent of g , whereas if $b_2^+(M) = 1$ then it only depends on a certain chamber in the positive cone of $H^2(M; \mathbb{R})$. If $M = S$ is a complex surface, Δ is a holomorphic line bundle such that $w = c_1(\Delta)$ mod 2 and g is a Hodge metric corresponding to an ample line bundle L , there is a

diffeomorphism of real analytic spaces from the moduli space of g -ASD connections on P to the moduli space of L -stable rank two vector bundles V on S with $c_1(V) = \Delta$ and $c_2(V) = (\Delta^2 - p)/4$. We denote this moduli space for the moment by $\mathfrak{M}$. We shall always choose the orientation of the moduli space of g -ASD connections which agrees with the natural complex orientation of $\mathfrak{M}$.

If $\mathfrak{M}$ is smooth, compact, and of real dimension $2d$ and there is a universal bundle $\mathcal{V}$ over $S \times \mathfrak{M}$, then slant product with $-p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V})/4$ defines a homomorphism μ from $H_2(S)$ to $H^2(\mathfrak{M})$. In general we can define the holomorphic vector bundle $\text{ad } \mathcal{V}$ even when the universal bundle $\mathcal{V}$ does not exist. To see this, note that there is always a universal $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle $\pi: \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow S \times \mathfrak{M}$, and taking π_* of the relative tangent bundle gives $\text{ad } \mathcal{V}$. Thus given a class $\Sigma \in H_2(S)$, we can evaluate $\mu(\Sigma)^d$ on the fundamental class of $\mathfrak{M}$ and this gives the value $\gamma_{w,p}(\Sigma, \dots, \Sigma)$. For the applications in this paper, since the moduli spaces always have the correct dimension and in particular are empty if $-p - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) < 0$, the moduli spaces of complex dimension zero and two are compact. For the four-dimensional moduli space, we can calculate $\gamma_{w,p}$ by choosing an appropriate compactification of $\mathfrak{M}$. For the purposes of gauge theory, there is the Uhlenbeck compactification. For the purposes of algebraic geometry, there is the Gieseker compactification $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$. Following O'Grady [11], the divisors $\mu(\Sigma)$ extend naturally to divisors $\nu(\Sigma)$ on $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$, which we shall continue to denote by $\mu(\Sigma)$. If there is a universal sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ on the Gieseker compactification, then the μ -map is again defined by taking slant product with $-p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V})/4$. In general, for holomorphic curves Σ (which would suffice for the applications in this paper) we can use determinant line bundles on the moduli functor. For a general $\Sigma \in H_2(S)$, we can define $\mu(\Sigma)$ for the moduli spaces that arise in this paper (where there are no strictly semistable sheaves) as follows: there exists a universal coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ over $S \times U$, where U is the open subset of an appropriate Quot scheme corresponding to stable torsion free sheaves with the appropriate Chern classes. Thus we can define an element of $H^2(U)$ by taking slant product with $p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{E})$. As $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$ is a quotient of U by a free action of $PGL(N)$ for some N , $H^2(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}) \cong H^2(U)$, and this defines $\mu(\Sigma)$ in general.

We can now evaluate $\mu(\Sigma)^d$ on the fundamental class of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}$. By recent results of Li [9] and Morgan [10] the result is again $\gamma_{w,p}$. Strictly speaking, their results are stated with certain extra assumptions. However, the cases we will need in this paper involve the following situation: all moduli spaces are smooth of the expected dimension and there are no strictly semistable torsion free sheaves. Under these assumptions, the proofs in e.g. [10] go over essentially unchanged.

1. Review of results on vector bundles over elliptic curves.

We recall the following well-known result of Atiyah [1]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let V be a rank two vector bundle over a smooth curve C of genus 1. Then exactly one of the following holds:*

- (i) V is a direct sum of line bundles;
- (ii) V is of the form $\mathcal{E} \otimes L$, where L is a line bundle on C and $\mathcal{E}$ is the (unique) extension of $\mathcal{O}_C$ by $\mathcal{O}_C$ which does not split into the direct sum $\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$;
- (iii) V is of the form $\mathcal{F}_p \otimes L$, where L is a line bundle on C , $p \in C$, and $\mathcal{F}_p$ is the unique nonsplit extension of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(p) \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

We shall not prove (1.1) but shall instead prove the analogous statement in the slightly more complicated case of a singular curve in Section 2.

Corollary 1.2. *Let V be a stable rank two bundle over a smooth curve C of genus 1. Then $\deg V$ is odd, say $\deg V = 2e + 1$. Moreover, for every line bundle λ of degree $e + 1$ we have $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) = 1$, $H^1(V^\vee \otimes \lambda) = 0$, and there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow V \rightarrow \lambda \rightarrow 0,$$

where μ is a line bundle of degree e on C , uniquely determined by the isomorphism

$$\mu \otimes \lambda = \det V,$$

and the surjection $V \rightarrow \lambda$ is unique mod scalars.

Proof. Clearly, if V is stable we must be in case (iii) of the theorem. Conversely, suppose that V is as in (iii). We shall show that V is stable. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{F}_p$ is stable. Let M be a line bundle on C of degree at least $\det \mathcal{F}_p/2 = 1/2$ such that there is a nonzero map $M \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_p$. Clearly $\deg M \leq 1$ and $\deg M = 1$ if and only if $M = \mathcal{O}_C(p)$. Since $\deg M \geq 1/2$, $\deg M = 1$ and $M = \mathcal{O}_C(p)$. But then $\mathcal{F}_p$ is the split extension, contradicting the definition of $\mathcal{F}_p$. Thus $\mathcal{F}_p$ is stable.

Now let V be a stable bundle of degree $2e + 1$, so that there exists a line bundle L of degree e on C with $V = \mathcal{F}_p \otimes L$. Then, if λ is a line bundle of degree $e + 1$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(L \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p), \lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(L, \lambda) \rightarrow H^1(\lambda \otimes L^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p)).$$

If $\lambda = L \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p)$, then by assumption there exists a surjection $V \rightarrow \lambda$. If φ_1 and φ_2 are two nonzero maps from V to λ , then for every $p \in C$ there is a scalar c such that $\varphi_1 - c\varphi_2$ vanishes at p , and thus defines a map $V \rightarrow \lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p)$. By stability this map must be zero, so that $\varphi_1 = c\varphi_2$. Thus the surjection is unique mod scalars.

If $\lambda \neq L \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p)$, then $\lambda \otimes L^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p)$ is a line bundle of degree zero on C which is not trivial. Hence $H^1(\lambda \otimes L^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p)) = 0$, and $\operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(L, \lambda)$. Moreover $\operatorname{Hom}(L, \lambda) = H^0(L^{-1} \otimes \lambda)$ has dimension one since $\deg(L^{-1} \otimes \lambda) = 1$. Thus there is a nontrivial map $V \rightarrow \lambda$, which is unique mod scalars. If it is not surjective, there is a factorization $V \rightarrow \lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-q) \subset \lambda$, and this contradicts the stability of V . Lastly we see that $H^1(V^\vee \otimes \lambda) \cong H^1(L^{-1} \otimes \lambda)$, and this last group is zero since $\deg(L^{-1} \otimes \lambda) = 1$. $\square$

We can generalize the last statement of (1.2) as follows.

Lemma 1.3. *Let C be a smooth curve of genus one.*

- (i) *Let V be a stable rank two vector bundle over C and suppose that $\deg V = 2e + 1$. Let $d \geq e + 1$, and let λ be a line bundle on V of degree d . Then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) = 2d - 2e - 1$, and there exists a surjection from V to λ . Conversely, with V as above, let λ be a line bundle such that there exists a nonzero map from V to λ . Then $\deg \lambda \geq e + 1$.*
- (ii) *Suppose that $V = L_1 \oplus L_2$ is a direct sum of line bundles L_i with $\deg V = 2e + 1$ and $\deg L_1 \leq e < \deg L_2$. Let λ be a line bundle on C with $d = \deg \lambda > \deg L_2$. Then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) = 2d - 2e - 1$, and there exists a surjection from V to λ . Conversely, if λ is a line bundle and there exists a surjection from $L_1 \oplus L_2$ to λ , then either $\deg \lambda > \deg L_2$ or $\lambda = L_2$ or $\lambda = L_1$. If $\lambda = L_2$, then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) = 2d - 2e$, where $d = \deg L_2 = \deg \lambda$.*

Proof. We shall just prove (i), as the proof of (ii) is simpler. Let λ be a line bundle on C of degree $d \geq e + 1$. We may assume that $\deg \lambda > e + 1$, the case $\deg \lambda = e + 1$ having been dealt with in (1.2). There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow V \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\deg L_1 = e$ and $\deg L_2 = e + 1$. Thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(L_2^{-1} \otimes \lambda) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, \lambda) \rightarrow H^0(L_1^{-1} \otimes \lambda) \rightarrow H^1(L_2^{-1} \otimes \lambda).$$

We have $\deg(L_1^{-1} \otimes \lambda) = d - e > 0$ and $\deg(L_2^{-1} \otimes \lambda) = d - e - 1 > 0$. Thus $H^1(L_2^{-1} \otimes \lambda) = 0$, $\dim H^0(L_1^{-1} \otimes \lambda) = d - e$, and $\dim H^0(L_2^{-1} \otimes \lambda) = d - e - 1$. So $\dim \text{Hom}(V, \lambda) = 2d - 2e - 1$. To see the last statement, let Y be the set of elements φ of $\text{Hom}(V, \lambda)$ such that φ is not surjective. Then Y is the union over $x \in C$ of the spaces $\text{Hom}(V, \lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-x))$, each of which has dimension at most $2d - 2e - 3$. So the dimension of Y is at most $2d - 2e - 2$. Thus $\text{Hom}(V, \lambda) - Y$ is nonempty, and every $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(V, \lambda) - Y$ is a surjection. The final statement of (i) is then an immediate consequence of the stability of V . $\square$

For future use let us also record the following lemmas:

Lemma 1.4. *Let C be a smooth curve of genus one and let ξ be a line bundle on C of degree zero such that $\xi^{\otimes 2} \neq 0$. Let V be a stable rank two vector bundle on C . Then $\text{Hom}(V, V \otimes \xi) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\deg V = \deg(V \otimes \xi)$ and both are stable, a nonzero map between them must be an isomorphism, by standard results on stable bundles. However $\det(V \otimes \xi) = \det V \otimes \xi^{\otimes 2} \neq \det V$, and so the bundles cannot be isomorphic. Thus there is no nonzero map from V to $V \otimes \xi$. $\square$

Corollary 1.5. *Let $\mathbf{F} \subset X$ be a scheme-theoretic multiple fiber of odd multiplicity m of an elliptic surface, and let F be the reduction of $\mathbf{F}$. Let $\mathbf{V}$ be a rank two vector bundle on $\mathbf{F}$ whose restriction V to F is stable. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}) = 1$ and every nonzero map from $\mathbf{V}$ to itself is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let ξ be the normal bundle of F in X . Thus ξ has order m . For $a > 0$, let aF denote the subscheme of X defined by the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(-aF)$. Thus $\mathbf{F} = mF$ and there is in general an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \xi^{-a} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(a+1)F} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{aF} \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensor the above exact sequence by $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}) = \mathbf{V}^{\vee} \otimes \mathbf{V}$ and take global sections. This gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, V \otimes \xi^{-a}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}|(a+1)F, \mathbf{V}|(a+1)F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}|aF, \mathbf{V}|aF).$$

For $a = 1$ we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}|F, \mathbf{V}|F) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}(V, V) = 1$. For $1 \leq a \leq m - 1$, ξ^{-a} is a nontrivial line bundle of odd order. Thus by (1.4) $\text{Hom}(V, V \otimes \xi^{-a}) = 0$. It follows that the map $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}|(a+1)F, \mathbf{V}|(a+1)F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}|aF, \mathbf{V}|aF)$ is an injection, so that by induction $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}|(a+1)F, \mathbf{V}|(a+1)F) \leq 1$. On the other hand multiplication by an element of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{(a+1)F}) = \mathbb{C}$ defines a nonzero element of $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}|(a+1)F, \mathbf{V}|(a+1)F)$. Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}|(a+1)F, \mathbf{V}|(a+1)F) = 1$ for all $a \leq m - 1$, and in particular $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}(\mathbf{V}|mF, \mathbf{V}|mF) = 1$. $\square$

2. The case of a singular curve.

Our goal in this section will be to show that the statements of the previous section hold for rank two vector bundles on singular nodal curves C . Let C be an irreducible curve of arithmetic genus one, which has one node p as a singularity. Locally analytically, then, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,p} \cong \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]/(xy)$. Let $a: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ be the normalization map, and let p_1 and p_2 be the preimages of the singular point on $\tilde{C}$. We begin by giving a preliminary discussion concerning torsion free sheaves on C .

Definition 2.1. A *torsion free rank one sheaf* on C is a coherent sheaf which has rank one at the generic point of C and has no local sections vanishing on an open set. It is well known that every torsion free rank one sheaf on C is either a line bundle or of the form a_*L , where L is a line bundle on $\tilde{C}$. For example, the maximal ideal sheaf of the singular point p of C is a_*L , where $L = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-p_1 - p_2)$, where p_1 and p_2 are the preimages of p in $\tilde{C}$. Here the line bundle L has degree -2 on $\tilde{C}$. We define the *degree* of a torsion free rank one sheaf F on C by $\deg F = \chi(F)$. By the Riemann-Roch theorem on C , $\deg F$ is the usual degree in case F is a line bundle, whereas for $F = a_*L$, an easy calculation shows that $\chi(F) = \deg L + 1$. (Note that, in case $p_a(C)$ is arbitrary, we would have to correct by a term $p_a(C) - 1$, which is zero in our case, to get the usual answer for a line bundle.) It is easy to check that, if F is a rank one torsion free sheaf on C and L is a line bundle, then $\deg(F \otimes L) = \deg F + \deg L$.

Lemma 2.2. *If F_1 and F_2 are torsion free rank one sheaves on C , then so is $\text{Hom}(F_1, F_2)$, and*

$$\deg \text{Hom}(F_1, F_2) = \begin{cases} \deg F_2 - \deg F_1, & \text{if one of the } F_i \text{ is a line bundle} \\ \deg F_2 - \deg F_1 + 1, & \text{if neither } F_1 \text{ nor } F_2 \text{ is a line bundle.} \end{cases}$$

Finally if $\deg F_2 > \deg F_1$ and neither is a line bundle, then the natural map from $\text{Hom}(F_1, F_2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ to $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{C,p}}(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)$ is surjective, where $\mathfrak{m}_p$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}$.

Proof. The proof is clear if F_1 is a line bundle. Thus we may assume that F_1 is of the form a_*L for a line bundle L on $\tilde{C}$. First assume that F_2 is a line bundle. An easy calculation shows that $\text{Hom}(F_1, F_2) = a_*(L^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-p_1 - p_2)) \otimes F_2$. This is just the local calculation $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{C,p}}(a_*\widetilde{\mathcal{O}_{C,p}}, \mathcal{O}_{C,p}) = \mathfrak{m}_p$, where $\mathfrak{m}_p$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{C,p}$ and the isomorphism is canonical. Thus $\text{Hom}(F_1, F_2)$ is again a torsion free rank one sheaf and

$$\deg \text{Hom}(F_1, F_2) = -\deg L + 1 - 2 + \deg F_2 = \deg F_2 - \deg F_1.$$

Now assume that $F_1 = a_*L_1$ and $F_2 = a_*L_2$. Again using a local calculation $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{C,p}}(a_*\widetilde{\mathcal{O}_{C,p}}, a_*\widetilde{\mathcal{O}_{C,p}}) = a_*\widetilde{\mathcal{O}_{C,p}}$, where the isomorphism is also canonical, it is easy to check that $\text{Hom}(a_*L_1, a_*L_2) = a_*(L_1^{-1} \otimes L_2)$, and so $\text{Hom}(F_1, F_2)$ is again a torsion free rank one sheaf. Moreover

$$\deg \text{Hom}(F_1, F_2) = \deg L_2 - \deg L_1 + 1 = \deg F_2 - \deg F_1 + 1.$$

To see the final statement, again writing $F_1 = a_*L_1$ and $F_2 = a_*L_2$, we have $\text{Hom}(a_*L_1, a_*L_2) = a_*(L_1^{-1} \otimes L_2)$. Moreover the global sections of $L_1^{-1} \otimes L_2$ separate the points p_1 and p_2 . It is then easy to see that the map $\text{Hom}(F_1, F_2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C,p} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{C,p}}(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p) = \widetilde{\mathcal{O}_{C,p}}$ is surjective. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *Let F be a torsion free rank one sheaf on C . If $\deg F > 0$, or if $\deg F = 0$ and F is not trivial, then $h^0(F) = \deg F$ and $h^1(F) = 0$. If $\deg F < 0$, or if $\deg F = 0$ and $F \neq \mathcal{O}_C$, then $h^0(F) = 0$ and $h^1(F) = \deg F$.*

Proof. If $\deg F \geq 0$ and F is not $\mathcal{O}_C$, then the claim that $h^0(F) = \deg F$ is clear if F is a line bundle and follows from $h^0(a_*L) = \deg L + 1$ in case L is a line bundle of degree at least -1 on $\tilde{C} \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. In this case, since by definition $\deg F = \chi(F) = h^0(F)$, we must have $h^1(F) = 0$. The proof of the second statement is similar. $\square$

Next let us consider extensions of torsion free sheaves. The maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_p$ has the following local resolution, where we set $R = \mathcal{O}_{C,p}$:

$$\cdots \rightarrow R \oplus R \rightarrow R \oplus R \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_p \rightarrow 0,$$

where the maps $R \oplus R \rightarrow R \oplus R$ alternate between $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (x\alpha, y\beta)$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto (y\alpha, x\beta)$. A calculation shows that $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)$ has length two. More intrinsically it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-p_1 - p_2)/\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-2p_1 - 2p_2)$. Thus as an R -module, $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p) \cong \tilde{R}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_p$, where $\tilde{R}$ is the normalization of R and $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_p = \mathfrak{m}_p\tilde{R}$. We can describe the $\tilde{R}$ -action on $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)$ more invariantly as follows: multiplication by $r \in \tilde{R}$ gives an endomorphism $\mathfrak{m}_p \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_p$, and hence an action of $\tilde{R}$ on $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)$. We leave to the reader the straightforward verification that this action is the same as the action on $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)$ implicit in the isomorphism $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p) \cong \tilde{R}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_p$ given above. There is an induced action of the invertible elements $\tilde{R}^*$ on $(\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p) - 0)/\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{P}^1$. Since R^* acts trivially, this induces an action of $\tilde{R}^*/R^* \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ on $(\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p) - 0)/\mathbb{C}^*$. It is easy to see that there are three orbits of this action: an open orbit isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^*$ and two closed orbits which are points in $\mathbb{P}^1$, corresponding to the case of an element $e \in \text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)$ such that $\tilde{R} \cdot e \neq \text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)$.

Given an element $e \in \text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)$, denote the corresponding extension of $\mathfrak{m}_p$ by $\mathfrak{m}_p$ by M_e . Note that two extensions M_e and $M_{e'}$ such that e and e' lie in the same $\tilde{R}^*$ -orbit are abstractly isomorphic as R -modules, via a diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}_p & \longrightarrow & M_{re} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}_p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \times r \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}_p & \longrightarrow & M_e & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}_p \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $r \in \tilde{R}^*$ is such that $re = e'$.

Lemma 2.4. *M_e is locally free if and only if the image of e in*

$$\left(\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p) - 0 \right) / \mathbb{C}^*$$

is not a closed orbit of $\tilde{R}$.

Proof. Consider the long exact Ext sequence

$$\text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M_e, \mathfrak{m}_p).$$

We see that $\text{Ext}_R^1(M_e, \mathfrak{m}_p)$ contains as a submodule $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)/\tilde{R} \cdot e$. Thus if $\tilde{R} \cdot e \neq \text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)$, then $\text{Ext}_R^1(M_e, \mathfrak{m}_p) \neq 0$ and so M_e is not locally free. Conversely suppose that the image of e does not lie in one of the closed orbits. Since every two extensions in the same orbit are abstractly isomorphic, it will suffice to exhibit one locally free extension of $\mathfrak{m}_p$ by $\mathfrak{m}_p$. However we have the obvious surjection $R \oplus R \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_p$ given above, and its kernel is easily seen to be isomorphic to $\mathfrak{m}_p$ again. $\square$

We leave as an exercise for the reader the description of the extensions corresponding to the closed orbits.

Let us also note that, using the resolution above, a short computation shows that $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, R) = 0$. Thus there is no locally free R -module M which sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

Globally, we have the following:

Lemma 2.5. *Let n be a positive integer and let δ be a line bundle of degree one on C .*

- (i) *There is a unique rank two vector bundle $V_{n,\delta}$ on C such that $\det V_{n,\delta} = \delta$ and such that there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow V_{n,\delta} \rightarrow F' \rightarrow 0,$$

where F and F' are torsion free rank one sheaves of degrees n and $1 - n$ respectively, and F and F' are not locally free.

- (ii) *Let G be a torsion free rank one subsheaf of $V_{n,\delta}$. Then either $\deg G \leq -n$ or G is contained in F .*
- (iii) *The vector bundle $V_{n,\delta}$ is indecomposable for all n and δ and $V_{n,\delta} \cong V_{n',\delta'}$ if and only if $n = n'$ and $\delta = \delta'$.*

Proof. To see (i), let F and F' be the unique torsion free rank one sheaves of degrees n and $1 - n$ respectively which are not locally free. Let us evaluate $\text{Ext}^1(F', F)$. From the local to global Ext spectral sequence, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\text{Hom}(F', F)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(F', F) \rightarrow H^0(\text{Ext}^1(F', F)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now $\chi(\text{Hom}(F', F)) = \deg \text{Hom}(F', F) = h^0(\text{Hom}(F', F))$, since

$$\deg \text{Hom}(F', F) = 2n > 0$$

by (2.2) and (2.3). So $H^1(\text{Hom}(F', F)) = 0$. Thus $\text{Ext}^1(F', F) \cong H^0(\text{Ext}^1(F', F))$. Moreover, the set of all locally free extensions is naturally a principal homogeneous space over $H^0(\mathcal{O}_C^*/\mathcal{O}_C^*) = \tilde{R}^*/R^*$. On the other hand, from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^*/\mathcal{O}_C^* \rightarrow 0,$$

we have a natural isomorphism $\text{Pic}^0 C \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_C^*/\mathcal{O}_C^*) = \tilde{R}^*/R^*$. Let $\partial: \tilde{R}^*/R^* \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 C$ be the coboundary map; it is an isomorphism. Given $e \in \text{Ext}^1(F', F) \cong$

$H^0(\text{Ext}^1(F', F))$, let V_e be the extension corresponding to e . A straightforward exercise in the definitions shows that, for $r \in \tilde{R}$,

$$\det V_{r \cdot e} = \partial(r) \otimes \det V_e.$$

From this it is clear that there is a unique extension $V_{n,\delta}$ with determinant δ .

Next we prove (ii). Let G be a torsion free rank one subsheaf, possibly a line bundle, of $V_{n,\delta}$ such that $\deg G > -n$. We have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, V_{n,\delta}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, F').$$

Moreover $\text{Hom}(G, F') = H^0(\text{Hom}(G, F'))$. First suppose that either $\deg G > 1 - n$ or that G is locally free. The torsion free sheaf $\text{Hom}(G, F')$ has degree either $1 - n - \deg G$ or $2 - n - \deg G$, depending on whether G is or is not locally free. In any case it has degree ≤ 0 and is not locally free, so that $H^0(\text{Hom}(G, F')) = 0$, by (2.2) and (2.3). So every such G is contained in F . In the remaining case where $\deg G = 1 - n$ and G is not locally free, then $G = F'$. Since $\text{Hom}(F', F') \cong k^*$, every nonzero homomorphism from F' to itself is an isomorphism. Thus the exact sequence defining $V_{n,\delta}$ would be split, contrary to assumption. Hence this last case is impossible.

To see (iii), let G be a torsion free rank one subsheaf of degree at least $1 - n$ such that $V_{n,\delta}/G$ is torsion free. Then by (ii) $G = F$. This clearly implies that $V_{n,\delta} \cong V_{n',\delta'}$ if and only if $n = n'$ and $\delta = \delta'$ and that $V_{n,\delta}$ is indecomposable. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. *Let C be an irreducible curve of arithmetic genus one, which has one node as a singularity. Let V be a rank two vector bundle on C and suppose that $\deg \det V = 2e + 1$. Then V is one of the following:*

- (i) *A direct sum of line bundles;*
- (ii) *$L \otimes \mathcal{F}_x$, where L is a line bundle of degree e , $x \in C$ is a smooth point, and $\mathcal{F}_x$ is the unique nontrivial extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(x) \rightarrow 0;$$

- (iii) *$L \otimes V_{n,\delta}$, where L is a line bundle of degree e and $V_{n,\delta}$ is the rank two vector bundle described in (2.5). In this case, the subsheaf $L \otimes F$, where F is the subsheaf in the definition of $V_{n,\delta}$, is the maximal destabilizing subsheaf.*

Proof. Clearly we may assume that $\deg V = 1$. By the Riemann-Roch theorem, $h^0(V) \neq 0$. Thus there is a map $\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow V$. If this map is the inclusion of a subbundle, then V is given as an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(x) \rightarrow 0$$

for some smooth point $x \in C$. Either this extension splits, in which case we are in case (i), or it does not in which case we are in case (ii).

Now suppose that the map $\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow V$ vanishes at some point. There is a largest rank one subsheaf F of V containing the image of $\mathcal{O}_C$, and $\deg F = n > 0$. The quotient $V/F = F'$ is torsion free. If F is a line bundle, then so is F' , since locally $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, R) = 0$. In this case $(F')^{-1} \otimes F$ has degree $2n - 1 > 0$, so that the extension splits and V is the direct sum of F and F' . Hence we are in case (i). Otherwise F and F' are not locally free. It follows that $V = V_{n,\delta}$ for $\delta = \det V$, and we are in case (iii). The last statement in (iii) then follows from the last paragraph of the proof of (2.5). $\square$

Finally let us show that a statement analogous to (1.3) continues to hold for the case of a singular curve.

Lemma 2.7. *Let C be an irreducible nodal curve of arithmetic genus one.*

- (i) *Let V be a stable rank two vector bundle over C and suppose that $\deg V = 2e + 1$. Let $d \geq e + 1$, and let λ be a torsion free rank one on V of degree d . Then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) = 2d - 2e - 1$, and there exists a surjection from V to λ . Moreover, if λ is a line bundle on C such that there exists a nonzero map from V to λ , then $\deg \lambda \geq e + 1$. Finally, if $d = e + 1$, then $H^1(V^\vee \otimes \lambda) = 0$.*
- (ii) *Suppose that $V = L_1 \oplus L_2$ is a direct sum of line bundles L_i with $\deg V = 2e + 1$ and $\deg L_1 \leq e < \deg L_2$. Let λ be a rank one torsion free sheaf on C with $d = \deg \lambda > \deg L_2$. Then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) = 2d - 2e - 1$, and there exists a surjection from V to λ . Moreover, if λ is a rank one torsion free sheaf on C such that there exists a surjection from V to λ , then either $d = \deg \lambda > \deg L_2$ or $\lambda = L_2$ or $\lambda = L_1$ and $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) = 2d - 2e$.*
- (iii) *Suppose that $V = L \otimes V_{n,\delta}$ for some n , where L is a line bundle of degree e and that L_2 is the subsheaf $L \otimes F$ of V of degree $e + n$ corresponding to the subsheaf F of $V_{n,\delta}$ in the definition of $V_{n,\delta}$ and that L_1 is the quotient V/L_2 . Let λ be a rank one torsion free sheaf on C with $d = \deg \lambda > \deg L_2 = e + n$. Then $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) = 2d - 2e - 1$. Moreover, if there exists a surjection from V to λ then either $\deg \lambda > e + n$ or $\lambda = L_1$ and $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) = 1$.*

Proof. The proof of (i) and (ii) follows the same lines as the proof of (1.3), with minor modifications, given Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. Let us prove (iii) in the case where λ is not locally free (the proof in the other case is slightly simpler). By definition there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow V \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow 0,$$

where L_1 and L_2 are not locally free and $\deg L_2 = e + n$, $\deg L_1 = 1 - n + e$. There is a long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(L_1, \lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(L_2, \lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(L_1, \lambda).$$

Moreover, by the long exact sequence for Ext , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\operatorname{Hom}(L_1, \lambda)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(L_1, \lambda) \rightarrow H^0(\operatorname{Ext}^1(L_1, \lambda)).$$

Since $\deg \operatorname{Hom}(L_1, \lambda) = d - e + n + 1 \leq 0$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(L_1, \lambda)$ is not locally free, $H^1(\operatorname{Hom}(L_1, \lambda)) = 0$ by (2.3). Moreover $H^0(\operatorname{Ext}^1(L_1, \lambda)) = \mathbb{C}^2$. We claim that the composite map $\operatorname{Hom}(L_2, \lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(L_1, \lambda) \rightarrow H^0(\operatorname{Ext}^1(L_1, \lambda))$ is surjective. Since $\deg L_2 > \deg \mu$, the map $\operatorname{Hom}(L_2, \lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p) \cong \tilde{R}$ is onto the quotient $\tilde{R}/\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}_p$ by the last statement in (2.2). Thus the image of the map $\operatorname{Hom}(L_2, \lambda) \rightarrow H^0(\operatorname{Ext}^1(L_1, \lambda))$ contains the orbit $\tilde{R} \cdot \xi \subseteq \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)$, where ξ is the extension class. Since V is locally free, this orbit is all of $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(\mathfrak{m}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)$ by the proof of (2.4), and so the map $\operatorname{Hom}(L_2, \lambda) \rightarrow H^0(\operatorname{Ext}^1(L_1, \lambda))$ is onto. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, \lambda) &= \operatorname{Hom}(L_1, \lambda) + \operatorname{Hom}(L_2, \lambda) - 2 \\ &= d - (e + n) + 1 + d - (1 - n + e) + 1 - 2 = 2d - 2e - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let us finally consider the case when there is a surjection from V to λ . Let the degree of λ be $d + e$. Thus there is a surjection from $V_{n,\delta}$ to $\lambda \otimes L^{-1}$, which is of degree d . Let G be the kernel of the map $V_{n,\delta} \rightarrow \lambda \otimes L^{-1}$. Then $\deg G = 1 - d$. By (2.5)(ii), either $1 - d \leq -n$ or $G \subseteq F$. Thus either $d > e$ or $\lambda = L_1$. In the last case, there is a unique surjection from V to L_1 mod scalars, by the proof of (2.5)(iii). $\square$

3. A Zariski open subset of the moduli space.

Let $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be an algebraic elliptic surface of geometric genus $p_g(S) = p_g$. We shall always assume that the only singular fibers of π are either irreducible nodal curves or multiple fibers with smooth reduction. Denote the multiple fibers by F_1 and F_2 and suppose that the multiplicity of F_i is m_i . We shall assume that the multiple fibers lie over points where the j -invariant of S is unramified. We denote by $J(S)$ the associated Jacobian elliptic surface or basic elliptic surface. For an integer n , $J^n(S)$ denotes the relative Picard scheme of line bundles on the fibers of degree n (see for example Section 2 in Part I of [4]). Hence $J(S) = J^0(S)$ and $S = J^1(S)$. If n is relatively prime to $m_1 m_2$, then $J^n(S)$ again has two multiple fibers of multiplicities m_1 and m_2 . We always have $p_g(J^n(S)) = p_g$. If Δ is a divisor on S , we let $f \cdot \Delta$ denote the *fiber degree*, i.e. the degree of the line bundle Δ on a smooth fiber f . Let $\text{Pic}^v S$ denote the set of *vertical* divisor classes, i.e. the set of divisor classes spanned by the class of a fiber and the classes of the reductions of the multiple fibers. With our assumptions $\text{Pic}^v S \cong \mathbb{Z} \cdot \kappa$, where $m_1 m_2 \kappa = f$ (see also [6] Chapter 2 Corollary 2.9). Clearly $\text{Pic}^v S$ is the kernel of the natural map from $\text{Pic} S$ to the group of line bundles on the generic fiber. In general let $\eta = \text{Spec } k(\mathbb{P}^1)$ be the generic point of $\mathbb{P}^1$ and let $\bar{\eta} = \text{Spec } \overline{k(\mathbb{P}^1)}$, where $\overline{k(\mathbb{P}^1)}$ is the algebraic closure of $k(\mathbb{P}^1)$. Let S_η be the restriction of S to η and $S_{\bar{\eta}}$ be the pullback of S_η to $\bar{\eta}$. Define V_η to be the restriction of V to S_η and similarly for $V_{\bar{\eta}}$.

Definition 3.1. An ample line bundle L on S is (Δ, c) -suitable if for all divisors D on S such that $-D^2 + D \cdot \Delta \leq c$, either $f \cdot (2D - \Delta) = 0$ or

$$\text{sign } f \cdot (2D - \Delta) = \text{sign } L \cdot (2D - \Delta).$$

Given the pair (Δ, c) , we set $w = \Delta \bmod 2 \in H^2(S; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ and let $p = \Delta^2 - 4c$. Thus (Δ, c) and (Δ', c') correspond to the same values of w and p if and only if $\Delta' = \Delta + 2F$ for some divisor class F and $c' = c + \Delta \cdot F + F^2$. An easy calculation shows that the property of being (Δ, c) -suitable therefore only depends on the pair (w, p) , and we will also say that L is (w, p) -suitable.

We have the following, which is Lemma 3.3 in Part I of [4]:

Lemma 3.2. For all pairs (Δ, c) , (Δ, c) -suitable ample line bundles exist. $\square$

Definition 3.3. Let Δ be a divisor on S and c an integer. Fix a (Δ, c) -suitable line bundle L . We denote by $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta, c)$ the moduli space of equivalence classes of L -stable rank two vector bundles V on S with $c_1(V) = \Delta$ and $c_2(V) = c$. Here V_1 and V_2 are *equivalent* if there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_S(D)$ such that V_1 is isomorphic to $V_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D)$. In particular, since $\det V_1 = \det V_2$, the divisor $2D$ is linearly equivalent to zero, and in fact V_1 and V_2 must be isomorphic since there is no 2-torsion in $\text{Pic } S$. As the notation suggests and as we shall shortly show, the scheme $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta, c)$ does not depend on the choice of the (Δ, c) -suitable line bundle L .

Given a divisor Δ on S and an integer c , we let $w = \Delta \bmod 2$ and $p = \Delta^2 - 4c$. The moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta, c)$ only depends on w and p and we shall also denote it by $\mathfrak{M}(w, p)$.

Now fix an odd integer $2e + 1$. We shall consider rank two vector bundles V such that the line bundle $\det V$ has fiber degree $2e + 1$. However, it will be convenient not

to fix the determinant of V . In this section we shall show that the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(w, p)$ is smooth and irreducible, and shall describe a Zariski open and dense subset of it explicitly. The basic idea is to show first that there is a largest integer p_0 such that $\mathfrak{M}(w, p_0)$ is nonempty and that there is a unique element in $\mathfrak{M}(w, p_0)$, corresponding to the bundle V_0 . For all other $p < p_0$, the bundles in $\mathfrak{M}(w, p)$ are obtained by elementary modifications of V_0 along fibers. Let us begin by recalling the following result (Corollary 4.4 in Part I of [4]):

Theorem 3.4. *Let V be a rank two bundle with $\det V = \Delta$ and $c_2(V) = c$. Suppose that $\det V$ has fiber degree $2e + 1$. Let L be a (Δ, c) -suitable ample line bundle, and suppose that V is L -stable. Then there exists a Zariski open subset U of $\mathbb{P}^1$ such that, if f is a fiber of π corresponding to a point of U , then f is smooth and $V|f$ is stable. Conversely, if there exists a smooth fiber f such that $V|f$ is stable, then V is L -stable for every (Δ, c) -suitable ample line bundle L . $\square$*

Next we show that there exist bundles satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.4:

Lemma 3.5. *Let δ be a line bundle on the generic fiber S_η of odd degree $2e + 1$. Then there exists a rank two vector bundle V such that the restriction of $\det V$ to S_η is δ and such that there exists a smooth fiber f for which the restriction $V|f$ is stable.*

Proof. Let Δ_0 be a line bundle on S restricting to δ on S_η . Fix a smooth fiber f . By (1.1) there exists a stable bundle E on f with determinant equal to $\Delta_0|f$. Let H be a line bundle on S such that $\deg(H|f) \geq e + 1$. Then by (1.3) there is a surjection from E to $H|f$, and thus E is given as an extension

$$0 \rightarrow (H^{-1} \otimes \Delta_0)|f \rightarrow E \rightarrow (H|f) \rightarrow 0.$$

This extension corresponds to a class in $H^1(f; (H^{\otimes -2} \otimes \Delta_0)|f)$. We would like to lift this exact sequence to an exact sequence on S . Of course, we can replace Δ_0 by $\Delta_0 + Nf$ for an integer N and get the same restriction to f . It suffices to show that, for some N , the map

$$H^1(S; H^{\otimes -2} \otimes \Delta_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(Nf)) \rightarrow H^1(f; (H|f)^{\otimes -2} \otimes \Delta_0)$$

is surjective. The cokernel of this map is contained in

$$H^2(S; H^{-2} \otimes \Delta_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S((N-1)f)) = H^0(S; H^2 \otimes \Delta_0^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S((-N+1)f \otimes K_S)^*).$$

Clearly $H^0(S; H^2 \otimes \Delta_0^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S((-N+1)f \otimes K_S)) = 0$ if $N \gg 0$, and thus there is an extension on S inducing E . $\square$

Note. We could also have proved (3.5) by descent theory.

Before we state the next lemma, recall that a stable vector bundle V on S is *good* if $H^2(S; \text{ad } V) = 0$. This means that V is a smooth point of the moduli space, which has dimension $-p_1(\text{ad } V) - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$. Thus the content of the next lemma is that the moduli space is always smooth of the expected dimension.

Lemma 3.6. *Let V be a rank two bundle on S such that the restriction of V to the generic fiber is stable. Then V is good.*

Proof. By Serre duality, $H^2(S; \text{ad } V) = 0$ if and only if $H^0(\text{ad } V \otimes K_S) = 0$. A section φ of $H^0(\text{ad } V \otimes K_S)$ gives a trace free endomorphism of $V_{\bar{\eta}}$ (since K_S has trivial restriction to the generic fiber). But $V_{\bar{\eta}}$ is simple, so that φ has trivial restriction to the generic fiber. Hence $\varphi = 0$. $\square$

Lemma 3.7. *Let V_1 and V_2 be rank two bundles on S whose restrictions to the generic fibers are stable and have the same determinant (as a line bundle on $S_{\bar{\eta}}$). Then there exists a divisor D on S , lying in $\text{Pic}^v S$, and an inclusion $V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D) \subseteq V_2$. Moreover for an appropriate choice of D we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D) \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0,$$

where Q is supported on fibers or reductions of fibers and the map $V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D) \rightarrow V_2$ does not vanish on any fiber.

Proof. By assumption V_1 and V_2 have isomorphic restrictions to $S_{\bar{\eta}}$. An isomorphism between these extends to give a map $V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D_1) \rightarrow V_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D_2)$, where D_i have trivial restriction to the generic fiber. Twisting gives a map $\varphi: V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D') \rightarrow V_2$, where D' has trivial restriction to the generic fiber. By construction φ is an isomorphism on the generic fiber, so φ is an inclusion. The determinant $\det \varphi$ is a nonzero section of $\det V_1^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-2D') \otimes \det V_2$, which restricts trivially to the generic fiber. Thus $\det V_1^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-2D') \otimes \det V_2 = \mathcal{O}_S(\sum_i n_i F_i + nf)$, where the F_i are the multiple fibers, f is a general fiber and n_i, n are ≥ 0 . Here Q has support whose reduction is the sum of the F_i for which $n_i \neq 0$ plus some smooth fibers. If φ vanishes identically on a fiber or fiber component F , then it factors:

$$V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D') \subset V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D' + F) \rightarrow V_2.$$

So after enlarging D' to a new divisor D we can assume that this doesn't happen. Thus D is as desired. $\square$

Corollary 3.8. *Let V_1 and V_2 be two rank two bundles on S with the following property: for every curve F which is a reduced fiber or the reduction of a multiple fiber, the restriction of V_i to F is stable. Then there exists a divisor $D \in \text{Pic}^v S$ such that $V_2 = V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D)$.*

Proof. Find a nonzero map $\varphi: V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D) \rightarrow V_2$ which does not vanish on F for every F the reduction of a fiber, via (3.7). For all F , $V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D)|_F$ and $V_2|_F$ are stable bundles of the same degree and $\varphi|_F$ is a nonzero map between them. Thus $\varphi|_F$ is an isomorphism for all F and so φ is an isomorphism as well. $\square$

Corollary 3.9. *Suppose that V_0 is a rank two vector bundle satisfying the hypotheses of (3.8): the restriction $V|_F$ is stable for every reduction F of a fiber component. Let $\Delta = \det V_0$ and $c = c_2(V_0)$. Then $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta, c)$ consists of a single reduced point corresponding to the bundle V_0 . Thus necessarily $p_1(\text{ad } V_0) = -3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$.*

Proof. If V' is another such, $V' = V_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D)$, and so V' and V_0 are equivalent. By (3.6) V_0 is good. Thus $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta, c)$ is a single reduced point. Moreover the dimension of $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta, c)$ is $-p_1(\text{ad } V_0) - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 0$, and so $p_1(\text{ad } V_0) = -3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$. $\square$

Next we establish the existence of such a V_0 . Before we do so let us pause to record the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

*be an exact sequence of coherent sheaves on S , where V_1 and V_2 are rank two vector bundles and $Q = i_*M$ where $i: F \rightarrow S$ is the inclusion of a reduced fiber or the reduction of a multiple fiber, and M is a torsion free rank one sheaf on F . Then:*

(i) *We have the following formula for $p_1(\text{ad } V_2)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(\text{ad } V_2) &= p_1(\text{ad } V_1) + 4 \left(\deg M - \frac{\deg(V_2|F)}{2} \right) \\ &= p_1(\text{ad } V_1) + 4 \left(\deg M - \frac{\deg(V_1|F)}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If we define Q' by the exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow V_2^\vee \rightarrow V_1^\vee \rightarrow Q' \rightarrow 0,$$

*then $V_i^\vee \cong V_i \otimes (\det V_i)^{-1}$ is a twist of V_i and $Q' = \text{Ext}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is of the form i_*M' , where M' is a torsion free rank one sheaf on F with $\deg M' = -\deg M$. Finally M is locally free if and only if M' is locally free.*

Proof. The first equality in (i) follows from (0.1) if M is locally free, with minor modifications in general. To see the second, since $\det V_2 = \det V_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(F)$ and $F^2 = 0$, we have

$$\deg(V_1|F) = \deg(\det V_1|F) = \deg(\det V_2|F) = \deg(V_2|F).$$

To prove (ii), note that, after trivializing the bundles V_i in a Zariski open set U , the map $V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ is given by a 2×2 matrix A with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_U$, and so the dual map corresponds to the matrix tA . A local calculation shows that $Q' = i_*M'$, where M' is a torsion free rank one sheaf on F , where F is locally defined by $\det A$, and that M' is locally free if and only if M is locally free. To calculate $\deg M'$, use the formula in (i) for $\deg M'$, noting that $p_1(\text{ad } V_i^\vee) = p_1(\text{ad } V_i)$ and that $\deg(V_1^\vee|F) = -\deg(V_1|F)$. Putting this together gives

$$\begin{aligned} 4 \deg M' &= p_1(\text{ad } V_1) - p_1(\text{ad } V_2) - 2 \deg(V_1|F) \\ &= -4 \deg M. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Using the above, we shall show the following, which together with (3.9) proves (i) of Theorem 2 of the introduction.

Proposition 3.11. *Given a line bundle δ on S_η of odd degree, there exists a rank two bundle V_0 on S such that the restriction of $\det V_0$ to S_η is δ and such that the restriction $V|F$ is stable for every reduction F of a fiber component. The rank two bundle V_0 is unique up to equivalence: if V_1 is any other bundle with this property, then there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_S(D)$ such that $V_1 \cong V_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D)$. Moreover $p_1(\text{ad } V_0) \geq p_1(\text{ad } V)$ for every rank two bundle V such that the restriction of $\det V$ to S_η is δ and such that there exists a smooth fiber f for which the restriction $V|f$ is stable, with equality if and only if $V = V_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(D)$.*

Proof. Begin with V such that $\det V|S_\eta = \delta$ and such that there exists a smooth fiber f for which the restriction $V|f$ is stable. Such V exist by (3.5). If there exists

an F such that $V|F$ is not stable, then there is a torsion free quotient Q of $V|F$ such that $\deg Q < (\deg(V|F))/2$. Define V' by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V' \rightarrow V \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0,$$

where we abusively denote by Q the sheaf i_*Q , where i is the inclusion of F in S . Using (i) of (3.10),

$$p_1(\text{ad } V) = p_1(\text{ad } V') + 4\left(\deg Q - \frac{\deg(V|F)}{2}\right).$$

Thus $p_1(\text{ad } V') > p_1(\text{ad } V)$. If V' satisfies the conclusions of (3.11), we are done. Otherwise repeat this process. At each stage p_1 strictly increases. But p_1 is bounded from above, either from Bogomolov's inequality or using the fact that the dimension of the moduli space is always $-p_1 - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \geq 0$, by (3.6). Hence this process terminates and gives a V_0 as desired. By (3.8) V_0 is unique up to twisting by a line bundle, and the final statement is clear from the method of proof. $\square$

Next we shall interpret the proof of (3.11) as saying that every stable bundle V is obtained from V_0 by an appropriate sequence of elementary modifications.

Definition 3.12. Let V be a rank two vector bundle on S whose restriction to the generic fiber is stable. Let F be a fiber on S and Q be a torsion free rank one sheaf on F , viewed as a sheaf on S . A surjection $V \rightarrow Q$ is *allowable* if

$$2 \deg Q > \deg(V|F).$$

Thus if $\deg(V|F) = 2e + 1$, then $\deg Q \geq e + 1$. If W is defined as an elementary modification

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow V \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0,$$

then we shall say that the elementary modification W is *allowable* if the surjection $V \rightarrow Q$ is allowable. It then follows from (3.10) that, if W is an allowable elementary modification of V , then $p_1(\text{ad } W) < p_1(\text{ad } V)$.

Let Q be a rank one torsion free sheaf on a fiber F , viewed also as a sheaf on S , and let $d = \deg Q$. It is an easy consequence of (1.3) and (2.7) that if $V \rightarrow Q$ is allowable and $\deg(V|f) = 2e + 1$, then $d > e$ and either $\dim \text{Hom}(V, Q) = 2d - 2e - 1$ or $\dim \text{Hom}(V, Q) = 2d - 2e$ and Q is a uniquely specified rank one torsion free sheaf on F .

With this said, we have the following:

Proposition 3.13. *Let δ be a line bundle on S_η and let V be a stable rank two bundle on S such that the restriction of $\det V$ to S_η is δ . Then there is a sequence $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_n = V$ such that V_{i+1} is an allowable elementary modification of V_i for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Moreover $2n \leq p_1(\text{ad } V_0) - p_1(\text{ad } V)$. Finally if V is obtained from V_0 from a sequence of allowable elementary modifications then $\dim \text{Hom}(V, V_0) = 1$.*

Proof. The construction given in the proof of (3.11) is the following: Begin with V . If $V \neq V_0$, then there is a fiber F , a rank one torsion free sheaf Q on F , and an elementary modification

$$0 \rightarrow V' \rightarrow V \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\deg Q < \deg(V|F)/2$. If $V' \neq V_0$, we repeat the process. So, noting that $V \cong V^\vee \otimes \det V$ and using the notation of (3.10)(ii) it will suffice to show that the dual elementary modification

$$0 \rightarrow V^\vee \otimes \det V \rightarrow (V')^\vee \otimes \det V \rightarrow Q' \otimes \det V \rightarrow 0$$

is allowable, since then we have obtained V as an allowable elementary modification of $(V')^\vee \otimes \det V$. But we have $\deg Q' = -\deg Q$ by (3.10)(ii), and so

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(Q' \otimes \det V) &= -\deg Q + \deg(V|F) \\ &> \frac{\deg(V|F)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the surjection $(V')^\vee \otimes \det V \rightarrow Q' \otimes \det V$ is allowable. The statement about the number of elementary modifications follows since an allowable elementary modification always decreases p_1 by a quantity whose absolute value is at least 2.

Finally let us show that $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V, V_0) = 1$. Since $\dim \operatorname{Hom}(V_0, V_0) = 1$, it is enough by induction on the number of elementary modifications to show the following: suppose given an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow V_1 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\deg Q > \deg(V_i|F)/2$. Then $\operatorname{Hom}(V_1, V_0) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(V_2, V_0)$ is an isomorphism. For simplicity we shall just give the argument in case Q is locally free on F . In any case $\operatorname{Hom}(Q, V_0) = 0$ since Q is a torsion sheaf and the cokernel of the map is

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1(Q, V_0) = H^0(\operatorname{Ext}^1(Q, V_0)) = H^0(Q^\vee \otimes (V_0|F)) = \operatorname{Hom}(Q, V_0|F).$$

Since $V_0|F$ is stable and $\deg Q > \deg(V_i|F)/2 = \deg(V_0|F)/2$, this last group is zero. $\square$

Putting all this together, we shall describe a Zariski open subset of the moduli space. Let us first observe that the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta, c)$ is always good and of dimension

$$4c - \Delta^2 - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = -p - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S).$$

By the canonical bundle formula for an elliptic surface,

$$K_S = \mathcal{O}_S((p_g - 1)f + (m_1 - 1)F_1 + (m_2 - 1)F_2),$$

where F_i are the reductions of the multiple fibers. As m_1 and m_2 are odd,

$$K_S \cdot \Delta \equiv p_g - 1 \pmod{2}.$$

By the Wu formula, $\Delta^2 \equiv p_g - 1 \pmod{2}$ as well. Hence

$$4c - \Delta^2 - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

and the dimension of the moduli space is always an even integer $2t$. Now suppose that δ is a line bundle on the generic fiber S_η of odd degree. Then there exists a divisor Δ on S which restricts to δ and Δ is determined up to a multiple of κ . Mod 2, the only possibilities are Δ and $\Delta + \kappa$. Note that $(\Delta + \kappa)^2 = \Delta^2 + 2(\Delta \cdot \kappa) \equiv \Delta^2 + 2 \pmod{4}$. Thus if we also fix $\Delta^2 \pmod{4}$, there is a unique choice of $w = \Delta \pmod{2}$. Fix an integer $t \geq 0$ and let $-p = 2t + 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$. There is then a unique class $w \in H^2(S; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ with $w^2 \equiv p \pmod{4}$ such that w is the mod two reduction of a divisor Δ which restricts to δ on S_η . Given δ and t , we shall denote the corresponding moduli space by $\mathfrak{M}_t$. The following theorem is a more precise version of Theorem 1 of the Introduction:

Theorem 3.14. *In the above notation, $\mathfrak{M}_t$ is nonempty, smooth and irreducible, and is birational to $\mathrm{Sym}^t J^{e+1}(S)$. More precisely, there exists a Zariski open and dense subset U of $\mathfrak{M}_t$ which is isomorphic to the open subset of $\mathrm{Sym}^t J^{e+1}(S)$ consisting of t line bundles $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ of degree $e+1$ lying on smooth (and reduced) fibers of π such that the images $\pi(\lambda_i)$ are distinct points of $\mathbb{P}^1$, where we continue to denote by π the projection from $J^{e+1}(S)$ to $\mathbb{P}^1$.*

Proof. Let us describe the set U . Given the line bundle δ on S_η , let $\deg \delta = 2e+1$. If f is a smooth fiber and λ is a line bundle of degree $e+1$ on f , the restriction $V_0|f$ sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mu \rightarrow V_0|f \rightarrow \lambda \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mu \otimes \lambda = \delta$. Once we have fixed λ , the surjection $V_0|f \rightarrow \lambda$ is unique mod scalars.

Now fix t distinct smooth fibers $f_1, \dots, f_t$ and line bundles λ_i of degree $e+1$ on f_i . Let Q_i be the sheaf λ_i viewed as a sheaf on S and let $Q = \bigoplus_i Q_i$. We shall consider the set of vector bundles V described by an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

The set of all such V is clearly parametrized by the open subset U of $\mathrm{Sym}^t J^{e+1}(S)$ consisting of t line bundles $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ lying on smooth (reduced) fibers of π such that the images $\pi(\lambda_i)$ are distinct points of $\mathbb{P}^1$. For such a bundle V , we also have

$$p_1(\mathrm{ad} V) = p_1(\mathrm{ad} V_0) - 2t.$$

We shall first construct a family of bundles parametrized by U (more precisely, we shall construct “universal” bundles over the product of S with a finite cover of U), thereby giving a morphism from U to $\mathfrak{M}_t$ which is easily seen to be an open immersion. Finally we shall show that U is in fact dense in $\mathfrak{M}_t$.

Step I. Let U be the open subset of $\mathrm{Sym}^t J^{e+1}(S)$ described above, and let $\tilde{U}$ be defined as follows:

$$\tilde{U} = \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t) \in (J^{e+1}(S))^t : \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t\} \in U\}.$$

We shall try to construct a universal bundle $\mathcal{V}$ over $S \times \tilde{U}$ as follows. Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset S \times U$ be defined by

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{(p, \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t\}) \in S \times U : \text{for some } i, \pi(p) = \pi(\lambda_i)\}.$$

Thus given a point $u = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t\} \in U$,

$$(S \times \{u\}) \cap \mathcal{Z} = \prod_{i=1}^t (f_i \times \{u\}),$$

where f_i is the fiber corresponding to λ_i . Clearly $\mathcal{Z}$ is a smooth divisor in $S \times U$. Analogously, we have the pulled back divisor $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}} \subset S \times \tilde{U}$. In fact, $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ breaks up into a disjoint union of divisors $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_i$, where for example

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_1 = (S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} J^{e+1}(S)) \times J^{e+1}(S)^{t-1},$$

and the other $\tilde{Z}_i$ are defined by taking the fiber product over $\mathbb{P}^1$ of S with the i^{th} factor of $J^{e+1}(S)^t$. Thus each $\tilde{Z}_i$ fibers over $\tilde{U}$ and the fiber is an elliptic curve. Let $\rho_i: \tilde{Z}_i \rightarrow S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} J^{e+1}(S)$ be the projection. Over $S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} J^{e+1}(S)$, there is a relative Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{P}_{e+1}$. Actually, $\mathcal{P}_{e+1}$ really just exists locally around sufficiently small neighborhoods of smooth nonmultiple fibers of $J^{e+1}(S)$, or in irreducible étale neighborhoods $\psi: \mathcal{U}_0 \rightarrow J^{e+1}(S)$ of smooth nonmultiple fibers, but we will write out all the arguments as if there were a global bundle. We shall return to this point in Section 7. So we should really replace $\tilde{U}$ by $\tilde{U}_0$ defined by

$$\tilde{U}_0 = \{ (x_1, \dots, x_t) \in \mathcal{U}_0^t : (\psi(x_1), \dots, \psi(x_t)) \in U \}.$$

We can define the divisors $\tilde{Z}_i$ on $S \times \tilde{U}_0$ as well. Thus we have $\rho_i^* \mathcal{P}_{e+1}$, which is a line bundle on $\tilde{Z}_i$. By extension, we can view $\rho_i^* \mathcal{P}_{e+1}$ as a coherent sheaf on $S \times \tilde{U}_0$.

Lemma 3.15. *For every i , there is a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_i$ on $\tilde{U}_0$ with the following property: There is a surjection*

$$\pi_1^* V_0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \left(\rho_i^* \mathcal{P}_{e+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}_i \right),$$

and the surjection is unique up to multiplying by the pullback of a nowhere vanishing function on $\tilde{U}_0$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\pi_1^* V_0, \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \rho_i^* \mathcal{P}_{e+1}) &= H^0((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \left[\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \rho_i^* \mathcal{P}_{e+1} \right]) \\ &= H^0\left(\tilde{U}_0; \bigoplus_{i=1}^t R^0 \pi_{2*} \left((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \rho_i^* \mathcal{P}_{e+1} \right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

By base change and (1.2), the sheaf $R^0 \pi_{2*} \left((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \rho_i^* \mathcal{P}_{e+1} \right)$ is a line bundle on $\tilde{U}_0$, which we denote by $\mathcal{L}_i^{-1}$. Choosing a nowhere vanishing section of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_0}$ gives an element of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\pi_1^* V_0, \rho_i^* \mathcal{P}_{e+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}_i) &= H^0\left(\tilde{U}_0; R^0 \pi_{2*} \left((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \rho_i^* \mathcal{P}_{e+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}_i \right)\right) \\ &= H^0(\tilde{U}_0; \mathcal{L}_i^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}_i) = H^0(\tilde{U}_0; \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the $\tilde{Z}_i$ are disjoint, we can make such a choice for each i to obtain the desired surjection. $\square$

Note. We shall essentially calculate $\mathcal{L}_i$ in Section 7.

Making a choice of a surjection from $\pi_1^* V_0$ to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \left(\rho_i^* \mathcal{P}_{e+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}_i \right)$ gives a rank two vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ over $S \times \tilde{U}_0$ defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \pi_1^* V_0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \left(\rho_i^* \mathcal{P}_{e+1} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}_i \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus there is a morphism $\tilde{U}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_t$. It is easy to see that this morphism descends to a morphism of schemes $U \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_t$ whose image is the set of bundles described at the beginning of the proof of (3.14). Clearly the morphism $U \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_t$ is injective. By Zariski's Main Theorem it is an open immersion. This concludes the proof of Step I.

Step II. Now we must show that the open set U constructed above is Zariski dense. To do so, we shall make a standard moduli count which essentially shows that the closed subset $\mathfrak{M}_t - U$ may be parametrized by a scheme of dimension strictly smaller than $\dim \mathfrak{M}_t = 2t$. Consider the set of all allowable elementary modifications of a fixed vector bundle V' with $\deg(V'|F) = 2e + 1$. Thus there is a reduced fiber or the reduction of a multiple fiber, say F , and a rank one torsion free sheaf Q on F with $\deg Q = d \geq e + 1$. By (1.3) and (2.7), there is a surjection from V' to Q , and the set of all such has dimension $2d - 2e - 1$ or $2d - 2e$. Let V be the kernel of such a surjection. By (3.10),

$$p_1(\mathrm{ad} V') = p_1(\mathrm{ad} V) + 4d - 4e - 2.$$

Thus the number of moduli of all V is

$$-p_1(\mathrm{ad} V) - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = -p_1(\mathrm{ad} V') - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) + 4d - 4e - 2.$$

On the other hand, for d and V' fixed, the above construction depends on $2d - 2e$ parameters. If F is generic, there is one parameter to choose F . Next, either $\dim \mathrm{Hom}(V', Q) = 2d - 2e - 1$ or $2d - 2e$, and in this last case Q is fixed. Taking the homomorphisms mod scalars the number of moduli is either $2d - 2e - 2$ or $2d - 2e - 1$. In the first case the choice of Q is one more parameter, but not in the second case. Thus we always get $2d - 2e - 1$ parameters for the choice of the sheaf Q and the surjection $V' \rightarrow Q$. Adding in the choice of F gives $2d - 2e$ moduli. For the above construction to account for a Zariski open subset of the moduli space, we clearly must have V' a general point of its moduli space, F a general fiber, and $2d - 2e \geq 4d - 4e - 2$. It follows that $d \leq e + 1$, and hence since $d > e$ that $d = e + 1$. Arguing by induction, we may assume that V is obtained from V_0 by performing successive elementary modifications along distinct fibers F_i which are smooth and nonmultiple and with respect to line bundles μ_i on F_i of degree exactly $e + 1$. In this case V is in the open set U described above. $\square$

Notation 3.16. Given a line bundle δ on S_η and a nonnegative integer t , we let $\mathfrak{M}_t$ be the moduli space defined prior to (3.14) of equivalence classes of stable bundles V with $-p_1(\mathrm{ad} V) = 2t + 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$, such that $w_2(V)$ is the mod two reduction of a divisor Δ with $\Delta|_{S_\eta} = \delta$. Thus $\mathfrak{M}_t$ depends only on δ and t . Let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_t$ denote the Gieseker compactification of $\mathfrak{M}_t$.

4. The case where S has a section.

In this section, we shall assume that there is a section σ on S , so that $m_1 = m_2 = 1$. In this case, $\sigma^2 = -(1 + p_g(S))$. Our goal is to give a very explicit description of the set of stable bundles on S such that $\det V$ has the same restriction to the generic fiber as σ . Thus $\det V = \sigma + nf$ for some integer n . We begin with a lemma on various cohomology groups which will be used often.

Lemma 4.1. *Let S be an elliptic surface with a section σ . Let $p_g = p_g(S)$.*

- (i) *For all integers a , $h^0(-\sigma + af) = 0$.*
- (ii) *For all integers a ,*

$$h^1(-\sigma + (p_g + 1 - a)f) = \begin{cases} 0, & a > 0 \\ -a + 1, & a \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

- (iii) *For all integers a ,*

$$h^2(-\sigma + (p_g + 1 - a)f) = \begin{cases} a - 1, & a \geq 2 \\ 0, & a \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Clearly $h^0(-\sigma + af) = 0$ for all integers a . Likewise $R^0\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + af) = 0$ for all a . In addition $R^2\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + af) = 0$ for all a since π has relative dimension one. Thus, from the Leray spectral sequence, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (p_g + 1 - a)f)) &= H^0(R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (p_g + 1 - a)f)) \\ H^2(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (p_g + 1 - a)f)) &= H^1(R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (p_g + 1 - a)f)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we must determine the sheaf $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (p_g + 1 - a)f)$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Now $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (p_g + 1 - a)f) = R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(p_g + 1 - a)$. To calculate $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma)$, we use the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\sigma \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking the long exact sequence for $R^i\pi_*$ gives $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma) \cong R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S$, and, by e.g. [6] Chapter 1 (3.18), $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-p_g - 1)$. So $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (p_g + 1 - a)f) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-a)$, and (i) and (iii) follow from the usual calculations for $\mathbb{P}^1$. $\square$

Next we shall determine the unique stable vector bundle V_0 (up to equivalence) which satisfies $-p_1(\text{ad } V_0) = 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let S be a nodal elliptic surface with a section σ .*

- (i) *If $p_g(S)$ is odd, set $k = (1 + p_g(S))/2$. Then there is a unique nonsplit extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(kf) \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \rightarrow 0,$$

and $\det V = \sigma$, $-p_1(\text{ad } V_0) = 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$, and the restriction of V_0 to every fiber is stable.

- (ii) *If $p_g(S)$ is even, set $k = p_g(S)/2$. Then there is a unique nonsplit extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(kf) \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (k + 1)f) \rightarrow 0,$$

and $\det V = \sigma - f$, $-p_1(\text{ad } V_0) = 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)$, and the restriction of V_0 to every fiber is stable.

Proof. We shall just consider the case where p_g is odd; the other case is identical. First note that $H^1(S; \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) = H^1(-\sigma + (p_g + 1)f)$ has dimension one, by (4.1)(ii). Thus there is a unique nonsplit extension up to isomorphism. Clearly $\det V_0 = \sigma$ and $-p_1(\text{ad } V_0) = 4k - \sigma^2 = 3(1 + p_g)$. Finally we claim that the

restriction of V_0 to every fiber is stable. It suffices to show that the restriction of V_0 to every fiber f is the nontrivial extension of $\mathcal{O}_f(p)$ by $\mathcal{O}_f$, where p is the point $\sigma \cdot f$. Thus we must consider the restriction map

$$H^1(S; \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf) \rightarrow H^1(f; \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)|f).$$

Its kernel is $H^1(S; \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k-1)f)) = H^1(S; \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + p_g f))$. Again by (4.1)(ii) this group is zero, so that $H^1(S; \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf) \rightarrow H^1(f; \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)|f)$ is an injection and hence an isomorphism since both spaces have dimension one. It follows that $V_0|f$ is stable for every f and is thus the unique bundle up to equivalence satisfying the hypotheses of (3.8). $\square$

The bundle V_0 (with a slightly different normalization) has been described independently by Kametani and Sato [8].

Let us now consider the case where V is a stable bundle with $-p_1(\text{ad } V) - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2t \geq 0$.

Proposition 4.3. *With S as above, let V be a stable rank two vector bundle over S such that $\det V = \sigma + nf$ for some n and $-p_1(\text{ad } V) - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2t$.*

- (i) *If p_g is odd and we set $k = (1+p_g)/2$, then, after twisting by a line bundle of the form $\mathcal{O}_S(af)$, there exist an integer s , $0 \leq s \leq t$, and an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k + s - t)f) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0.$$

Here Z is a codimension two local complete intersection subscheme of length s . Moreover the inclusion of $\mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f)$ into V is canonically given by the map $\pi^\pi_*V \rightarrow V$. If $\varphi: \mathcal{O}_S(af) \rightarrow V$ is a sub-line bundle, then φ factors through the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f) \rightarrow V$.*

- (ii) *If p_g is even and we set $k = p_g/2$, then, after twisting by a line bundle of the form $\mathcal{O}_S(af)$, there exist an integer s , $0 \leq s \leq t$, and an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k - 1 + s - t)f) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0.$$

Here Z is again a codimension two local complete intersection subscheme of length s . Finally the inclusion of $\mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f)$ into V is canonically given by the map $\pi^\pi_*V \rightarrow V$, and every nonzero map $\mathcal{O}_S(af) \rightarrow V$ factors through $\mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f)$.*

Proof. We shall just write down the argument in case p_g is odd. By (3.13), possibly after twisting, V is obtained from V_0 by a sequence of $r \leq t$ allowable elementary modifications. In particular V may be identified with a subsheaf of V_0 , and $\det V = \sigma - rf$. There is the map from $\mathcal{O}_S(kf)$ to V_0 , and clearly the image of the subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_S((k-r)f)$ lies in V . Of course, the map $\mathcal{O}_S((k-r)f) \rightarrow V$ may vanish along a divisor, but this divisor must necessarily be a union of at most r fibers. Thus there is an integer u with $0 \leq u \leq r$ and an exact sequence for V of the form

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-r+u)f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-u)f) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0.$$

Using the condition that $-p_1(\text{ad } V) - 3(p_g + 1) = 2t$ gives

$$4\ell(Z) + 4(k-r+u) + (1+p_g) - 2r - 3(1+p_g) = 2t.$$

Solving, we get

$$-r + 2u + 2\ell(Z) = t.$$

Let $s = \ell(Z)$. Twisting the exact sequence by $\mathcal{O}_S(bf)$, where $b = u + \ell(Z) - t$, gives a new exact sequence (where we rename V by $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(bf)$)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k + s - t)f) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0.$$

Clearly $s = \ell(Z) \geq 0$ and since $2s = t + r - 2u$ with $u \geq 0$, $r \leq t$, we have $s \leq t$. This gives the desired expression of V as an extension. Since the restriction of this extension to the generic fiber is not split, the map

$$R^0\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k + s - t)f) \otimes I_Z) \rightarrow R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f)$$

is injective. Thus $\pi_*V = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}((k-s))$ and the map $\pi^*\pi_*V \rightarrow V$ is just the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f) \rightarrow V$. Finally if $\mathcal{O}_S(af) \rightarrow V$ is nonzero then $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(af) \rightarrow \pi_*V = \pi_*\mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f)$ is nonzero as well, and the last assertion of the proposition is then clear. $\square$

There is an analogue of (4.3) for Gieseker semistable torsion free sheaves:

Proposition 4.3'. *With S and k as above, suppose that V is a rank two torsion free sheaf with $c_1(V) = \Delta = \sigma + nf$ for some n and $c_2(V) = c$ such that V is Gieseker semistable with respect to a (Δ, c) -suitable line bundle. Suppose that $-p_1(\text{ad } V) - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2t$. Then the restriction of V to a general fiber of S is stable, and after twisting by $\mathcal{O}_S(af)$ for some a there are zero-dimensional subschemes Z_1 and Z_2 of S , not necessarily local complete intersections, an integer s with $0 \leq s \leq t$, and an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f) \otimes I_{Z_1} \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k + s - t)f) \otimes I_{Z_2} \rightarrow 0,$$

if $p_g = 2k - 1$ is odd, and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f) \otimes I_{Z_1} \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k - 1 + s - t)f) \otimes I_{Z_2} \rightarrow 0$$

if $p_g = 2k$ is even. Moreover $\ell(Z_1) + \ell(Z_2) = s$.

Proof. The double dual $V^{\vee\vee}$ of V is a semistable rank two vector bundle. Thus it is stable and fits into an exact sequence as in (i) or (ii) of (4.3). Thus (4.3') follows from manipulations along the lines of the proof of (4.3). $\square$

Next let us consider when an extension as in (4.3) can be unstable. For simplicity we shall just write out the case where p_g is odd.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose that $p_g = 2k - 1$ is odd and that V is an extension of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-s)f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k + s - t)f) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\ell(Z) = s$. Let s_0 be the smallest integer such that $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(s_0f) \otimes I_Z) \neq 0$. Thus $0 \leq s_0 \leq s$, and $s_0 = 0$ if and only if $s = 0$. If V is unstable, then the maximal destabilizing subbundle is equal to $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - af)$, where

$$t + k - (s - s_0) \leq a \leq t + k.$$

Thus if $s = s_0$ the only possibility is $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (t + k)f)$.

Proof. The maximal destabilizing subbundle has a torsion free quotient. Clearly, it restricts to σ on the generic fiber, and thus must be of the form $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - af)$ for some integer a . Using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - af) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((a - t)f) \otimes I_{Z'} \rightarrow 0,$$

where Z' is a codimension two subscheme, and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(V) &= k - s + s = k \\ &= a - t + \ell(Z'), \end{aligned}$$

we see that $a \leq t + k$. On the other hand, there is a nonzero map from $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - af)$ to $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k + s - t)f) \otimes I_Z$ and thus a nonzero section of $\mathcal{O}_S((-k + s - t + a)f) \otimes I_Z$. Thus

$$-k + s - t + a \geq s_0,$$

or in other words $a \geq t + k - (s - s_0)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.5. *With assumptions as above, suppose that $Z = \emptyset$, so that V is an extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(kf) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (k + t)f) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then V is stable if and only if it is not the split extension. In this case we can identify the set of all nonsplit extensions with $\text{Sym}^t \sigma$, and an extension V corresponding to $\{p_1, \dots, p_t\} \in \text{Sym}^t \sigma$ has unstable restriction to a fiber f if and only if $p_i \in f$ for some i .

Proof. As we are in the case $s = 0$ of (4.4), if V is unstable then the destabilizing line bundle is $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (k + t)f)$, which splits the exact sequence. Conversely, if the sequence is not split, then V is stable.

The set of nonsplit extensions of $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (k + t)f)$ by $\mathcal{O}_S(kf)$ is parametrized by $\mathbb{P}H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k + t)f))$. By (4.1) $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k + t)f)) \cong H^0(R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k + t)f)) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(t))$. Moreover $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^1; \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(t)) = \text{Sym}^t \sigma$ by associating to a section the set of points where it vanishes. This says that the extension V restricts to the split extension on a fiber f exactly when the corresponding section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(t)$ vanishes at the point of $\mathbb{P}^1$ under f . $\square$

Next we analyze the generic case where $\ell(Z) = t$.

Proposition 4.6. *Suppose that $p_g = 2k - 1$ is odd and that V is an extension of the form*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k - t)f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\ell(Z) = t > 0$.

- (i) *A locally free extension V as above exists if and only if Z has the Cayley-Bacharach property with respect to $|\sigma + (t - 2)f|$.*
- (ii) *Suppose that $s_0 = t$ or $t - 1$ in the notation of (4.4), and that $\text{Supp } Z \cap \sigma = \emptyset$. Then $\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S((k - t)f)) = 1$. A locally free extension exists in this case if $s_0 = t$.*

- (iii) Suppose that Z consists of t points lying in distinct fibers, exactly one of which lies on σ . Then $\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S((k - t)f)) = 1$. A locally free extension exists in this case if and only if $t = 1$.
- (iv) If $s_0 \leq t - 1$, for example if Z contains two distinct points lying on the same fiber, then V is unstable.
- (v) If $s_0 = t$, then V is stable if no point of Z lies on σ . Likewise if $t = 1$ and $Z \subset \sigma$, then V is not stable.

Proof. The long exact sequence for Ext gives

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(-\sigma + 2k - t)f) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S((k - t)f)) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow H^2(-\sigma + 2k - t)f). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.1)(ii) $H^1(-\sigma + 2k - t)f) = 0$. The map $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) \rightarrow H^2(-\sigma + 2k - t)f)$ is dual to the map $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (t - 2)f)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ defined by restriction. Thus (i) follows by definition. As for (ii), since $\text{Supp } Z \cap \sigma = \emptyset$ and $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (t - 2)f)) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_S((t - 2)f))$ under the natural inclusion, clearly $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (t - 2)f) \otimes I_Z) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_S((t - 2)f) \otimes I_Z)$. By assumption $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S((t - 2)f) \otimes I_Z) = 0$, so that the map $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (t - 2)f)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ is an inclusion. But $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (t - 2)f)) = t - 1$ and $h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = t$. Thus the cokernel has dimension one. It is clear that if $s_0 = t$ and Z is reduced, then it has the Cayley-Bacharach property with respect to $|\sigma + (t - 2)f|$. A more involved argument left to the reader handles the nonreduced case. Thus a locally free extension exists. This proves (ii), and the proof of (iii) is similar.

To see (iv), note that if $s_0 \leq t - 1$, then there is a section of $\mathcal{O}_S((t - 1)f) \otimes I_Z$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k - 1)f) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (k + t - 1)f), V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((t - 1)f) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k - 1)f)) = 0$ by (4.1), the section of $\mathcal{O}_S((t - 1)f) \otimes I_Z$ lifts to define a nonzero homomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (k + t - 1)f)$ to V . Thus V is unstable.

Finally we must prove (v). The bundle V is stable if and only if its restriction to a general fiber f is stable. Let f be a fiber not meeting $\text{Supp } Z$. Then there is a natural map $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S((k - t)f)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_f(p), \mathcal{O}_f) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-p))$. This fits into an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathcal{O}_f(-p)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S((k - t - 1)f)) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S((k - t)f)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-p)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^0(\mathcal{O}_f(-p)) = 0$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-p)) = \dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S((k - t)f)) = 1$, by (ii) and (iii), it will suffice to show that $\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S((k - t - 1)f)) \geq 1$ if $\text{Supp } Z \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$. Now since $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k - t - 1)f)) = 0$ by (4.1), $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S((k - t - 1)f))$ is dual to the cokernel of the restriction map $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (t - 1)f)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$. Since $s_0 = t$, by definition $h^0(\mathcal{O}_S((t - 1)f) \otimes I_Z) = 0$. Thus if $\text{Supp } Z \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, then $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (t - 1)f))$ and $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S((t - 1)f))$ have the same image in $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ and $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S((t - 1)f)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ is injective. As both $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S((t - 1)f))$ and $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ have dimension t , the map between them is an isomorphism and the cokernel is zero. It follows that V restricts

to a stable bundle on f . Likewise, if $t = 1$ and $Z \subset \sigma$, then clearly the map $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (t-1)f)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ cannot be surjective, and so the cokernel is nonzero. Thus V restricts on f to an unstable bundle for almost every fiber f , so that V is unstable. $\square$

Let us give another proof for (4.6)(v). Using (4.4) we know that the maximal destabilizing line bundle, if it exists, must necessarily be of the form $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (t+k)f)$. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (t+k)f), V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(tf) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0,$$

and V is unstable if and only if the nonzero section of $\mathcal{O}_S(tf) \otimes I_Z$ lifts to a homomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (t+k)f)$ to V . The nonzero section of $\mathcal{O}_S(tf) \otimes I_Z$ defines an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(tf) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0.$$

Here if Z consists of points z_i on distinct fibers f_i , then $Q = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{f_i}(-z_i)$. The coboundary map from $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(tf) \otimes I_Z)$ to $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf))$ is given by taking cup product of the nonzero section with the extension class ξ in $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(tf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf))$ corresponding to V . It is easy to see by the naturality of the pairing that this is the same as taking the image of ξ in $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf))$ using the above exact sequence. Taking the long exact Ext sequence and using the fact that $H^0(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) = 0$, there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(tf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(tf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) = 1$, we see that $\xi \mapsto 0$ if and only if $\text{Ext}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \neq 0$. So we shall show that $\text{Ext}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) = 0$ if and only if the support of Z does not meet σ .

First consider the case where Z consists of points z_i on distinct fibers f_i . Then $Q = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{f_i}(-z_i)$, and standard arguments (cf. [6] Chapter 7 Lemma 1.27) show that $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_{f_i}(-z_i), \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{f_i}(z_i - p_i))$, where $p_i = f_i \cap \sigma$. This group is then zero unless $z_i = p_i$.

We shall briefly outline the argument in the case where $\text{Supp } Z$ is a single point z supported on a fiber f (the proof in the general case is then just a matter of notation). In this case $Q = (\mathcal{O}_S(tf) \otimes I_Z)/\mathcal{O}_S \cong I_Z/I_{tf}$, where I_{tf} is the ideal of the nonreduced subscheme tf . Moreover the assumption that $s_0 = t$ implies that t is the smallest integer s such that $x^s \in I_Z$, where x is a local defining function for the fiber f . Our goal now is again to prove that $\text{Ext}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) = 0$.

Now the sheaf Q has a filtration by subsheaves whose successive quotients are

$$Q_n = I_Z \cap I_{nf}/I_Z \cap I_{(n+1)f} \cong (I_Z \cap I_{nf} + I_{(n+1)f})/I_{(n+1)f},$$

for $0 \leq n \leq t-1$. It is easy to see that each such quotient is a torsion free rank one $\mathcal{O}_f$ -module contained in $I_{nf}/I_{(n+1)f} \cong \mathcal{O}_f$. Thus it is a line bundle on f of strictly negative degree, necessarily of the form $\mathcal{O}_f(-a_n z)$, unless $(I_Z \cap I_{nf} + I_{(n+1)f})/I_{(n+1)f} = I_{nf}/I_{(n+1)f}$, or in other words $I_Z \cap I_{nf} + I_{(n+1)f} = I_{nf}$. In

this case, in the local ring of z we would have $x^n = h + gx^{n+1}$, where x is a local defining function for f and $h \in I_Z$. But then $h = x^n(1 - gx)$, so that $x^n \in I_Z$, contradicting the fact that x^t is the smallest power of x which lies in I_Z . Hence $Q_n \cong \mathcal{O}_f(-a_n z)$ with $a_n \geq 1$.

A standard argument with Chern classes shows that

$$c_2(Q) = t[z] = \sum_{n=0}^{t-1} c_2(Q_n) = - \sum_{n=0}^{t-1} \deg Q_n,$$

where $c_2(Q)$, $c_2(Q_n)$ are taken in the sense of sheaves on S and $\deg Q_n$ is in the sense of line bundles on f . Thus $\deg Q_n = -1$ for all n and $Q_n = \mathcal{O}_f(-z)$. It follows that $\text{Ext}^1(Q_n, \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2nf)) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_f(z - p))$ where $p = \sigma \cap f$. This group is zero if $z \neq p$ and is nonzero otherwise. Thus $\text{Ext}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2nf)) = 0$ if $z \neq p$ and $\text{Ext}^1(Q, \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2nf)) \neq 0$ if $z = p$.

We shall now reverse the above constructions and try to find a universal bundle in the case where the dimension of the moduli space is 2 or 4. For simplicity we shall just consider the case where p_g is odd.

The two-dimensional invariant.

Let $\mathfrak{M}_1$ denote the moduli space of equivalence classes of stable rank two bundles V for which $-p_1(\text{ad } V) - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$. Thus $\mathfrak{M}_1$ is compact. Since p_g is odd, we may fix the determinant of V to be $\sigma - f$. Our goal is to show the following:

Theorem 4.7. $\mathfrak{M}_1 \cong S$. Moreover there is a universal bundle $\mathcal{V}$ over $S \times S$, and

$$p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V})/[\Sigma] = (2(\sigma \cdot \Sigma) - 2p_g(f \cdot \Sigma))f - 4(f \cdot \Sigma)\sigma - 4\Sigma.$$

Thus, as $-4\mu(\Sigma) = p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V})/[\Sigma]$, we have

$$\mu(\Sigma)^2 = (\Sigma)^2 + (p_g - 1)(f \cdot \Sigma)^2.$$

Proof. It follows from (4.3), (4.5), and (4.6)(v) that if V is stable with $-p_1(\text{ad } V) - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$ and $c_1(V) = \sigma - f$, then either there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q \rightarrow 0$$

with $\mathfrak{m}_q$ the maximal ideal of a point $q \notin \sigma$ or there is a nonsplit exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(kf) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f) \rightarrow 0.$$

In this case the set of all nonsplit extensions is isomorphic to σ . Thus the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_1$ is made up of $S - \sigma$, together with a copy of σ . To glue these two pieces, we shall construct a universal bundle over $S \times S$ by taking extensions and then making an elementary modification. To this end, let $\mathbb{D}$ be the diagonal in $S \times S$. Consider the extension $\mathcal{W}$ over $S \times S$ defined as follows:

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here, using the relative Ext sheaves and standard exact sequences we should take

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{-1} &= \text{Ext}_{\pi_2}^1(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}}, \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f)) \\ &= \pi_{2*}(\det N_{\mathbb{D}} \otimes \pi_1^*(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k-1)f))) \\ &= \pi_{2*}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}(-(p_g-1)f) \otimes \pi_1^*(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + p_g f))) \\ &= \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + f).\end{aligned}$$

With this choice of $\mathcal{L}$, we find that $\text{Ext}^1(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}}, \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}})$ and that the unique nontrivial extension is indeed locally free. This defines $\mathcal{W}$, and an easy computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}c_1(\mathcal{W}) &= \pi_1^*(\sigma - f) + \pi_2^* c_1(\mathcal{L}); \\ p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{W}) &= 2\pi_1^*(-\sigma + (2k-1)f) \cdot \pi_2^*(\sigma - f) - 4[\mathbb{D}] + \dots,\end{aligned}$$

where the omitted terms do not affect slant product.

The restriction W of $\mathcal{W}$ to the slice $S \times \{q\}$ is the unique nontrivial extension of $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q$ by $\mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f)$. By (4.6)(v) W is stable if and only if q does not lie on σ . To remedy this problem, we shall make an elementary modification along $S \times \sigma$. Note that, if W is given as an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \rightarrow W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q \rightarrow 0,$$

where $q \in \sigma$, then the maximal destabilizing sub-line bundle of W must be $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f)$ by (4.4) and thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f) \rightarrow W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(kf) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that $\pi_{2*} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}|(S \times \sigma), \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(kf))$ is a line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ and the natural map

$$\mathcal{W} \rightarrow i_*(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(kf) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{M})$$

is surjective. Thus we can define $\mathcal{V}$ by taking the associated elementary modification. By construction there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow i_*(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(kf) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover for each $q \in \sigma$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(kf) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}|S \times \{q\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus by (4.5) $\mathcal{V}|S \times \{q\}$ is stable provided that this extension does not split. We state this fact explicitly as a lemma, whose proof will be deferred until later:

Lemma 4.8. *In the above notation, the extension for $\mathcal{V}|S \times \{q\}$ does not split.*

Assuming the lemma, the restriction of $\mathcal{V}$ to each slice is stable and thus $\mathcal{V}$ defines a morphism from S to the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_1$. It is clear that this morphism is a bijection between two smooth surfaces and is thus an isomorphism. Moreover, by (0.1),

$$p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V}) = p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{W}) + 2c_1(\mathcal{W}) \cdot [S \times \sigma] + [S \times \sigma]^2 - 4i_* c_1(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(kf) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{M}).$$

Plugging in for $c_1(\mathcal{W})$ and $p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{W})$ gives

$$p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V}) = 2\pi_1^*(-\sigma + (2k-1)f) \cdot \pi_2^*(\sigma - f) - 4[\mathbb{D}] + 2\pi_1^*(\sigma - f) \cdot \pi_2^*\sigma - 4\pi_1^*(kf) \cdot \pi_2^*\sigma + \cdots,$$

where as usual the omitted terms do not affect slant product. Thus collecting terms and taking slant product gives

$$-4\mu(\Sigma) = 2(\sigma \cdot \Sigma)f - 2p_g(f \cdot \Sigma)f - 4(f \cdot \Sigma)\sigma - 4\Sigma,$$

as claimed in the statement of Theorem 4.4. This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.7. $\square$

Proof of (4.8). We shall use the criterion (A.4) of the Appendix and the discussion following it to see that the extension does not split. Given $q \in \sigma$, let $W = \mathcal{W}|_S \times \{q\}$. We need to show:

- (i) $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f), \mathcal{O}_S(kf)) = 0$.
- (ii) The map (coming from the usual long exact sequences)

$$\begin{aligned} R^0\pi_{2*}(\pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}} \otimes \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (k+1)f)) &= R^0\pi_{2*}(\pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}}) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow R^1\pi_{2*}\pi_1^*(\mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (k+1)f)) &= R^1\pi_{2*}\pi_1^*(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \end{aligned}$$

vanishes simply along σ .

- (iii) $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q)$ is independent of q , and is nonzero only if $p_g = 0$. Moreover $H^2(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) = 0$.
- (iv) At each point of σ , the map $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(kf) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (k+1)f)) = H^1(-\sigma + (2k+1)f)$ induced by the map $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \rightarrow H^1(W \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (k+1)f))$ followed by the natural map $H^1(W \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (k+1)f)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(kf) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (k+1)f))$ is injective.

The statement (i) is clear. To prove (ii), we shall calculate $R^1\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f))$ by an argument similar to the second proof of (4.6)(v). By base change $R^0\pi_{2*}(\pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}}) = \mathcal{L}_1$ is a line bundle on S . From the definition of $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ the sheaf $\text{Ext}_{\pi_2}^1(\pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}}, \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \otimes \mathcal{L}$ is the trivial line bundle. A global section induces the map $\mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow R^1\pi_{2*}\pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + 2kf) \otimes \mathcal{L}$. The cokernel of this map is a subsheaf of $R^1\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (k+1)f))$. To determine where the map vanishes, use the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here the map $\pi_2^*\mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}}$ is the natural one and a calculation in local coordinates shows that it vanishes simply along $D = S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} S \subset S \times S$. It follows that, up to a line bundle pulled back from the second factor $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}_D(-\mathbb{D})$. Thus $\mathcal{P}$ is up to sign a Poincaré bundle.

Now $\text{Ext}_{\pi_2}^2(\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q, \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) = 0$ since $H^2(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k-1)f)) = 0$. Thus $\text{Ext}_{\pi_2}^2(\pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}}, \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) = 0$ and there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{\pi_2}^1(\mathcal{P}, \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\pi_2}^1(\pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}}, \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow R^1\pi_{2*}\pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + 2kf) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\pi_2}^2(\mathcal{P}, \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the naturality of the pairings involved that the image of the map

$$Ext_{\pi_2}^1(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}}, \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{2*} \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + 2kf))$$

is, up to a twist by the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$, the image of $\mathcal{L}_1$.

Note that the restriction of $\mathcal{P}^\vee \otimes \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)$ to $\pi_2^{-1}(q) \subset D$, where q is any point except the singular point on a singular fiber, is $\mathcal{O}_f(p - q)$, where f is the fiber containing q and $p = f \cap \sigma$. Ignoring the possible double points of D , we have by standard arguments

$$\begin{aligned} Ext_{\pi_2}^1(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}}, \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) &= \pi_{2*}(\mathcal{P}^\vee \otimes \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \\ Ext_{\pi_2}^2(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}}, \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) &= R^1 \pi_{2*}(\mathcal{P}^\vee \otimes \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \end{aligned}$$

(where $\mathcal{P}^\vee$ means that the dual is taken as a line bundle on D). Thus $\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{P}^\vee \otimes \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) = 0$ and $R^1 \pi_{2*}(\mathcal{P}^\vee \otimes \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf))$ is supported on σ . To calculate its length, we have (again ignoring the double points of D which will not cause trouble) an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(\mathbb{D} - \pi_1^* \sigma + \pi_1^*(2kf)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(\mathbb{D} + \pi_1^*(2kf)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(\mathbb{D} + \pi_1^*(2kf))|_{\pi_1^* \sigma \cap D} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now $\pi_1^* \sigma \cap D \cong S$ via π_2 and under this isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_D(\mathbb{D} + \pi_1^*(2kf))|_{\pi_1^* \sigma \cap D} \cong \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + 2kf)$. The map

$$R^0 \pi_{2*} \mathcal{O}_D(\pi_1^*(2kf)) \rightarrow R^0 \pi_{2*} \mathcal{O}_D(\mathbb{D} + \pi_1^*(2kf))$$

is an isomorphism, since the induced map on H^0 's for the restriction to each fiber of π_2 is an isomorphism. Using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(\pi_1^*(-\sigma + 2kf)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(\pi_1^*(2kf)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(2kf) \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that the image of $R^0 \pi_{2*} \mathcal{O}_D(\pi_1^*(2kf)) = R^0 \pi_{2*} \mathcal{O}_D(\mathbb{D} + \pi_1^*(2kf))$ in

$$R^0 \pi_{2*} \mathcal{O}_D(\mathbb{D} + \pi_1^*(2kf))|_{\pi_1^* \sigma \cap D} = \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + 2kf)$$

is just the image of $\mathcal{O}_S(2kf)$ in $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + 2kf)$. Thus this map vanishes simply along σ , and its cokernel, which is

$$R^1 \pi_{2*} \mathcal{O}_D(\mathbb{D} - \pi_1^* \sigma + \pi_1^*(2kf)) = R^1 \pi_{2*}(\mathcal{P}^\vee \otimes \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)),$$

is a line bundle on σ . It follows that the map of line bundles

$$Ext_{\pi_2}^1(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathbb{D}}, \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{2*} \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + 2kf) \otimes \mathcal{L}$$

vanishes simply along σ , so that we are in the situation of (A.4): the cokernel contributes torsion of length one.

To see that the above is exactly the torsion in $R^1 \pi_{2*}(\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (k+1)f))$ follows from (iii), as in the discussion after (A.4). To see (iii), use the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_q \rightarrow 0.$$

The long exact cohomology sequence shows that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q) \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(f))$. It is easy to see that this last group is zero if $p_g > 0$ and has dimension one if $p_g = 0$ (and in any case its dimension is obviously independent of q). Finally $H^2(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) = 0$ by (4.1). Thus we have identified the torsion in $R^1\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (k+1)f))$, compatibly with base change.

We finally need to check that the induced map

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k+1)f))$$

is injective. But this map is induced from the composition of the map of sheaves $\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf) \rightarrow W \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (k+1)f)$ together with the map $W \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (k+1)f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k+1)f)$. This composition is then a nonzero map from $\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)$ to $\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k+1)f)$ and so fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k+1)f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f(-p) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $H^0(\mathcal{O}_f(-p)) = 0$, the map $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k+1)f))$ is injective. Thus the extension class for $\mathcal{V}|_S \times \{q\}$ is nonzero, and we are done. $\square$

The four-dimensional invariant.

We again assume that p_g is odd and list the possible types of extensions for a stable bundle. The generic case (Type 1) is where there exists a codimension two subscheme Z with $\ell(Z) = 2$ and an exact sequence

$$(\text{Type 1}) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-2)f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0.$$

Other possibilities (Types 2 and 3 respectively) are

$$(\text{Type 2}) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q \rightarrow 0;$$

$$(\text{Type 3}) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(kf) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-2)f) \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $\mathfrak{m}_q$ is the maximal ideal of a point q . Finally there is also the case where V is not locally free. In this case the double dual of V fits into an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \rightarrow V^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f) \rightarrow 0$$

which must be nonsplit if V is to be stable, in which case $V^{\vee\vee}$ is just a twist of V_0 . One possibility is that V is given as the unique non-locally free extension of $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q$ by $\mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f)$ as in the second exact sequence above. The remaining possibility (Type 4) is that V is given as an extension

$$(\text{Type 4}) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f) \rightarrow 0.$$

For a fixed q , the set of all such extensions is parametrized by a $\mathbb{P}^1$, one point of which correspond to a V such that $V^{\vee\vee}$ is unstable.

Our goal here is to give a very brief sketch of the following, where we use the notation of the introduction for divisors on $\text{Hilb}^2 S$:

Theorem 4.9. *The moduli space $\mathfrak{M}_2$ of dimension 4 is isomorphic to $\text{Hilb}^2 S$, and for all $\Sigma \in H_2(S)$,*

$$\mu(\Sigma) = D_{\alpha_2} - ((f \cdot \Sigma)/2)E,$$

where, setting $\alpha_1 = \mu_1(\Sigma)$ to be the class computed by the μ -map for the two-dimensional invariant,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2 &= \Sigma + (-(\sigma \cdot \Sigma) + (p_g + 1)(f \cdot \Sigma)/2)f + (f \cdot \Sigma)\sigma \\ &= \alpha_1 + ((f \cdot \Sigma)/2)f. \end{aligned}$$

Thus an easy calculation using the multiplication table for $\text{Hilb}^2 S$ gives the following:

Corollary 4.10. *In the above notation,*

$$\mu(\Sigma)^4 = 3(\Sigma^2)^2 + 6(p_g - 1)(\Sigma^2)(f \cdot \Sigma)^2 + [3(p_g + 1)(p_g - 1) - 8(p_g - 1)](f \cdot \Sigma)^4.$$

We shall not give a complete proof of (4.9) here, but shall outline the argument and prove some statements which will be used later. In Sections 9 and 10, we shall prove a more general statement which will imply (4.9).

We begin as before by analyzing the generic case, Type 1. Let Z be a codimension two subscheme of S with $\ell(Z) = 2$. Let D_σ be the effective divisor of $\text{Hilb}^2 S$ which is the closure of the locus of pairs $\{z_1, z_2\}$ where $z_1 \in \sigma$. Then arguing as in the proof of (4.6)(i)–(iii), we see that

$$\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S((k - 2)f)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } Z \notin \text{Sym}^2 \sigma \subset \text{Hilb}^2 S; \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In case $Z \notin D_\sigma$, the unique extension class mod scalars corresponds to a locally free extension. If $Z \in D_\sigma - \text{Sym}^2 \sigma$, then the unique nontrivial extension is not locally free. If $Z \in \text{Sym}^2 \sigma$, then there exist locally free extensions.

Next we must analyze when a locally free extension is stable. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the irreducible divisor in $\text{Hilb}^2 S$ corresponding to the divisor $S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} S \subset S \times S$. Equivalently

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ Z \in \text{Hilb}^2 S \mid h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_Z) = 1 \}.$$

The divisor $\mathcal{D}$ is smooth, although $S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} S$ is singular at the finitely many pairs of points (x, x) , where x is a double point of a singular fiber. One way to see this is as follows. The divisor $S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} S$ has ordinary threefold double points at the singularities. Moreover it contains the diagonal $\mathbb{D} \subset S \times S$, which is smooth and passes through the double points. It is well-known (and easy to check) that the blowup of a threefold double point $(xy - zw)$ along a subvariety of the form $(x - z, y - w)$ gives a small resolution of the singularity. Thus the proper transform of $S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} S$ in the blowup of $S \times S$ along $\mathbb{D}$ is smooth, and $\mathcal{D}$ is the quotient of this proper transform by an involution whose fixed point set is smooth (it is $\mathbb{D}$). Thus $\mathbb{D}$ is smooth.

Lemma 4.11. *Let V be a vector bundle given by an extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k - 2)f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\ell(Z) = 2$. Then V is not stable if and only if either $Z \in \text{Sym}^2 \sigma$ or $Z \in \mathcal{D}$. If $Z \in \mathcal{D}$, then the maximal destabilizing sub-line bundle is $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f)$ and there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f) \rightarrow V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $q = z_1 + z_2 - p$, where f is the unique fiber containing $Z = \{z_1, z_2\}$, $p = \sigma \cap f$, and the addition is with respect to the group law on f (if f is singular and $\text{Supp } Z$ meets the singular point then q is the singular point as well). If $Z \in \text{Sym}^2 \sigma - \mathcal{D}$, then the maximal destabilizing sub-line bundle is $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (k+2)f)$.

Proof. If $Z \notin D_\sigma$, then we have seen in (4.6)(v) that V is unstable if and only if $Z \in \mathcal{D}$, and in this case the destabilizing sub-line bundle must be $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f)$ by (4.4). The quotient is torsion free and by a Chern class calculation it must be $\mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q$ for some point q . To identify the point q , let us assume for simplicity that $\text{Supp } Z$ does not meet the singular point of a singular fiber, we can restrict the two exact sequences for V to the fiber f containing Z . From these we see that there are surjective maps $V|f \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f(p - z_1 - z_2)$ and $V|f \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f(-q)$. Since $\deg V|f = 1$, it splits and the unique summand of negative degree is thus $\mathcal{O}_f(p - z_1 - z_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_f(-q)$. It follows that $q = z_1 + z_2 - p$. The case where $\text{Supp } Z$ contains the singular point of a singular fiber is similar.

If $Z \in D_\sigma$, then since V is locally free $Z \in \text{Sym}^2 \sigma$. Arguments as in (4.6) then show that V is unstable. If moreover $Z \notin \mathcal{D}$, then by (4.4) the maximal destabilizing sub-line bundle is $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (k+2)f)$. $\square$

Our next task will be to construct a universal sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ over $\text{Hilb}^2 S - D_\sigma$. We begin by finding a sheaf $\mathcal{W}$ as follows: let $\mathcal{Z} \subset S \times \text{Hilb}^2 S$ be the universal subscheme, and consider the relative extension sheaf $\text{Ext}_{\pi_2}^1(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}, \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S((k-2)f))$. Since $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k-2)f)) = 0$, there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\pi_2}^1(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}, \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S((k-2)f)) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow R^0 \pi_{2*} \text{Ext}^1(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}, \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S((k-2)f)). \end{aligned}$$

Over the complement of $\text{Sym}^2 \sigma$, $\text{Ext}_{\pi_2}^1(\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}, \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S((k-2)f))$ is a line bundle on $\text{Hilb}^2 S - \text{Sym}^2 \sigma$ which we denote by $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ and thus there is a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{W}$ defined by

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S((k-2)f) \otimes \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow 0.$$

However, if $Z \in \mathcal{D}$, then $\mathcal{W}|S \times \{Z\}$ is not stable, and if $Z \in D_\sigma$ then $\mathcal{W}|S \times \{Z\}$ is neither locally free nor stable. We shall first study $\mathcal{W}|S \times (\text{Hilb}^2 S - D_\sigma)$, and shall denote this for simplicity again by $\mathcal{W}$. There is a unique point $q = z_1 + z_2 - p$ such that $\mathcal{W}|S \times \{Z\}$ maps surjectively to $\mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q$, and so we expect to be able to make an elementary transformation along $\mathcal{D}$. Indeed, since $\dim \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f), \mathcal{W}|S \times \{Z\}) = 1$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{D}$, there are line bundles $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_2$ on $\mathcal{D}$ and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}|S \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes I_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{Y}$ is the set

$$\{(q, z_1, z_2) \in S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} \mathcal{D} \mid q = z_1 + z_2 - p\}.$$

It is easy to check from the definition that $\mathcal{V}$ is smooth and that the map $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an isomorphism. Thus we may define $\mathcal{V}$ by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow i_* \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes I_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where i is the inclusion of $S \times \mathcal{D}$ in $S \times (\text{Hilb}^2 S - D_\sigma)$. We then have the following:

Proposition 4.12. *The sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ is a reflexive sheaf, flat over $\text{Hilb}^2 S - D_\sigma$. The restriction of $\mathcal{V}$ to each slice $S \times \{Z\}$ is a stable torsion free sheaf, which is locally free if and only if $Z \notin \mathcal{D}$.*

Proof. By (A.2) of the Appendix, $\mathcal{V}$ is reflexive and flat over $\text{Hilb}^2 S - D_\sigma$. For each $Z \in \mathcal{D}$, if V_Z is the restriction of $\mathcal{V}$ to the slice $S \times \{Z\}$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q \rightarrow V_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f) \rightarrow 0,$$

by (A.2) again. If $Z \notin \mathcal{D}$ then $V_Z = \mathcal{V}|_{S \times \{Z\}}$ is locally free and stable. Thus we need only check that the double dual of V_Z , for $Z \in \mathcal{D}$, is the unique nonsplit extension of $\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f)$ by $\mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f)$, which will imply that $V_Z^{\vee\vee}$ is up to a twist the stable bundle V_0 .

To verify that the double dual of V_Z is a nonsplit extension amounts to the following: the extension class corresponding to V_Z lives in $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma + (-k-1)f), \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q)$, and we must show that its image in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf))$ is nonzero. To do this we shall use the result (A.4) of the Appendix. Let $M = \mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - (k+1)f)$ and $L = \mathcal{O}_S((k-1)f)$. Clearly $\text{Hom}(M, L) = 0$. By the definition of $\mathcal{W}$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k-1)f) \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \otimes \pi_1^* M^{-1} \rightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow 0.$$

By (4.1) $R^1 \pi_{2*} \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k-1)f) = R^2 \pi_{2*} \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k-1)f) = 0$. Thus $R^1 \pi_{2*} \mathcal{W} \otimes \pi_1^* M^{-1} \cong R^1 \pi_{2*} (\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}})$. To analyze $R^1 \pi_{2*} (\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}})$, use the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \rightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to check that $R^1 \pi_{2*} \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) = 0$ if $p_g > 0$, and is a line bundle if $p_g = 0$. Clearly $R^1 \pi_{2*} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f)) = 0$. Thus the torsion in $R^1 \pi_{2*} (\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}})$ is the cokernel of the map between two rank two vector bundles on $\text{Hilb}^2 S$

$$R^0 \pi_{2*} \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \rightarrow R^0 \pi_{2*} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f)).$$

Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a smooth divisor, by using elementary divisors for the vector bundle map we can describe this cokernel by describing what it looks like at the generic point. It is a simple exercise in local coordinates to identify the determinant of the vector bundle map with a local equation for $\mathcal{D}$ at the generic point. Thus the torsion in $R^1 \pi_{2*} \mathcal{W} \otimes \pi_1^* M^{-1}$ is a line bundle on $\mathcal{D}$, which is identified with the torsion in $R^1 \pi_{2*} (\pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}})$. Similar statements hold via standard base change results if we restrict to a first order neighborhood of $\mathcal{D}$, where torsion is to be interpreted in the sense of (A.4)(ii) of the appendix.

Next, let $Z = \{z_1, z_2\} \in \mathcal{D}$ and let W be the extension corresponding to the restriction of $\mathcal{W}$ to the slice $S \times \{Z\}$, we must identify the corresponding extension class, i.e. the image of the one-dimensional vector space $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_Z)$ in $H^1(M^{-1} \otimes L \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q)$ and its further image in $H^1(M^{-1} \otimes L)$. Using the two exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow W \otimes M^{-1} \rightarrow M^{-1} \otimes L \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q \rightarrow 0; \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + (2k-1)f) \rightarrow W \otimes M^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

we see that the composite map $\mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_Z$ is nonzero and gives the nontrivial section. Now the quotient of $\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_Z$ by $\mathcal{O}_S$ is $\mathcal{O}_f(-z_1 - z_2)$. Thus there is an induced map $M^{-1} \otimes L \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f(-z_1 - z_2)$ which must factor through the natural map $M^{-1} \otimes L \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q = \mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f(-p - q)$. (Here as usual $p = \sigma \cap f$.) As the induced map $\mathcal{O}_f(-p - q) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_f(-z_1 - z_2)$ is nonzero, it is an isomorphism, and we recover the fact that $q = z_1 + z_2 - p$. Using the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_Z & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_S(f) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_f(-z_1 - z_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_f & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_Z \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

we also see that the image of $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(f) \otimes I_Z)$ in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-z_1 - z_2))$ is the same as the image of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-z_1 - z_2))$.

There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf) \otimes \mathfrak{m}_q) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-z_1 - z_2)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-p - q)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-p)). \end{array}$$

Moreover the map $H^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-\sigma + 2kf)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-p))$ is an isomorphism. So the problem is the following one: does the image of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-z_1 - z_2))$ map to zero in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-p))$? The image of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-z_1 - z_2))$ is dual to the image of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_f)$ in $H^0(\mathcal{O}_f(z_1 + z_2))$, giving a section vanishing at z_1 and z_2 . On the other hand the kernel of the map $H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-p - q)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_f(-p))$ is dual to the image of the map $H^0(\mathcal{O}_f(p)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_f(p + q))$, and the corresponding section of $\mathcal{O}_f(p + q)$ vanishes at p and q . So the only way that this can equal the image of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ is for z_1 or z_2 to equal p , i.e. $Z \in D_\sigma$. Conversely, if $Z \notin D_\sigma$, then the image of the extension class in $H^1(M^{-1} \otimes L)$ is not zero. Thus the double dual of the restriction of $\mathcal{V}$ to $S \times \{Z\}$ is a nonsplit extension and so it is stable. $\square$

This is as far as we shall go in this section in calculating the four-dimensional invariant. But let us sketch here how to obtain the full formula in (4.8). We will prove a more general statement in Section 10, where we will use (4.12).

First, to deal with the fact that $\dim \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(\sigma - kf) \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_S((k-2)f))$ jumps along $\text{Sym}^2 \sigma$, blow up $\text{Sym}^2 \sigma$ inside $\text{Hilb}^2 S$. Let the exceptional divisor be G . After blowing up, we can assume that the extension is not locally trivial along G . There is thus a universal extension of torsion free sheaves $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ over $S \times \text{Bl}_{\text{Sym}^2 \sigma} \text{Hilb}^2 S$.

Now make an elementary modification along $\mathcal{D}$, replacing unstable Type 1 extensions with $Z \in \mathcal{D} - \text{Sym}^2 \sigma$ with stable Type 4 extensions. Next make an elementary modification along D_σ , replacing unstable Type 1 extensions with $Z \in D_\sigma$ with Type 2 extensions; this also fixes some of the unstable Type 4 extensions. Finally make an elementary modification along G to replace the remaining unstable extensions with Type 3 extensions. At this point every member of the family is a stable torsion free sheaf, and the induced morphism to $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$ blows G back down again to $\text{Sym}^2 \sigma$. The morphism $\text{Hilb}^2 S \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$ is then an isomorphism. Keeping track of the Chern classes gives the formula in Theorem 4.8.

Finally, we state a general conjecture:

Conjecture 4.13. If S has a section, then the map of (3.14) extends to an isomorphism $\text{Hilb}^t S \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_t$.

If the conjecture is true, then the method of test surfaces used in the proof of Lemma 9.2 can be used to show that the μ -map is given by the following formula (where we use the notation of the Introduction for divisors in $\text{Hilb}^t S$ as well):

$$\mu(\Sigma) = D_{\alpha_t} - ((f \cdot \Sigma)/2)E,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t &= \Sigma + ((-\sigma \cdot \Sigma) + (p_g - 1 + t)(f \cdot \Sigma))/2 f + (f \cdot \Sigma)\sigma \\ &= \alpha_1 + (t - 1)((f \cdot \Sigma)/2)f. \end{aligned}$$

5. Calculation of the invariant for dimension two and no multiple fibers.

Our goal in this and the following three sections will be a complete calculation of the Donaldson polynomial invariant $\gamma_{w,p}$ in case $-p - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$. In this case, the moduli space is compact of real dimension four and complex dimension two, and may be identified with the algebraic surface $J^{e+1}(S)$. We shall begin with the case where S has a section σ and $e = -2$. We have already described how to calculate the invariant in this case in the last section. However, we shall give another method for doing so here, since it will serve to explain the construction in the general case. In fact, we shall reprove (in a slightly different guise) the formula in (4.7):

$$-4\mu(\Sigma) = (2(\sigma \cdot \Sigma) - 2p_g(f \cdot \Sigma))f - 4(f \cdot \Sigma)\sigma - 4\Sigma.$$

To describe the μ -map, we begin by describing a universal bundle over S . Recall that every bundle V with $-p_1(\text{ad } V) - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$ is obtained from the fixed bundle V_0 by a single allowable elementary modification. For convenience we will look at the case where $e = -2$. Thus we shall normalize V_0 to have $\det V_0 \cdot f = -3$ and

$$-p_1(\text{ad } V_0) - p = c_1(V_0)^2 - 4c_2(V_0) = 3(1 + p_g(S)).$$

As V_0 is well-defined up to twisting, so that we can assume that $c_1(V_0) = -3\sigma$, if $p_g(S)$ is odd, and $c_1(V_0) = -3\sigma + f$ if $p_g(S)$ is even. (Here we could use the explicit description of V_0 from the preceding section, or use the congruence $p \equiv 1 + p_g \pmod{4}$ to see that these choices always give $c_1(V_0)^2 \equiv p \pmod{4}$.) We shall just consider the argument in case p_g is odd. Setting $c = c_2(V_0)$, we have

$$4c - (-3\sigma)^2 = 3(1 + p_g)$$

and thus

$$c = -\frac{3}{2}(1 + p_g).$$

If V is stable, with $-p_1(\text{ad } V) = 3(1 + p_g) + 2$, then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0,$$

where Q is a rank one torsion free sheaf on a fiber f with $\deg Q = -1$ and $\det V_0 \cdot f = -3$, and conversely every such V is stable. We need to parametrize such sheaves Q as a family over $S \times S$, where the first factor should be viewed as the surface and the second as the moduli space. To do so, let π_1 and π_2 be the projections of $S \times S$ to the first and second factors, let $\mathbb{D}$ denote the diagonal inside $S \times S$ and let $D = S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} S$ be the fiber product. Thus D is a Cartier divisor, which is not however smooth at the images of pairs of double points. At such a point D has the local equation $xy = zw$, and thus D has an ordinary double point in dimension three. The diagonal $\mathbb{D}$ is of course contained as a hypersurface in D , but this hypersurface fails to be Cartier at the singular points of D . Let $\mathcal{P} = I_{\mathbb{D}}/I_D$. In local analytic coordinates, $\mathcal{P}$ looks like

$$(x - z, y - w)R/(xy - zw)R$$

near the double point, where $R = \mathbb{C}\{x, y, z, w\}$. We claim that the sheaf $\mathcal{P}$ is flat over S (the second factor). Indeed there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_{\mathbb{D}}/I_D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}$ is obviously flat over S and $\mathcal{O}_D$ is flat over S since D is a local complete intersection inside $S \times S$. Thus $\mathcal{P}$ is flat over S also. Given $q \in S$ denote $\mathcal{P}|_{\pi_2^{-1}(q)}$ by $\mathcal{P}_q$, where we shall identify $\mathcal{P}_q$ with the corresponding torsion sheaf on S . If q is not a singular point of a nodal fiber, then $\mathcal{P}_q = \mathcal{O}_f(-q)$, where f is the fiber containing q and we have identified $\mathcal{O}_f(-q)$ with its direct image on S under the inclusion. If q is the singular point of a singular fiber, then in local analytic coordinates $\mathcal{P}_q$ is given by

$$(x - z, y - w)R/(xy - zw, z, w)R \cong (x, y)\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}/(xy)\mathbb{C}\{x, y\}.$$

Thus globally $\mathcal{P}_q$ is the maximal ideal of q , in other words it is the unique torsion free rank one sheaf of degree -1 on the singular fiber which is not locally free.

Fix as above V_0 to be a stable rank two vector bundle on S of fiber degree -3 such that the restriction of V_0 to every fiber is stable. Thus as we have seen in (1.2) and (2.7)(i), $\dim \text{Hom}(V_0, \mathcal{P}_q) = h^0(V_0^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}_q) = 1$ and $h^1(V_0^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}_q) = 0$. It follows via flat base change as in the proof of (3.15) that $\pi_{2*}((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P})$ is a line bundle on S . We let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the dual line bundle. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(\pi_1^* V_0, \mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}) &= H^0(S \times S; (\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}) \\ &= H^0(S; \pi_{2*}((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathcal{L}) \\ &= H^0(S; \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}) = H^0(S; \mathcal{O}_S). \end{aligned}$$

Thus there is a nonzero map $\pi_1^* V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}$, essentially unique, and its restriction to each fiber $\pi_2^{-1}(q)$ is also nonzero. We may then define a universal bundle by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \pi_1^* V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 5.1. *The sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ is locally free and its restriction to each slice $S \times \{q\}$ is a stable rank two vector bundle V_q with $-p_1(\text{ad } V_q) - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$. The resulting morphism $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. There is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_q \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_q \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus V_q is locally free for all q and so is $\mathcal{V}$. By construction V_q has stable restriction to every fiber except the one containing q . Thus V_q is stable. The statement about $p_1(\text{ad } V_q)$ is clear. Finally, examining the description of (3.13), we see that the map $S \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1$ is a bijection. Since $\mathfrak{M}_1$ is smooth, the map is therefore an isomorphism. $\square$

We now turn to calculating the Chern classes of $\mathcal{V}$. By (0.1)

$$p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V}) - p_1(\text{ad } \pi^* V_0) = 2c_1(V_0) \cdot D + [D]^2 - 4i_*c_1(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}),$$

where $i: D \rightarrow S \times S$ is the inclusion. Here the sheaf $\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}$ fails to be a line bundle exactly at the singular points of D , which does not affect the Chern classes c_1 and c_2 . Thus we can simply define $i_*c_1(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L})$ to be the unique extension of the class $i_*c_1(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}|_{D_{\text{reg}}})$. Next we claim:

Lemma 5.2. *In $H^2(S \times S)$, we have $[D] = f \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f$.*

Proof. Let C be a Riemann surface embedded in S , and consider $([C] \otimes [x]) \cup [D]$, where x is a point of S . This is the same as $\#((C \times \{x\}) \cap D)$, where the points are counted with signs. Clearly this intersection is the same as $\#(C \cap f)$. A similar argument holds for $([x] \otimes [C]) \cap [D]$. Thus $[D]$ and $f \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f$ define the same element of $H^2(S \times S)$. $\square$

It follows that, up to a term not affecting slant product,

$$p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V}) - p_1(\text{ad } \pi^* V_0) = -6\sigma \otimes f + 2f \otimes f - 4i_*c_1(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}).$$

Next we must calculate the most interesting term in the expression for $p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V})$ above, the term $c_1(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L})$, viewed as a coherent sheaf on D . As far as c_1 is concerned, we can ignore the singularities of D . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}|_{D_{\text{reg}}}) &= c_1(I_{\mathbb{D}}/I_D|_{D_{\text{reg}}}) + \pi_2^*c_1(\mathcal{L}) \\ &= -[\mathbb{D}] + \pi_2^*c_1(\mathcal{L}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $[\mathbb{D}]$ is viewed as a divisor on D_{reg} . However the unique extension of $i_*[\mathbb{D}]$ to an element of $H^4(S \times S)$ is clearly again $[\mathbb{D}]$, where we now view $\mathbb{D}$ as a codimension two cycle on $S \times S$. Now let $\alpha = c_1(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) \in H^2(S)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} i_*\pi_2^*c_1(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) &= i_*i^*(1 \otimes \alpha) \\ &= i_*i^*(1) \cup (1 \otimes \alpha) = [D] \cup (1 \otimes \alpha) \\ &= f \otimes \alpha + 1 \otimes [f \cdot \alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus up to a term which does not affect slant product, $i_*\pi_2^*c_1(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) = f \otimes \alpha$. To calculate this term, we shall use the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3. $\alpha = c_1(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) = -3\sigma - \frac{5}{2}(p_g + 1)f$.

Proof. We shall apply the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem to calculate the Chern classes of

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} = \pi_{2*}((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}).$$

We have

$$\text{ch}((\pi_2)_!((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P})) \text{Todd } S = \pi_{2*} \left[\text{ch}((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}) \cdot \text{Todd}(S \times S) \right].$$

Now $H^1(V_0^\vee \otimes Q) = 0$ for all Q a torsion free rank one sheaf on a fiber f , so that $(\pi_2)_!((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}) = \pi_{2*}((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ and the left hand side above is just $c_1(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) \text{Todd } S$. Now we can also multiply by $(\text{Todd } S)^{-1}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) &= \pi_{2*} \left[\text{ch}((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}) \cdot \text{Todd}(S \times S) \right] \cdot (\text{Todd } S)^{-1} \\ &= \pi_{2*} \left[\text{ch}((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}) \cdot \text{Todd}(S \times S) \cdot \pi_2^*(\text{Todd } S)^{-1} \right] \\ &= \pi_{2*} \left[\text{ch}((\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}) \cdot \pi_1^* \text{Todd } S \right] \\ &= \pi_{2*} \left[\text{ch}(\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \cdot \pi_1^* \text{Todd } S \cdot \text{ch}(\mathcal{P}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

using the multiplicativity of the Todd class. Moreover

$$\text{ch}(V_0^\vee) = 2 - c_1(V_0) + \frac{c_1(V_0)^2 - 2c_2(V_0)}{2} = 2 + 3\sigma - 6(1 + p_g)[\text{pt}]$$

and

$$\text{Todd } S = 1 - \frac{(p_g - 1)}{2}f + (p_g + 1)[\text{pt}].$$

So

$$\pi_1^* \text{ch}(V_0^\vee) \cdot \pi_1^* \text{Todd } S = 2 + 3\sigma \otimes 1 - (p_g - 1)f \otimes 1 + N[\text{pt}] \otimes 1,$$

where

$$N = \frac{(3\sigma)^2 - 2c}{2} + 2(p_g + 1) - \frac{3}{2}(p_g - 1) = \frac{-5p_g + 1}{2},$$

using the fact that $c = -\frac{3}{2}(1 + p_g)$.

Next we compute $\text{ch } \mathcal{P} = \text{ch}(I_{\mathbb{D}}/I_D) = \text{ch } I_{\mathbb{D}} - \text{ch } I_D$. Now $I_D = \mathcal{O}_{S \times S}(-D)$, so that $\text{ch } I_D = 1 - [D] + [D]^2/2 - \dots$. As for $\text{ch } I_{\mathbb{D}}$, we have $\text{ch } I_{\mathbb{D}} = 1 - \text{ch } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}$. Applying the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula to the inclusion $j: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow S \times S$ gives $\text{ch } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}} = j_*((\text{Todd } N_{\mathbb{D}/S \times S})^{-1})$, where $N_{\mathbb{D}/S \times S}$ is the normal bundle of $\mathbb{D}$ in $S \times S$, and so is equal to the tangent bundle T_S on $\mathbb{D}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}} &= j_* \left(\left(1 - \frac{(p_g - 1)}{2}f + (1 + p_g)[\text{pt}] \right)^{-1} \right) \\ &= j_* \left(1 + \frac{(p_g - 1)}{2}f - (1 + p_g)[\text{pt}] \right) \\ &= [\mathbb{D}] + \frac{(p_g - 1)}{2}j_*f - (1 + p_g)j_*[\text{pt}]. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting up the terms through degree 3 (which are the only ones which will contribute) gives

$$\text{ch } \mathcal{P} = [D] - \frac{[D]^2}{2} - [\mathbb{D}] - \frac{p_g - 1}{2} j_* f + \dots$$

Putting this together, we see that α is the degree one term in

$$\pi_{2*} \left[(2 + 3\sigma \otimes 1 - (p_g - 1)f \otimes 1 + N[\text{pt}] \otimes 1) \cdot ([D] - \frac{[D]^2}{2} - [\mathbb{D}] - \frac{p_g - 1}{2} j_* f) \right].$$

Recalling that $D = f \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f$ and that $[D]^2/2 = f \otimes f$, we must apply π_{2*} to $\pi_{2*}((p_g - 1)j_* f - 3(\sigma \otimes 1) \cdot [\mathbb{D}] + (p_g - 1)(f \otimes 1) \cdot [\mathbb{D}] - 3(\sigma \otimes 1) \cdot (f \otimes f) + N[\text{pt}] \otimes f)$.

The result is then

$$-(p_g - 1)f - 3f - 3\sigma + (p_g - 1)f + Nf = -3\sigma + (N - 3)f,$$

as claimed. $\square$

The above lemma thus implies that

$$-4i_* c_1(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}) = 4[\mathbb{D}] - 12f \otimes \sigma - 10(p_g + 1)f \otimes f.$$

Putting this together gives (neglecting all terms which do not affect slant product)

$$p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V}) = -6(\sigma \otimes f) + (-10p_g - 8)f \otimes f - 12f \otimes \sigma + 4[\mathbb{D}] + \dots$$

We may finally summarize our calculations as follows:

Lemma 5.4. *In the above notation,*

$$-4\mu(\Sigma) = \left[-6(\sigma \cdot \Sigma) + (-10p_g - 8)(f \cdot \Sigma) \right] f - 12(f \cdot \Sigma)\sigma + 4\Sigma.$$

Thus $\mu(\Sigma)^2 = (\Sigma)^2 + (p_g - 1)(f \cdot \Sigma)^2$. $\square$

At first glance, this formula looks quite different from the previous formula

$$-4\mu(\Sigma) = (2(\sigma \cdot \Sigma) - 2p_g(f \cdot \Sigma))f - 4(f \cdot \Sigma)\sigma - 4\Sigma.$$

However, the surface S (viewed as the moduli space) has an involution ι , coming from taking $x \mapsto -x$ on each fiber using σ as the identity section. This involution corresponds to viewing S as the double cover of a rational ruled surface as in [6] Chapter 1. Since S has only nodal singular fibers, it follows that on $H^2(S)$, ι fixes σ and f and is equal to $-\text{Id}$ on the orthogonal complement $\{f, \sigma\}^\perp$. It is then an easy exercise to see that for a general Σ we have

$$\iota^*(\Sigma) = -\Sigma + 2 \left[(\sigma \cdot \Sigma) + (p_g + 1)(f \cdot \Sigma) \right] f + 2(f \cdot \Sigma)\sigma.$$

Applying ι then exchanges the above two expressions for $\mu(\Sigma)$. Clearly this discrepancy arose as follows. In the general scheme for identifying the moduli space implicit in (3.14) and (4.7) we used not $\mathcal{P}$ but its dual. However it was technically slightly simpler not to make this choice in the Riemann-Roch calculation above. Thus the identifications of the moduli space differ by $-\text{Id}$.

6. The case of multiple fibers.

Having done the rather tedious calculation in the preceding section in case S has a section, we must now move on to deal with the case where S has multiple fibers. Fortunately, it will turn out that much of the calculation in this case exactly follows the pattern of the previous calculation. Before getting into the nitty-gritty, let us fix notation. Let $\pi: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a nodal surface with at most two multiple fibers of odd multiplicity. Fix a divisor on the generic fiber S_η of odd degree $2e + 1$. Let V_0 be a rank two vector bundle on S with $c_1(V_0) = \Delta$ and $c_2(V_0) = c$, whose restriction to the reduction of every fiber is stable. Thus $4c - \Delta^2 = 3(p_g + 1)$ and so

$$2c = \frac{\Delta^2 + 3(p_g + 1)}{2}.$$

We would like to construct a universal bundle using $J^{e+1}(S)$. Unfortunately, this is not in general possible, and we shall instead use a finite cover. Thus we fix an elliptic surface T together with a map $T \rightarrow S$, such that T has a section. We may further assume that T is obtained as follows: choose a smooth multisection C of π , for example a general hyperplane section of S in some projective embedding. For C sufficiently general, we may assume that C meets the multiple fibers transversally and that the map $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is not branched at any points corresponding to singular nonmultiple fibers of π . Then set T to be the normalization of $S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C$. It follows that the only singular fibers of T lie over singular nonmultiple fibers of S , and that T has a section σ . If d is the degree of $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, then at the point of $\mathbb{P}^1$ lying under the multiple fiber F_i of multiplicity m_i , $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is branched to order m_i at exactly d/m_i points.

Let $\varphi: T \rightarrow S$ be the natural map and $\rho: T \rightarrow C$ be the elliptic fibration, so that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ C & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1. \end{array}$$

Now we can state the main result of this section:

Theorem 6.1. *There exists a vector bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ over $S \times T$ with the following properties:*

- (i) *The restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ to each slice $S \times \{p\}$ is a stable rank two vector bundle V with $\det V = \Delta - f$ and $-p_1(\text{ad } V) - 3\chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2$.*
- (ii) *The morphism $T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1$ induced by $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ has degree d .*
- (iii) *If $\tilde{\mu}: H_2(S) \rightarrow H^2(T)$ is the map induced by slant product with the class $-p_1(\text{ad } \tilde{\mathcal{V}})/4$, then, setting $\delta = [\Delta]$,*

$$\begin{aligned} -4\tilde{\mu}(\Sigma) = & \left[\delta^2 - (1 + p_g) - 4(e + 2)^2(1 + p_g) + 2 + c(e, m_1) + c(e, m_2) \right] (f \cdot \Sigma) df \\ & - 4(e + 2)(\varphi^* \delta \cdot \sigma)(f \cdot \Sigma) f - 4(e + 2)(\varphi^* \Sigma \cdot \sigma) f + 2d(\delta \cdot \Sigma) f \\ & + 4(f \cdot \Sigma) \varphi^* \delta - 8(e + 2)(f \cdot \Sigma) \sigma + 4\varphi^* \Sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where $c(e, m_i)$ depends only on m_i and e and on an analytic neighborhood of the multiple fiber, and not on S or p_g , and where $c(e, 1) = 0$.

We shall defer the proof of Theorem 6.1 to the next two sections. The constant $c(e, m_i)$ in fact might depend *a priori* on the particular choice of the multiple fiber. However, as we shall see from Theorem 6.3, the choice of the fiber and of e does not matter. Let us begin with a calculation of $\mu(\Sigma)^2$:

Lemma 6.2. *With notation as in (6.1), we have*

$$16\tilde{\mu}(\Sigma)^2 = 16d(\Sigma)^2 + 16d(p_g - 1 - c(e, m_1) - c(e, m_2))(f \cdot \Sigma)^2.$$

Thus as $\tilde{\mu}(\Sigma)^2 = d\mu(\Sigma)^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\Sigma)^2 &= (\Sigma)^2 + (p_g - 1 - c(e, m_1) - c(e, m_2))(f \cdot \Sigma)^2 \\ &= (m_1 m_2)^2 (p_g - 1 - c(e, m_1) - c(e, m_2))(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where κ is the primitive class such that $m_1 m_2 \kappa = f$.

Proof. This is a tedious calculation. $\square$

Theorem 6.3. *With notation as in the statement of (6.1), we have*

$$c(e, m_i) = -1 + \frac{1}{m_i^2}.$$

Proof. By symmetry it suffices to consider $i = 1$. Choose a general nodal rational elliptic surface S_0 with a single multiple fiber of multiplicity m_1 . We can assume that an analytic neighborhood of the multiple fiber in S_0 is analytically isomorphic to a neighborhood of F_1 in S , which is possible since we assumed that the multiple fibers did not lie over branch points of the j -function of S . Since $m_1 | 2e + 1$, there exists a divisor Δ on S_0 with $\Delta \cdot f = 2e + 1$. Thus we may use S_0 to calculate $c(e, m_1)$. Now setting $p_g = 0$ and $m_2 = 1$ in the formula of (6.2) gives the coefficient of $(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^2$ in the Donaldson polynomial: it is $(m_1)^2(-1 - c(e, m_1))$. On the other hand, S_0 is orientation-preserving diffeomorphic to a rational elliptic surface S_1 with a section, by a diffeomorphism ψ which carries κ to the class of a fiber. Using (3.5) of Part I of [4], this diffeomorphism must then carry a (w, p) -suitable chamber for S_1 to a $(\psi^* w, p)$ -suitable chamber for S_0 . The Donaldson polynomial for S_1 and a (w, p) -suitable chamber is then sent under ψ^* to the $\pm$ the Donaldson polynomial for S_0 and a $(\psi^* w, p)$ -suitable chamber. Normalizing the orientations so that the leading coefficients agree (these are both (Σ^2)), the coefficients of $(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^2$ must agree also. We have already calculated the coefficient of $(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^2$ for S_1 (by two different methods): it is -1 . Thus

$$(m_1)^2(-1 - c(e, m_1)) = -1.$$

Hence $c(e, m_1) = -1 + 1/m_1^2$, as claimed. $\square$

Thus we get the formula for $\mu(\Sigma)^2$ stated in (ii) of Theorem 2 of the Introduction:

Corollary 6.4. *The two-dimensional Donaldson polynomial is given by the formula*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\Sigma)^2 &= (\Sigma)^2 + (m_1 m_2)^2 (p_g - 1 + 1 - \frac{1}{m_1^2} + 1 - \frac{1}{m_2^2})(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^2 \\ &= (\Sigma)^2 + [(m_1 m_2)^2 (p_g + 1) - m_1^2 - m_2^2](\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For future reference we note the following lemma:

Lemma 6.5. *If f denotes the general fiber of $\mathfrak{M}_1 = J^{e+1}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, then*

$$\mu(\Sigma) \cdot f = 2(f \cdot \Sigma).$$

Proof. It suffices to calculate $\tilde{\mu}(\Sigma) \cdot f$, where $\tilde{\mu}$ is as defined in (6.1)(iii) and here f denotes a general fiber on T . But using the formula in (6.1)(iii) gives

$$\tilde{\mu}(\Sigma) \cdot f = -(f \cdot \Sigma)(2e + 1) + 2(e + 2)(f \cdot \Sigma) - (f \cdot \Sigma) = 2(f \cdot \Sigma). \quad \square$$

7. Proof of Theorem 6.1: a Riemann-Roch calculation.

We return to the notation of the preceding section. Our goal in this section will be to approximate the universal bundle by a coherent sheaf which is essentially an elementary modification of $\pi_1^* V_0$, where V_0 is as described at the beginning of the preceding section and π_i denotes the i^{th} projection now on $S \times T$. We have the map $\varphi: T \rightarrow S$ of elliptic surfaces covering the map $\rho: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of the base curves. Let Γ be the graph of φ in $S \times T$ and let H be the graph of the composition $\psi: T \xrightarrow{\rho} C \xrightarrow{\sigma} T \xrightarrow{\varphi} S$, where we view σ temporarily not as a curve in T but rather as a morphism. Let $D = S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} T \subset S \times T$ and let $\tilde{D}$ be the normalization of D . The singularities of D are of two types. The first type consists of points (p, q) where $\varphi(q) = p$ and p and q are the singular points on a nodal fiber. At such points D has an ordinary double point as in the case where S has a section. The second type of singularity is along a multiple fiber F_i . At a point of $\mathbb{P}^1$ lying under F_i , the map $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is branched to order m_i . Thus, in local analytic coordinates x, y, z, w on $S \times T$ the divisor D has the local equation $x^{m_i} = z^{m_i}$. If R is the local ring of D at such a point and $\tilde{R}$ is its normalization, then the inclusion $R \subseteq \tilde{R}$ is given by

$$\mathbb{C}\{x, y, z, w\}/(x^{m_i} - z^{m_i}) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_k \mathbb{C}\{x, y, w\},$$

where the map from R to the k^{th} factor in the direct sum is given by setting $z = \zeta^k x$ for $\zeta = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/m_i}$.

Let $\tilde{F}_i = \varphi^{-1}(F_i)$ and let E_i be a component of $\tilde{F}_i$. There is thus an induced map $\nu_i: E_i \rightarrow F_i$ which is étale of degree m_i . We also have maps $D \rightarrow T$ and $\tilde{D} \rightarrow D$. Clearly D and $\tilde{D}$ are flat over T (note that $\tilde{D}$ is smooth away from the images of pairs of double points). The calculations above for R and $\tilde{R}$ show that the scheme-theoretic fiber of D at a point $q \in E_i$ is F_i as a multiple fiber and that $i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}$ restricted to this fiber is $\nu_{i*} \mathcal{O}_{E_i}$.

Since a section cannot pass through a singular point of a fiber, the graph H avoids the double point singularities of D . Denote also by H the pullback of H to $\tilde{D}$. Then H is a Cartier divisor on $\tilde{D}$. Define

$$\mathcal{P} = i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}(-\Gamma + (e + 2)H).$$

This notation does not define $\mathcal{P}$ near the double points of D , but as H does not pass through the double points and $\tilde{D} = D$ in a neighborhood of the double points we can just glue $\mathcal{P}$ to I_Γ/I_D at the double points. Equivalently we could just take the push-forward of the restriction of $i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}(-\Gamma + (e + 2)H)$ to D_{reg} . Finally we shall let π_1 and π_2 denote the first and second projections on $S \times T$.

Lemma 7.1. *The sheaf $\pi_{2*}((\pi_1^*V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P})$ is a line bundle on T , whose dual is denoted $\mathcal{L}$. Moreover*

$$R^1\pi_{2*}((\pi_1^*V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}) = 0.$$

Proof. Letting $h: \tilde{D} \rightarrow T$ and $j: \tilde{D} \rightarrow S \times S \xrightarrow{\pi_1} S$ be the natural maps, it is clear that

$$\pi_{2*}((\pi_1^*V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}) = h_*((j^*V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}(-\Gamma + (e+2)H)).$$

So we must check that the restriction of $(j^*V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}(-\Gamma + (e+2)H)$ to each fiber of h has $h^0 = 1$ and $h^1 = 0$. The only new case is the case corresponding to a multiple fiber. In this case the restriction to the fiber is $(\nu_i^*V_0)^\vee \otimes L$, where L is a line bundle of degree $e+1$ on E_i . The degree of $\nu_i^*V_0$ is $m_i(\deg V/m_i) = 2e+1$ and $\nu_i^*V_0$ is stable since it is the pullback of the stable bundle $V_0|_{F_i}$. Thus by (1.2), $H^0(E_i; (\nu_i^*V_0)^\vee \otimes L)$ has dimension one and $H^1(E_i; (\nu_i^*V_0)^\vee \otimes L) = 0$. $\square$

Thus arguing as in the case of a section there is a unique nonzero map (mod scalars)

$$\pi_1^*V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^*\mathcal{L}.$$

Unfortunately, if there are multiple fibers this map is no longer surjective. We shall return to this point in the next section. Our remaining goal in this section is to calculate $\mathcal{L}$:

Lemma 7.2. *With $\mathcal{L}^{-1} = \pi_{2*}((\pi_1^*V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P})$ and $\delta = [\Delta]$, we have*

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) = \left[\frac{\delta^2}{4} - \frac{1+p_g}{4} - (e+2)^2(1+p_g) \right] df - (e+2)(\varphi^*\delta \cdot \sigma)f + \varphi^*\delta - 2(e+2)\sigma.$$

Proof. As before we shall apply the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem to find $c_1(\pi_{2*}((\pi_1^*V_0)^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}))$: it is the degree one term in

$$\pi_{2*}(\pi_1^* \text{ch } V_0^\vee \cdot \pi_1^* \text{Todd } S \cdot \text{ch } i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}(-\Gamma + (e+2)H)).$$

We have

$$\text{ch } V_0^\vee = 2 - \delta + \left(\frac{\delta^2 - 2c}{2} \right) [\text{pt}],$$

where $\delta = [\Delta]$, and

$$\text{Todd } S = 1 + \frac{r}{2}f + (1+p_g)[\text{pt}],$$

where

$$-r = (p_g + 1) - \frac{1}{m_1} - \frac{1}{m_2}.$$

Thus the product of the first two terms above is $\pi_1^*(2 - \delta + rf + M[\text{pt}])$, where

$$M = \frac{\delta^2 - 2c}{2} + 2(1+p_g) - \frac{r}{2}(2e+1).$$

Since we have

$$\delta^2 - 2c = \frac{\delta^2 - 4c}{2} + \frac{\delta^2}{2} = -\frac{3}{2}(1+p_g) + \frac{\delta^2}{2},$$

we can rewrite this as

$$M = \frac{\delta^2}{4} + \frac{5}{4}(1 + p_g) - \frac{r}{2}(2e + 1).$$

Next we must calculate $\text{ch } i_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}}(-\Gamma + (e + 2)H)$. Again using the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem, and setting $G = -\Gamma + (e + 2)H$ for notational simplicity, we have

$$\text{ch } i_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}}(G) = i_* [\text{ch } \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}}(G) \cdot (\text{Todd } N_i)^{-1}],$$

where N_i is the normal bundle to the immersion i . Now $\text{ch } \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}}(G) = 1 + G + G^2/2 + \dots$. As for N_i , locally at the multiple fiber F_i D is the union of m_i sheets, and so

$$N_i = \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}}(D - (m_1 - 1)B_1 - (m_2 - 1)B_2),$$

where $B_i = F_i \times \tilde{F}_i$. It follows that

$$(\text{Todd } N_i)^{-1} = 1 - \frac{D - (m_1 - 1)B_1 - (m_2 - 1)B_2}{2} + \dots$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch } i_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{D}}(G) &= D + G - i_* \left(\frac{D - (m_1 - 1)B_1 - (m_2 - 1)B_2}{2} \right) + i_* \left(\frac{G^2}{2} \right) \\ &\quad - i_* \left(\frac{G \cdot (D - (m_1 - 1)B_1 - (m_2 - 1)B_2)}{2} \right) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

So we must take the degree three term in the product of the above expression with $\pi_1^*(2 - \delta + rf + M[\text{pt}])$ and then apply π_{2*} . First, a calculation along the lines of (5.2) shows that

$$[D] = f \otimes 1 + d(1 \otimes f),$$

where f denotes either the class of a fiber in S or T , depending on the context. The degree three term above is then a sum of three terms: $T_1 + T_2 + T_3$, where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= M([\text{pt}] \otimes 1) \cdot D \\ T_2 &= -G \cdot (\delta \otimes 1) + G \cdot (rf \otimes 1) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} i_* (D - (m_1 - 1)B_1 - (m_2 - 1)B_2) \cdot (-\delta \otimes 1 + rf \otimes 1) \\ T_3 &= i_* (G^2 - G \cdot i^* D + (m_1 - 1)(G \cdot B_1) + (m_2 - 1)(G \cdot B_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now apply π_{2*} to these terms. First

$$\pi_{2*} T_1 = \pi_{2*}(Md)[\text{pt}] \otimes f = (Md)f.$$

To calculate $\pi_{2*} T_2$, first note the following, whose proof is an easy verification:

Lemma 7.3. *For every $\alpha \in H^2(S)$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{2*}(\Gamma \cdot \alpha \otimes 1) &= \varphi^* \alpha; \\ \pi_{2*}(H \cdot \alpha \otimes 1) &= (\varphi^* \alpha \cdot \sigma) f. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

So the terms involving G in $\pi_{2*}T_2$ give

$$\begin{aligned}& -(e+2)(\varphi^* \delta \cdot \sigma) f + \varphi^* \delta + (e+2)r(\varphi^* f \cdot \sigma) f - r\varphi^* f \\ &= -(e+2)(\varphi^* \delta \cdot \sigma) f + \varphi^* \delta + (e+1)rd f,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\varphi^* f = df$.

To handle the terms involving B_i , note that $i_*[B_i] = m_i[F_i \times \tilde{F}_i]$. Also $[F_i] = (1/m_i)f$ and $\tilde{F}_i$ consists of d/m_i copies of f (the fiber on T) so that

$$[F_i \times \tilde{F}_i] = \left(\frac{d}{m_i^2}\right) f \otimes f; \quad i_*[B_i] = \frac{d}{m_i} f \otimes f.$$

Also $i_*D = D^2 = 2d(f \otimes f)$. Thus

$$-\frac{1}{2}i_*(D - (m_1 - 1)B_1 - (m_2 - 1)B_2) = -\frac{d}{2}\left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2}\right)(f \otimes f).$$

The product of this term with $f \otimes 1$ is zero, and we are left with the product with $-\delta \otimes 1$, which contributes

$$\frac{d(2e+1)}{2}\left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2}\right)f.$$

Combining these, we see that

$$\pi_{2*}T_2 = -(e+2)(\varphi^* \delta \cdot \sigma) f + \varphi^* \delta + (e+1)rd f + \frac{d(2e+1)}{2}\left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2}\right)f.$$

We turn now to the term $\pi_{2*}T_3$. We have $G^2 = (e+2)^2H^2 - 2(e+2)H \cdot \Gamma + \Gamma^2$. To calculate π_{2*} applied to these terms, we shall use the following lemma:

Lemma 7.4.

- (i) $\pi_{2*}i_*H^2 = \pi_{2*}i_*\Gamma^2 = -d(1 + p_g)f$.
- (ii) $\pi_{2*}i_*H \cdot \Gamma = \sigma$.

Proof. To see (i), note that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_{\Gamma/\tilde{D}} \rightarrow N_{\Gamma/S \times T} \rightarrow N_i \rightarrow 0.$$

Also $(\Gamma^2)_{\tilde{D}} = \phi_*c_1(N_{\Gamma/\tilde{D}})$, where $\phi: \Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{D}$ is the inclusion. Now

$$\begin{aligned}c_1(N_{\Gamma/\tilde{D}}) &= c_1(N_{\Gamma/S \times T}) - c_1(N_i) \\ &= c_1(\pi_1^*T_S|\Gamma) - (D - (m_1 - 1)B_1 - (m_2 - 1)B_2)|\Gamma \\ &= \varphi^*(rf) - ((f \otimes 1 + d(1 \otimes f) - (m_1 - 1)(B_1 \cdot \Gamma) - (m_2 - 1)(B_2 \cdot \Gamma)) \\ &= \left[-d\left(p_g + 1 - \frac{1}{m_1} - \frac{1}{m_2}\right) - \left(2d - \frac{d(m_1 - 1)}{m_1} - \frac{d(m_2 - 1)}{m_2}\right)\right]f \\ &= -d(p_g + 1)f.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\pi_{2*}i_*\Gamma^2 = -d(1+p_g)f$. A similar calculation handles $\pi_{2*}i_*H^2$. The proof of (ii) is an easy calculation. $\square$

Thus

$$\pi_{2*}G^2 = -d(p_g + 1)((e + 2)^2 + 1)f - 2(e + 2)\sigma.$$

The remaining term is $-\pi_{2*}(G \cdot (D - (m_1 - 1)B_1 - (m_2 - 1)B_2))$. We have seen in the course of the proof of Lemma 7.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{2*}\Gamma \cdot (D - (m_1 - 1)B_1 - (m_2 - 1)B_2) &= \pi_{2*}H \cdot (D - (m_1 - 1)B_1 - (m_2 - 1)B_2) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} \right) df. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\pi_{2*}G \cdot (D - (m_1 - 1)B_1 - (m_2 - 1)B_2) = d(e + 1) \left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} \right) f.$$

In all then,

$$\pi_{2*}T_3 = d \left[- (p_g + 1)((e + 2)^2 + 1) - (e + 1) \left(\frac{1}{m_1} + \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \right] f - 2(e + 2)\sigma.$$

Combining terms, we have

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) = \left[\frac{\delta^2}{4} - \frac{1+p_g}{4} - (e+2)^2(1+p_g) \right] df - (e+2)(\varphi^*\delta \cdot \sigma)f + \varphi^*\delta - 2(e+2)\sigma,$$

as claimed. This concludes the proof of Lemma 7.2. $\square$

8. Proof of Theorem 6.1: Conclusion.

We keep the notation of the two previous sections. We begin by constructing a “universal bundle” $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ over $S \times T$. Begin with the morphism $\pi_1^*V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^*\mathcal{L}$ defined in the previous section, and let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ be the kernel. By construction $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is locally free away from $(F_1 \times \tilde{F}_1) \amalg (F_2 \times \tilde{F}_2)$. There is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \pi_1^*V_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_1 \oplus \mathcal{Q}_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_i$ is supported on $F_i \times \tilde{F}_i$. Now $\tilde{F}_i$ is a disjoint union of d/m_i fibers of T . Let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \oplus \mathcal{Q}_2$ and let c denote the total Chern polynomial. Then

$$c(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) = \pi_1^*c(V_0) \cdot c(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^*\mathcal{L})^{-1} \cdot c(\mathcal{Q}).$$

Thus if we let $\pi_1^*c(V_0) \cdot c(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^*\mathcal{L})^{-1} = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + \cdots$, then

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) &= x_2 + c_2(\mathcal{Q}); \\ c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})^2 - 4c_2(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) &= x_1^2 - 4x_2 - 4c_2(\mathcal{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we claim that Theorem 6.1 is a consequence of the following two results:

Theorem 8.1. *There exist integers $q(e, m_i)$ such that*

$$c_2(\mathcal{Q}_i) = dq(e, m_i)[F_i \times f].$$

Here the integer $q(e, m_i)$ depends only on an analytic neighborhood of F_i and e but not on S or $p_g(S)$.

Theorem 8.2. *The coherent sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is locally free.*

Proof that (8.1) and (8.2) imply Theorem (6.1). Let us consider the restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ to a slice $S \times \{q\}$. In all cases this restriction is a vector bundle V whose restriction to every smooth fiber f of S not equal to the fiber containing $\varphi(q)$ is $V_0|f$. Thus the restriction of V to such a fiber f is stable, and so V is stable by (3.4). Now if $\varphi(q)$ does not lie on a multiple fiber, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0,$$

where Q is the direct image of the line bundle on f corresponding to the divisor $(e+2)\psi(q) - \varphi(q)$, which has degree $e+1$. Thus $c_1(V) = \Delta - f$ and $p_1(\text{ad } V) = p_1(\text{ad } V_0) - 2$. This establishes (i) of Theorem 6.1. Note also that the map $q \mapsto (e+2)\psi(q) - \varphi(q)$ defines a rational map from T to $J^{e+1}(S)$ (which in fact is a morphism) and the map $T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1$ factors through the map $T \rightarrow J^{e+1}(S)$, compatibly with the identification of a dense open subset of $J^{e+1}(S)$ with a dense open subset of $\mathfrak{M}_1$ given in (3.14).

Next let us calculate the degree of the induced morphism $T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1$. Fix a general smooth fiber f of S , a line bundle L on f of degree $e+1$ and a vector bundle V which is uniquely specified by an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow i_*L \rightarrow 0,$$

where $i: f \rightarrow S$ is the inclusion. We shall count the preimage of V in T . If f is general, then $T \rightarrow S$ is unbranched over f and the preimage of f consists of d distinct fibers $f_1, \dots, f_d$. Moreover φ restricts to an isomorphism from f_i to f for each i . The image of f_i under ψ is a single point $p_i \in f$ corresponding to the point $\sigma \cap f_i$. Now clearly there is a unique point $q_i \in f_i$ such that

$$L = \mathcal{O}_f((e+2)p_i - \varphi(q_i)).$$

Thus the preimage of V consists of d distinct points, and so the map $T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1$ has degree d .

Lastly we must calculate $p_1(\text{ad } \tilde{\mathcal{V}})$. We begin by calculating $\pi_1^*c(V_0) \cdot c(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^*\mathcal{L})^{-1}$. Here $\pi_1^*c(V_0) = 1 + \pi_1^*\delta + \pi_1^*c[\text{pt}]$. As for the term $c(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^*\mathcal{L})$, we clearly have $c_1(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^*\mathcal{L}) = D = (f \otimes 1) + d(1 \otimes f)$. On the other hand, with the notation of Section 7 we may apply the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem to the immersion $i: \tilde{D} \rightarrow S \times T$ to obtain

$$\text{ch}(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^*\mathcal{L}) = i_*[\text{ch } \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H - \Gamma)(\text{Todd } N_i)^{-1} \cdot \pi_2^* \text{ch } \mathcal{L}].$$

A calculation similar to those in Section 7 shows that this is equal to

$$i_* \left[1 + (e+2)H - \Gamma - \pi_2^*\alpha - \frac{D - (m_1 - 1)B_1 - (m_2 - 1)B_2}{2} + \dots \right],$$

where $\alpha = c_1(\mathcal{L}^{-1})$ has been calculated in Lemma 7.2, and further manipulation gives

$$-2c_2(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}) = -2[D]^2 + 2 \left[(e+2)H - \Gamma - \pi_2^* \alpha \cdot [D] - d \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_1} + 1 - \frac{1}{m_2} \right) (f \otimes f) \right].$$

Recalling that $\pi_1^* c(V_0) \cdot c(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L})^{-1} = 1 + x_1 + x_2 + \dots$, we have

$$(1 + x_1 + x_2 + \dots)(1 + [D] + c_2(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L})) = 1 + \pi_1^* \delta + \pi_1^* c[\text{pt}].$$

Thus $x_1 = \pi_1^* \delta - [D]$ and

$$x_2 = \pi_1^* c[\text{pt}] - \pi_1^* \delta \cdot [D] + [D]^2 - c_2(\mathcal{P} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{L}).$$

A calculation then shows that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^2 - 4x_2 &= \pi_1^* p_1(\text{ad } V_0) + 2\pi_1^* \delta \cdot [D] + [D]^2 - 4(e+2)[H] + 4[\Gamma] + 4\pi_2^* \alpha \cdot [D] \\ &\quad + 4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{m_1} + 1 - \frac{1}{m_2} \right) d(f \otimes f). \end{aligned}$$

There are correction terms $b(m_i) = 1 - 1/m_i$ depending on the multiple fibers. Now

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(\text{ad } \tilde{V}) &= x_1^2 - 4x_2 - 4c_2(\mathcal{Q}) \\ &= \pi_1^* p_1(\text{ad } V_0) + 2\pi_1^* \delta \cdot [D] + [D]^2 - 4(e+2)[H] + 4[\Gamma] + 4\pi_2^* \alpha \cdot [D] \\ &\quad + 4(b(m_1) - q(e, m_1)/m_1 + b(m_2) - q(e, m_2)/m_2) d(f \otimes f), \end{aligned}$$

where the terms $b(m_i)$, $q(e, m_i)$ depend only on an analytic neighborhood of the multiple fiber and are both 0 if $m_i = 1$. Let $c(e, m_i) = 4(b(m_i) - q(e, m_i)/m_i)$. Taking slant product of this expression with $[\Sigma]$, using the fact that $[\Gamma] \setminus [\Sigma] = \varphi^* \Sigma$ and $[H] \setminus [\Sigma] = (\varphi^* \Sigma \cdot \sigma)f$, and plugging in the expression for α given by Lemma 7.2 gives the final formula in Theorem 6.1(iii). $\square$

Proof of (8.1). Choose an analytic neighborhood X of F_i . We may assume that X fibers over the unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$. Then $\varphi^{-1}(X)$ consists of d/m_i copies of $\tilde{X}$, which is the normalization of the pullback of X by the map from the disk to itself defined by $z = w^{m_i}$. Restrict φ and V_0 to this local situation, and let D now denote the fiber product inside $X \times \tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{D}$ its normalization. We can similarly define the codimension two subsets Γ and H . Let us examine the dependence of the terms V_0 and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H - \Gamma)$ on the various choices.

First, suppose that V_0 and V'_0 are two different choices of a bundle over X whose determinants have fiber degree $2e+1$ and whose restrictions to the reduction of every fiber are stable. Then $\det V_0 \otimes (\det V'_0)^{-1}$ has fiber degree zero. On the other hand, from the exponential sheaf sequence

$$H^1(X; \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \text{Pic } X \rightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

and the identification $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(F_i; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that the group of line bundles of fiber degree zero is divisible. Thus there is a line bundle L on X such that $\det V'_0 = \det(V_0 \otimes L)$. The proof of Corollary 3.8 shows that V'_0 and $V_0 \otimes L$

differ by twisting by a line bundle pulled back from the disk, which is necessarily trivial. Thus $V'_0 \cong V_0 \otimes L$.

The remaining choice was the choice of a section σ of $\tilde{X}$. Given two such choices σ_1 and σ_2 , we have two divisors H_1 and H_2 on $\tilde{D}$, and two line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_1 - \Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_2 - \Gamma)$. Their difference is the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)(H_1 - H_2))$. The restriction of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_i - \Gamma)$ to each fiber f of the map $\tilde{D} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ over $q \in \tilde{X}$ is the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_f((e+2)p_i - q)$, where $p_i = \sigma_i \cap f$ and we can identify the fiber over q with the fiber on $\tilde{X}$ containing q via φ . Let $\Psi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be the inverse of the map given by translation by the divisor of fiber degree zero $(e+2)(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) - c_1(\tilde{L})$, where $\tilde{L}$ is the pullback to $\tilde{X}$ of L . Thus $\Psi^{-1}(q) = q + (e+2)(p_1 - p_2) - \lambda$, where $q \in f$ and λ is the line bundle $L|_f$. Now $\text{Id} \times \Psi$ acts on $X \times \tilde{X}$, preserving the divisor D and acting as well on the normalization $\tilde{D}$. Clearly the line bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_2 - \Gamma) \otimes \pi_1^* L$ and $(\text{Id} \times \Psi)^* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_1 - \Gamma)$ have isomorphic restrictions to each fiber of the map $\tilde{D} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$. Thus they differ by the pullback of a line bundle L' on $\tilde{X}$. Thus we have an isomorphism

$$(\text{Id} \times \Psi)^*(\pi_1^* V_0^\vee \otimes i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_1 - \Gamma)) \cong (\pi_1^* V_0)^\vee \otimes i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_2 - \Gamma) \otimes \pi_1^* L \otimes \pi_2^* L'$$

and a similar isomorphism when we apply $R^0 \pi_{2*}$. Lastly every map $\pi^* V_0 \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_1 - \Gamma)$ which corresponds to an everywhere generating section of the line bundle $\pi_{2*} \text{Hom}(\pi_1^* V_0, i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_1 - \Gamma))$ under the natural map

$$\pi_2^* \pi_{2*} \text{Hom}(\pi_1^* V_0, i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_1 - \Gamma)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi_1^* V_0, i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_1 - \Gamma))$$

is determined up to multiplication by a nowhere vanishing function on $\tilde{X}$. It now follows that, up to twisting by the pullback of the line bundle L' on $\tilde{X}$, we may identify the map $\pi_1^* V'_0 \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_2 - \Gamma)$, up to a nowhere vanishing function on $\tilde{X}$ and up to twisting by the pullback of a line bundle on $\tilde{X}$, with the pullback under $(\text{Id} \times \Psi)^*$ of the corresponding map from $\pi_1^* V_0$ to $i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}((e+2)H_1 - \Gamma)$. In particular the cokernels of these maps, viewed as sheaves supported on $F_i \times E_i$, have the same length. But the lengths of the cokernels are exactly what is needed to calculate $c_2(\mathcal{Q}_i)$, in the notation of the beginning of this section. Thus we have established (8.1). $\square$

Remark. We could easily show directly by a slight modification of the proof above that the integers $q(e, m_i)$ defined above are independent of e .

Proof of (8.2). We begin with the following (see also (A.2)(i)):

Lemma 8.3. *The sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is reflexive.*

Proof. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is a subsheaf of the locally free sheaf $\pi_1^* V_0$, it is torsion free. Thus it will suffice to show that every section τ of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ defined on an open set of the form $W - Z$, where W is an open subset of $S \times T$ and Z is a closed subvariety of W of codimension at least two, extends to a section of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ over W . Now locally (after possibly shrinking W) $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is given by an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}|_W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W^2 \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}|_W.$$

Now viewing the section τ as a section of $\mathcal{O}_W^2$ over $W - Z$, it extends as a section of $\mathcal{O}_W^2$ by Hartogs' theorem. Let $\tilde{\tau}$ be the unique extension. Then the image of $\tilde{\tau}$

in $i_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{D}}|W$ vanishes on $D - Z$, which is nonempty. Clearly then it is zero. Thus the extension $\tilde{\tau}$ defines a section of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ extending τ , so that $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is reflexive. $\square$

Returning to the proof of (8.2), let $U = S \times T - (F_1 \times \tilde{F}_1) - (F_2 \times \tilde{F}_2)$. By Lemma 8.3, $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is a reflexive sheaf which is locally free on U . We claim that $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is everywhere locally free. The problem is local around each point (x, y) of $F_i \times \tilde{F}_i$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is reflexive, it will suffice to show the following: each point y of $\tilde{F}_i$ has a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}|(S \times \mathcal{N}) \cap U$ has an extension to a locally free sheaf over $S \times \mathcal{N}$.

Let $T_0 = T - \tilde{F}_1 - \tilde{F}_2$. Clearly T_0 is the inverse image of $J^{e+1}(S) - F_1 - F_2$ under the natural morphism from T to $J^{e+1}(S)$. The restriction of $\mathcal{V}_U$ to $S \times T_0$ is a bundle over $S \times T_0$ in the sense of schemes since it is the restriction of a coherent sheaf over $S \times T$. Thus it induces a morphism of schemes from T_0 to $\mathfrak{M}_1$. If we denote the points of $\mathfrak{M}_1$ corresponding to multiple fibers by F_1 and F_2 again, then it is easy to see that the map of (3.14) extends to an embedding $J^{e+1}(S) - F_1 - F_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1 - (F_1 \cup F_2)$. Thus the map $T_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1 - (F_1 \cup F_2)$ is proper. This map extends to a rational map from T to $\mathfrak{M}_1$. After blowing up T , there is a morphism from the blowup $\tilde{T}$ to $\mathfrak{M}_1$. The image of $\tilde{T} - T_0$ must clearly lie inside the two elliptic curves in $\mathfrak{M}_1$ corresponding to elementary modifications along F_1 or F_2 . Since there are no nonconstant maps from $\mathbb{P}^1$ to an elliptic curve, every exceptional curve on $\tilde{T}$ is mapped to a point, and the map $T_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1$ extends to a morphism $\Phi: T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1$. Clearly the morphism $\Phi: T \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1$ identifies $\mathfrak{M}_1$ with $J^{e+1}(S)$.

Given $y \in \tilde{F}_i$, choose a neighborhood N_0 of $\Phi(y)$ in $\mathfrak{M}_1$ such that there exists a universal bundle over $S \times N_0$, and let $\mathcal{N}$ be the component of $\Phi^{-1}(N_0)$ containing y . Thus there is a universal vector bundle $\mathcal{W}$ over $S \times \mathcal{N}$. By construction $\mathcal{W}|S \times (\mathcal{N} - \tilde{F}_i)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}|S \times (\mathcal{N} - \tilde{F}_i)$ have isomorphic restrictions to every slice $S \times \{z\}$. Thus $\pi_{2*}\text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ is a torsion free rank one sheaf on $\mathcal{N}$, which is thus an ideal sheaf on $\mathcal{N}$ if $\mathcal{N}$ is small enough. We may assume that $\pi_{2*}\text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}})|\mathcal{N} - \{y\}$ is just the structure sheaf. Choosing an everywhere generating section of $\pi_{2*}\text{Hom}(\mathcal{W}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}})|\mathcal{N} - \{y\}$ gives a homomorphism $\mathcal{W}|S \times (\mathcal{N} - \{y\}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}|S \times (\mathcal{N} - \{y\})$. This homomorphism is an isomorphism over $S \times (\mathcal{N} - \tilde{F}_i)$ and is nonzero at a general point of $S \times ((\mathcal{N} - \{y\}) \cap \tilde{F}_i)$. As both $\mathcal{W}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ are vector bundles away from $F_i \times (\mathcal{N} \cap \tilde{F}_i)$ whose restrictions to every smooth fiber of S in every slice are stable, it follows that $\mathcal{W}|S \times (\mathcal{N} - \{y\}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}|S \times (\mathcal{N} - \{y\})$ is an isomorphism in codimension one. Since both sheaves are reflexive, they are isomorphic. Finally $\mathcal{W}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ are two reflexive sheaves which are isomorphic on the complement of the codimension two set $S \times \{y\} \subset S \times \mathcal{N}$, so they are isomorphic. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ is locally free. $\square$

9. The four-dimensional invariant.

Our goal in this section will be to calculate the four-dimensional invariant. What follows is an outline of the calculation. Let $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$ denote the moduli space of Gieseker stable torsion free sheaves on S of dimension four. As we have seen, $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$ is smooth and irreducible and birational to $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$. In fact, we shall begin by establishing a more precise statement. Let $Y_i \subset \text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ be the subset of codimension two consisting of subschemes of $J^{e+1}(S)$ whose support has reduction contained in the multiple fiber F_i on $J^{e+1}(S)$. Clearly Y_i has two components: one component

is just $\text{Sym}^2 F_i$, the closure of the locus of two distinct points lying on F_i , and the other is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over F_i corresponding to nonreduced subschemes whose support is a point on F_i . There is a similar subscheme Y'_i of $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$, consisting of torsion free sheaves V on S such that either V is not locally free and the unique point where V is not locally free lies on F_i or V is a bundle obtained from V_0 up to equivalence by taking two elementary modifications along line bundles on F_i . We claim:

Lemma 9.1. *The isomorphism defined in (3.14) from a Zariski open subset of $\text{Sym}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ to an open subset of $\mathfrak{M}_2$ extends to an isomorphism $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S) - Y_1 - Y_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2 - Y'_1 - Y'_2$.*

Let us remark that, in case there are multiple fibers, the birational map above does not extend to a morphism. This follows from the identification of the function $d(e, m_i)$ below, and can also be seen directly as follows. The moduli space $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$ contains the set of nonlocally free sheaves, which is a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over S . The corresponding subset of $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ is the image of the blowup of $J^{e+1}(S) \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} J^{e+1}(S)$ along the diagonal (which is not a Cartier divisor) under the involution. It is easy to see that this image is not normal along the image of $F_i \times F_i$ if $m_i > 1$.

There is an isomorphism $H^2(\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S) - Y_1 - Y_2) \cong H^2(\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2 - Y'_1 - Y'_2)$, so that by restriction we can view $\mu(\Sigma)$ as an element of $H^2(\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S) - Y_1 - Y_2) \cong H^2(\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S))$. Denote this element of $H^2(\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S))$ by $\mu'(\Sigma)$. In fact, it is easy to identify this element: let $\alpha_1 = \mu_1(\Sigma) \in J^{e+1}(S)$ be given by the μ -map for the two-dimensional invariant, and set

$$\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + \frac{(f \cdot \Sigma)}{2} f.$$

Then we have the following formula:

Lemma 9.2.

$$\mu'(\Sigma) = D_{\alpha_2} - \frac{(f \cdot \Sigma)}{2} E.$$

Now α_1^2 is just the value of the two-dimensional invariant, which we shall write as $(\Sigma^2) + C_1(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^2$, where $C_1 = m_1^2 m_2^2 (p_g + 1) - m_1^2 - m_2^2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2^2 &= \alpha_1^2 + (f \cdot \Sigma)(\alpha_1 \cdot f) \\ &= \alpha_1^2 + 2(f \cdot \Sigma)^2 \\ &= (\Sigma^2) + (C_1 + 2m_1^2 m_2^2)(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 6.5 to conclude that $\alpha_1 \cdot f = 2(f \cdot \Sigma)$.

Thus a routine calculation with the multiplication table in $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ gives:

Lemma 9.3.

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'(\Sigma)^4 &= 3(\Sigma^2)^2 + 6C_1(\Sigma^2)(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^2 + \\ &\quad + \left[3C_1^2 - (2(p_g + 1) + 12)m_1^4 m_2^4 + 8(m_1^3 m_2^4 + m_1^4 m_2^3) \right] (\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^4. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Of course, this is a calculation on $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$, not on $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$. To get an answer on $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$, we shall argue that the above formula must be corrected by terms which only depend on the multiplicities of the multiple fibers and not on p_g .

Lemma 9.4. *There exist a function $d(e, m_i)$, depending only on e and an analytic neighborhood of the multiple fiber F_i in S , with the following properties:*

- (i) $d(e, 1) = 0$.
- (ii) $\mu(\Sigma)^4 - \mu'(\Sigma)^4 = m_1^4 m_2^4 (d(e, m_1) + d(e, m_2))(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^4$.

We can now complete the proof of (iii) of Theorem 2 in the Introduction. It follows from (9.3) and (9.4) that the coefficient of $(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^4$ in $\mu(\Sigma)^4$ is given by

$$3C_1^2 - (2(p_g + 1) + 12)m_1^4 m_2^4 + 8(m_1^3 m_2^4 + m_1^4 m_2^3) + m_1^4 m_2^4 (d(e, m_1) + d(e, m_2)).$$

To calculate $d(e, m_i)$, take as before S to be a rational surface with a multiple fiber of multiplicity m_1 . In this case, arguing as in the proof of (6.3), the coefficient of $(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^4$ is the same as the coefficient of $(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^4$ for the rational surface with no multiple fibers. To calculate this coefficient, we apply (9.4) and (9.3) with $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ and $p_g = 0$, to see that $\mu(\Sigma)^4 = \mu'(\Sigma)^4$ and thus that the coefficient of $(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^4$ is $3 - 14 + 16 = 5$. Now taking $p_g = 0$ and m_1 arbitrary and $m_2 = 1$ in the above formulas gives $C_1 = -1$ and

$$5 = 3(-1)^2 - 14m_1^4 + 8(m_1^3 + m_1^4) + m_1^4 d(e, m_1).$$

Thus $m_1^4 d(e, m_1) = 2 - 8m_1^3 + 6m_1^4$, or

$$d(e, m_1) = \frac{2}{m_1^4} - \frac{8}{m_1} + 6.$$

Plugging this into the expression above for the coefficient for $(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^4$ in the general case gives

$$\begin{aligned} 3C_1^2 - (2(p_g + 1) + 12)m_1^4 m_2^4 + 12m_1^4 m_2^4 + 2m_1^4 + 2m_2^4 \\ = 3C_1^2 - 2((p_g + 1)m_1^4 m_2^4 - m_1^4 - m_2^4). \end{aligned}$$

We may write this answer more neatly as $3C_1^2 - 2C_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= m_1^2 m_2^2 (p_g + 1) - m_1^2 - m_2^2; \\ C_2 &= m_1^4 m_2^4 (p_g + 1) - m_1^4 - m_2^4. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

10. Proof of Lemmas 9.1, 9.2, and 9.4.

In this section we shall give a proof of the remaining results from the previous section.

Proof of Lemma 9.1. The lemma asserts the existence of an isomorphism from $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S) - Y_1 - Y_2$ to $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2 - Y'_1 - Y'_2$ extending the isomorphism given in (3.14). The isomorphism of (3.14) is defined on the open set U of $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ consisting of pairs of points $\{z_1, z_2\}$ such that z_1 and z_2 lie in distinct fibers, neither of which is singular or multiple. We must show that the map extends over the set of pairs $\{z_1, z_2\}$, where z_1 and z_2 lie in distinct fibers, one or both of which may be singular or multiple, as well as over the set of pairs Z where either Z is nonreduced but the support of Z does not lie in a multiple fiber or where $Z = \{z_1, z_2\}$ with z_1 and z_2 lying in the same nonmultiple fiber.

Let us first consider the case where z_1 and z_2 lie in distinct fibers. As in Section 7, choose an elliptic surface $T \rightarrow C$ with a section such that C is a finite cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$, generically branched except below the multiple fibers and T is the normalization of $S \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C$. Let $\varphi: T \rightarrow S$ be the natural map. There is also the map $\varphi_{e+1}: T \rightarrow J^{e+1}(S)$ defined by $\mathcal{P}$, i.e. if $q \in T$, f is the fiber containing q and $p = f \cap \sigma$, then $\varphi_{e+1}(q) = \mathcal{O}_f((e+2)p - q)$. We have constructed a universal bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow S \times T$ in Section 7 for the choices of w and p corresponding to the two-dimensional invariant. Let $\tilde{U} \subset T \times T$ be the open set of pairs of points (y_1, y_2) such that $\varphi(y_1)$ and $\varphi(y_2)$ lie in different fibers. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$ be the pullback of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ to $S \times \tilde{U}$ via the natural projection of $S \times \tilde{U} \subset S \times T \times T$ onto the first and second factors. We also have the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{P}$ on $S \times T$ defined at the beginning of Section 7. Let $\mathcal{P}'$ be the pullback of $\mathcal{P}$ to $S \times \tilde{U}$ defined by the projection of $S \times T \times T$ to the first and third factor. Thus given a point $(y_1, y_2) \in \tilde{U}$, the restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$ to the slice through (y_1, y_2) is an elementary modification of V_0 along the fiber containing $\varphi(y_1)$ and the restriction of $\mathcal{P}'$ to the slice through (y_1, y_2) is the direct image of a line bundle of degree $e+1$ on the fiber through $\varphi(y_2)$. Thus, letting π_2 denote the projection $S \times \tilde{U} \rightarrow \tilde{U}$, $\pi_{2*}(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1^\vee \otimes \mathcal{P}')$ is a line bundle on $\tilde{U}$, whose inverse we denote by $\mathcal{L}'$. Define $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$ as the kernel of the natural map $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}' \otimes \mathcal{L}'$. The proof of (8.2) shows that $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$ is a vector bundle whose restriction to each slice $S \times \{(y_1, y_2)\}$ is stable. The induced map $\tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_2$ then descends to a map from the open subset of $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ consisting of points lying in distinct fibers to $\mathfrak{M}_2$. (In fact, the proof shows that this morphism extends to a morphism defined on the complement of the divisor E of nonreduced points together with the proper transforms of $\text{Sym}^2 F_1$ and $\text{Sym}^2 F_2$.)

Next we must extend the morphism over the points of $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ corresponding to points lying in the same nonmultiple fiber and nonreduced points whose support does not lie in a multiple fiber. In order to do so, we will need the model for elliptic surfaces with a section constructed in Section 4. Let Z be a point of $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ such that $\text{Supp } Z$ lies in a single nonmultiple fiber f , and let X be a small neighborhood of f mapping properly to a disk inside $\mathbb{P}^1$. Thus there is a biholomorphic map from X to a neighborhood of the corresponding fiber in the Jacobian surface $J(S)$, and we may further assume that the image of $\text{Supp } Z$ does not meet the identity section σ under this map. Now the results of Section 4, after tensoring by $\mathcal{O}_X(e\sigma)$, give a rank two vector bundle V'_0 over X whose restriction to every fiber is stable of degree $2e+1$ and a rank two reflexive sheaf $\mathcal{V}_0$ over $X \times (\text{Hilb}^2 X - D_\sigma)$, flat over $H = \text{Hilb}^2 X - D_\sigma$, whose restriction to each slice is an elementary modification of V'_0 . Let V_0 denote as usual the bundle on S whose restriction to every fiber is stable. Then as in the proof of (8.1) there is a line bundle L on X such that $V_0|_X \cong V'_0 \otimes L$.

The sheaf $\mathcal{V}_0 \otimes \pi_1^* L$ has the following property. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset X \times H$ be the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ (x, z_1, z_2) \mid \pi(x) = \pi(z_i) \text{ for some } i \}.$$

Let p be a point of H and $\mathbb{U}$ a small neighborhood of p , which we can identify with a neighborhood of $Z \in \text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$. We can assume that $\mathbb{U}$ is a polydisk. There is a proper map $\Pi: (X \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow (D_0 \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}'$ induced by $\pi: X \rightarrow D_0$, where D_0 is the disk which is the base curve of X and

$$\mathcal{B}' = \{ (t, z_1, z_2) \mid t = \pi(z_i) \text{ for some } i \}.$$

By construction the restrictions of $\mathcal{V}_0 \otimes \pi_1^* L$ and $\pi_1^* V_0$ to each fiber of Π are isomorphic stable bundles on the fiber, which is reduced (possibly nodal). Thus $R^0 \Pi_* \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0 \otimes \pi_1^* L, \pi_1^* V_0)$ is a line bundle $\mathcal{F}$ on $(D_0 \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}'$. Both $\mathcal{V}_0 \otimes \pi_1^* L$ and $\pi_1^* V_0$ extend to coherent sheaves on $X \times \mathbb{U}$. Therefore $R^0 \Pi_* \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0 \otimes \pi_1^* L, \pi_1^* V_0) = \mathcal{F}$ extends to a coherent sheaf on $D_0 \times \mathbb{U}$, which we shall continue to denote by $\mathcal{F}$. Replacing $\mathcal{F}$ by its double dual if necessary, we can assume that it is reflexive, and thus since its rank is one that it is a line bundle. Since by assumption every line bundle on $D_0 \times \mathbb{U}$ is trivial, $R^0 \Pi_* \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_0 \otimes \pi_1^* L, \pi_1^* V_0)$ is a trivial line bundle on $(D_0 \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}'$, and we can thus choose an everywhere generating section. This section corresponds to a homomorphism from $\mathcal{V}_0 \otimes L$ to $\pi_1^* V_0$ over $(X \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}$ which is an isomorphism on every fiber. It follows that we can glue $\mathcal{V}_0 \otimes L$ to $\pi_1^* V_0$ over $(X \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}$. Since $\{X \times \mathbb{U}, (S \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}\}$ is an open cover of $S \times \mathbb{U}$ whose intersection is $(X \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}$, we have constructed an coherent sheaf on $S \times \mathbb{U}$, flat over $\mathbb{U}$. In this way we have extended the morphism from $\tilde{U} \cap \mathbb{U}$ over all of $\mathbb{U}$. So the morphism $U \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$ extends over all the points $Z \in \text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ such that $A \notin Y_1 \cup Y_2$. Clearly its image is exactly $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2 - Y'_1 - Y'_2$. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 9.2. We shall show that the divisor $\mu'(\Sigma)$ which is the natural extension of the restriction of $\mu(\Sigma)$ to $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S) - Y_1 - Y_2$ to a divisor on $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ is equal to $D_{\alpha_2} - ((f \cdot \Sigma)/2)E$. Recall that $H^2(\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)) \cong H^2(J^{e+1}(S)) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot [E/2]$. Also, given a point $y \in J^{e+1}(S)$, there is an induced morphism $\tau_y: \text{Bl}_y J^{e+1}(S) \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ defined on $J^{e+1}(S) - \{y\}$ by $\tau_y(x) = \{x, y\}$. If E_y is the exceptional divisor on $\text{Bl}_y J^{e+1}(S)$, then it is easy to see that $\tau_y^* D_\alpha = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in H^2(J^{e+1}(S))$ (where we have identified $H_2(J^{e+1}(S))$ and $H^2(J^{e+1}(S))$ and identified $H^2(J^{e+1}(S))$ with a subspace of $H^2(\text{Bl}_y J^{e+1}(S))$). Also $\tau_y^*[E] = 2[E_y]$, which can easily be checked by going up to the double cover of $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ which is the blowup of $J^{e+1}(S) \times J^{e+1}(S)$ along the diagonal. Similarly, suppose that $\varphi: T \rightarrow S$ is a finite cover as usual and consider the morphism $\varphi_{e+1}: T \rightarrow J^{e+1}(S)$ defined by $\mathcal{P}$, i.e. if $q \in T$, f is the fiber containing q and $p = f \cap \sigma$, then $\varphi_{e+1}(q) = \mathcal{O}_f((e+2)p - q)$. Suppose that y is a general point of $J^{e+1}(S)$ (and so does not lie on a multiple or singular fiber) and let $\varphi_{e+1}^{-1}(y) = \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$. Then there is an induced map $\tau: T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$, and clearly we have $\tau^* D_\alpha = \varphi^* \alpha$. In particular the map τ^* is injective on the subspace $H_2(J^{e+1}(S))$, and we can determine $\mu'(\Sigma)$ provided that we know $\tau^* \mu'(\Sigma)$ and $\mu'(\Sigma)|_{E_y}$. Note finally that the image of τ and E_y are contained in $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S) - Y_1 - Y_2$, so that we can calculate the μ -map by finding a universal family of coherent sheaves on $S \times (T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\})$ and over $S \times E_y$.

To find such a family, begin with the bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ over $S \times T$. We know that $\tilde{\mu}(\Sigma) = \varphi_{e+1}^* \alpha_1$, where $\tilde{\mu}$ is the natural μ -map defined on T and $\alpha_1 = \mu(\Sigma)$ is the μ -map for the two-dimensional invariant. Fix a general fiber f of S and a point $y \in J^{e+1}(S)$ corresponding to a line bundle λ of degree $e+1$ on f . Let $f_1, \dots, f_d$ be the fibers on T lying above f and $y_1, \dots, y_d$ the points of T corresponding to λ . We shall perform an elementary modification along the divisor $f \times T$ with respect to the line bundle $\pi_1^* \lambda$. This will run into trouble along $y_1, \dots, y_d$, so that we will restrict to $S \times (T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\})$. The upshot will be a family of stable torsion free sheaves on $S \times (T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\})$ such that the induced morphism $T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\} \rightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2$ is τ .

First let us calculate $\text{Hom}(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}|_{S \times (T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\})}, \pi_1^* \lambda)$. If V_t is the restriction

of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ to the slice $S \times \{t\}$, then V_t is an elementary modification of V_0 either at a fiber different from λ or along f with respect to a line bundle λ' of degree equal to $\deg \lambda$ but with $\lambda' \neq \lambda$. It follows that the map $\text{Hom}(V_0, \lambda) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V_t, \lambda)$ defined by the inclusion $V_t \subset V_0$ is a map between two one-dimensional spaces by (1.3)(i), and its kernel is $H^0((\lambda')^{-1} \otimes \lambda) = 0$. Thus $\text{Hom}(V_0, \lambda) \cong \text{Hom}(V_t, \lambda)$ and the induced map $R^0\pi_{2*}\pi_1^*(V_0^\vee \otimes \lambda) \rightarrow R^0\pi_{2*}(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}|S \times (T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\})^\vee \otimes \pi_1^*\lambda)$ is an isomorphism. As $R^0\pi_{2*}\pi_1^*(V_0^\vee \otimes \lambda)$ is the trivial line bundle, there is a unique homomorphism mod scalars from $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}|S \times (T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\})$ to $\pi_1^*\lambda$ and its restriction to each slice is the corresponding nonzero homomorphism on the slice. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$ be the kernel, so that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}|S \times (T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}) \rightarrow \pi_1^*\lambda.$$

Note that the right arrow fails to be surjective over the slice $S \times \{t\}$ only if $\varphi(t) \in f$, and in this case it vanishes at one point. Thus by (A.5) $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$ is reflexive and flat over $T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$, and is a family of torsion free sheaves parametrized by $T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$. The restriction of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$ to a general fiber in every slice is stable, and thus $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$ is a flat family of stable torsion free sheaves. Clearly the corresponding morphism to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ is τ .

Next we claim that

$$p_1(\text{ad } \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2) = p_1(\text{ad } \tilde{\mathcal{V}}) - 2d(f \otimes f) + \dots,$$

where the omitted terms do not affect slant product. Indeed the defining map $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}|S \times (T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}) \rightarrow \pi_1^*\lambda$ is surjective in codimension two, so that in calculating $p_1(\text{ad } \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2)$ we can in fact apply the formula (0.1) as if the map were surjective. Now (0.1) gives

$$p_1(\text{ad } \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2) = p_1(\text{ad } \tilde{\mathcal{V}}) + 2c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) \cdot (f \otimes 1) - 4i_*(\lambda \otimes 1) \cdot (f \otimes 1).$$

Using $c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) = \pi_1^*c_1(V_0) - [(f \otimes 1) + d(1 \otimes f)]$ and plugging in gives the claimed formula for $p_1(\text{ad } \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} -(p_1(\text{ad } \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2) \setminus \Sigma)/4 &= -(p_1(\text{ad } \tilde{\mathcal{V}}) \setminus \Sigma)/4 + d(f \cdot \Sigma)f/2 \\ &= \varphi_{e+1}^*(\alpha_1) + ((f \cdot \Sigma)/2)\varphi_{e+1}^*(f) \\ &= \varphi_{e+1}^*(\alpha_2), \end{aligned}$$

and the pullback of $\mu'(\Sigma)$ to $T - \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$ under τ is just $\varphi_{e+1}^*(\alpha_2)$. It follows that $\mu'(\Sigma) = D_{\alpha_2} + aE$ for some rational number a .

To determine the coefficient of E in $\mu'(\Sigma)$, fix a general fiber f of S and a line bundle λ of degree $e+1$ on f , which corresponds to a point $y \in J^{e+1}(S)$. The set of points of $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ whose support is $\{y\}$ is a curve $E_y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. We shall construct a universal sheaf $\mathcal{V}_2$ over $S \times E_y$ and show that $-(p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V}_2) \setminus \Sigma)/4 = (f \cdot \Sigma)$.

Begin with V which is obtained from V_0 by a single elementary modification along λ . Thus $V|f = \lambda \oplus \mu$ with $\deg \lambda = e+1$ and $\deg \mu = e$. By (1.3)(ii) $\dim \text{Hom}(V, \lambda) = 2$ and there is a unique nonzero homomorphism from V to λ which is not surjective, indeed which vanishes exactly at the point corresponding to the degree one line bundle $\lambda \otimes \mu^{-1}$. Identify $\mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(V, \lambda))$ with $\mathbb{P}^1$ (and with E_y). There is a general

construction [5] of a universal homomorphism $\Phi: \pi_1^* V \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \rightarrow \pi_1^* \lambda$. Thus we can define $\mathcal{V}_2$ to be its kernel:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2 \rightarrow \pi_1^* V \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1) \rightarrow \pi_1^* \lambda.$$

By (A.5) in the appendix, $\mathcal{V}_2$ is reflexive and flat over $\mathbb{P}^1$, and is a family of torsion free sheaves, which are locally free except for the point of $\mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to the non-surjective homomorphism. The restriction of $\mathcal{V}_2$ to a general fiber in every slice is stable, so that the restriction of $\mathcal{V}_2$ to each slice is a stable torsion free sheaf. The induced map to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$ is easily seen to be one-to-one with image E_y . We may again calculate $p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V}_2)$ by the formula of (0.1), noting that $c_1(\pi_1^* V \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) = \pi_1^* c_1(V) + 2\pi_2^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))$:

$$p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V}_2) = \pi_1^* p_1(\text{ad } V) + 2(\pi_1^* c_1(V) + 2\pi_2^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))) \cdot (f \otimes 1) - 4i_* \pi_1^* c_1(\lambda).$$

The only term which matters for slant product is the term $4\pi_2^* c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)) \cdot (f \otimes 1)$. Thus

$$\mu'(\Sigma) \cdot E_y = -(1/4)4(-1)(f \cdot \Sigma) = (f \cdot \Sigma).$$

Bearing in mind that $E \cdot E_y = -2$, it follows that the coefficient of E in $\mu'(\Sigma)$ is $-(f \cdot \Sigma)/2$. So putting this all together gives the final answer for $\mu'(\Sigma)$ in (9.2). $\square$

Proof of (9.4). The basic idea of the proof is similar to the idea of the proof of (9.1). Fix an analytic neighborhood X of the multiple fiber F_i as usual. Let X_e be the corresponding subset of $J^{e+1}(S)$. Then $\mathbb{X} = \text{Hilb}^2 X_e$ may be identified with an analytic open subset of $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ which is a neighborhood of Y_i . Under the birational map $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S) \dashrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2$, the open set $\mathbb{X}$ corresponds birationally to an open set $\mathbb{X}'$ which is a neighborhood of Y'_i . Moreover $\mathbb{X} - Y_i \cong \mathbb{X}' - Y'_i$.

Now let S_0 be another nodal elliptic surface containing a multiple fiber of multiplicity m_i and let X_0 be an analytic neighborhood of the multiple fiber. Let Δ_0 be a divisor on S_0 of fiber degree $2e+1$ and let V'_0 be a rank two vector bundle whose restriction to every fiber is stable. We suppose that X_0 is biholomorphic to X and identify them. We may then define $\mathbb{X}_0$ and $\mathbb{X}'_0$ analogously. There are also closed subsets of $\mathbb{X}_0$ and $\mathbb{X}'_0$ corresponding to Y_i and Y'_i , which we shall again denote by Y_i and Y'_i . Of course $\mathbb{X}_0 \cong \mathbb{X}$ under the identification $X_0 \cong X$. The main claim is then the following:

Claim. *There is a biholomorphic map $\mathbb{X}'_0 \cong \mathbb{X}'$ which is compatible with the isomorphisms*

$$\mathbb{X}'_0 - Y'_i \cong \mathbb{X}_0 - Y_i \cong \mathbb{X} - Y_i \cong \mathbb{X}' - Y'_i.$$

Proof. For emphasis, we will write $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2(S)$ for the moduli space for S , and similarly $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2(S_0)$ for the moduli space for S_0 . We shall glue $\mathbb{X}'_0$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2(S) - Y'_i$ along $\mathbb{X} - Y_i$, and show that the result maps to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2(S)$, compatibly with the inclusion $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2(S) - Y'_i \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2(S)$. This will define a proper morphism from $\mathbb{X}'_0$ to $\mathbb{X}'$ of degree one which is an isomorphism in codimension one, and thus is an isomorphism by Zariski's Main Theorem.

We must show that the inclusion $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2(S) - Y'_i \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2(S)$ extends to a morphism from $\mathbb{X}'_0$ to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_2(S)$. It suffices to do so locally around each point of $\mathbb{X}'_0$. Given an arbitrary point $p \in \mathbb{X}'_0$, let $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{X}'_0$ be an open neighborhood of p which is biholomorphic to a polydisk, so that $\text{Pic } \mathbb{U} = 0$, and such that there exists a universal

sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{U}}$ over $S_0 \times \mathbb{U}$. Denote again the restriction of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{U}}$ to $X_0 \times \mathbb{U} = X \times \mathbb{U}$ by $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{U}}$. Letting as usual V_0 denote the rank two bundle on S whose restriction to every fiber is stable, we have seen that there is a line bundle L on X such that $V'_0 \otimes L \cong V_0$. Now view $\mathbb{U} - Y'_i$ as an open subset of $\mathbb{X} - Y_i \subset \text{Hilb}^2 X$. As in the proof of (9.1) we have the locus $\mathcal{B} \subset X \times \mathbb{U}$ which is the closure of the set

$$\{ (x, z_1, z_2) \mid \pi(x) = \pi(z_i) \text{ for some } i \}.$$

The set $\mathcal{B}$ is a closed analytic subset both of $X \times \mathbb{U}$ and of $S \times \mathbb{U}$. The two sets $(S \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}$ and $X \times \mathbb{U}$ cover $S \times \mathbb{U}$ and their intersection is $(X \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}$. We shall show that there is an isomorphism of the restriction of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{U}} \otimes \pi_1^* L$ to $(X \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}$ with $\pi_1^* V_0$.

Let $\Pi: (X \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B} \rightarrow (D_0 \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}'$ be the projection, where D_0 is the base of X and, as in the proof of (9.1),

$$\mathcal{B}' = \{ (t, z_1, z_2) \mid t = \pi(z_i) \text{ for some } i \}.$$

By construction, the restriction of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{U}} \otimes \pi_1^* L$ to the reduction of every fiber of Π is stable, and hence isomorphic to the restriction of $\pi_1^* V_0$ to the fiber. Consider $R^0 \Pi_* \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{U}} \otimes \pi_1^* L, \pi_1^* V_0)$. By base change and (1.5) for the case of a multiple fiber, this is a line bundle on $(D_0 \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}'$. On the other hand, both $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{U}} \otimes \pi_1^* L$ and $\pi_1^* V_0$ extend to coherent sheaves on $X \times \mathbb{U}$, so that $R^0 \Pi_* \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{U}} \otimes \pi_1^* L, \pi_1^* V_0)$ also extends to a coherent sheaf on $D_0 \times \mathbb{U}$. Arguing as in the proof of (9.1), $R^0 \Pi_* \text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{U}} \otimes \pi_1^* L, \pi_1^* V_0)|_{(D_0 \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}'}$ is a trivial line bundle and we may choose a section of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{U}} \otimes \pi_1^* L, \pi_1^* V_0)$ which generates the fiber at every point. This section then defines an isomorphism from $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{U}} \otimes \pi_1^* L$ to $\pi_1^* V_0$ over $(X \times \mathbb{U}) - \mathcal{B}$. Thus we may define a coherent sheaf over $S \times \mathbb{U}$, flat over $\mathbb{U}$, which by construction is a family of stable torsion free sheaves on S . This sheaf defines a morphism from $\mathbb{U}$ to $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2(S)$ which is the desired extension. Doing this for a neighborhood of every point of $\mathbb{X}'_0$ defines the extension over all of $\mathbb{X}'_0$. $\square$

We return to the proof of (9.4). The proof will now follow from standard algebraic topology. We have the moduli spaces $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2(S)$ for S and corresponding moduli spaces $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S_0)$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2(S_0)$ for S_0 . There are also the divisors $\mu'(\Sigma)$ on $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ and $\mu(\Sigma)$ on $\overline{\mathfrak{M}}_2(S)$, as well as the corresponding divisors $\mu'_0(\Sigma_0)$ and $\mu_0(\Sigma_0)$ for S_0 . Here $\Sigma \in H_2(S)$ and $\Sigma_0 \in H_2(S_0)$. Finally we have the open sets $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_0$ and $\mathbb{X}' \cong \mathbb{X}'_0$.

Claim. *If $\Sigma \cdot f = \Sigma_0 \cdot f$, then $\mu'(\Sigma)|_{H^2(\mathbb{X})} = \mu'(\Sigma_0)|_{H^2(\mathbb{X})}$.*

Proof. We have $H^2(\mathbb{X}) \cong H^2(Y_i)$ by restriction. Here Y_i is the total transform of $\text{Sym}^2 F_i$ in $\text{Hilb}^2 X$ and consists of two components. One of these is the proper transform of $\text{Sym}^2 F_i$ and the other is the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over F_i consisting of non-reduced length two subschemes whose support lies in F_i . Clearly, if $D_\alpha + aE$ is a divisor in $H^2(\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S))$, then the restriction of $D_\alpha + aE$ to Y_i depends only on $\alpha \cdot f$ and a . By Lemma 9.2, $\mu'(\Sigma) = D_{\alpha_2} - ((f \cdot \Sigma)/2)E$, where $\alpha_2 \cdot f = \alpha_1 \cdot f = 2(f \cdot \Sigma)$. A similar statement holds for $\mu'_0(\Sigma_0)$. Thus $\mu'(\Sigma)|_{H^2(\mathbb{X})} = \mu'(\Sigma_0)|_{H^2(\mathbb{X})}$. $\square$

To compare $\mu'(\Sigma)^4$ with $\mu(\Sigma)^4$, shrink $\mathbb{X}$ slightly so that it is a manifold with boundary ∂ . Thus $\mathbb{X}'$ can also be shrunk slightly so that its boundary is ∂ . Form the closed oriented 8-manifold $\mathbb{Y}$ which is $\mathbb{X}$ glued to $-\mathbb{X}'$ along ∂ . Doing the

same construction with $\mathbb{X}_0$ and $\mathbb{X}'_0$ gives an 8-manifold $\mathbb{Y}_0$ diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{Y}$. Given $\Sigma \in H_2(S)$, the divisor $\mu'(\Sigma)$ induces a class $\xi(i) \in H^2(\mathbb{Y})$, and likewise $\Sigma_0 \in H_2(S_0)$ induces a class $\xi_0(i) \in H^2(\mathbb{Y}_0)$. It follows from the above claim that if $\Sigma \cdot f = \Sigma_0 \cdot f$, then the classes $\xi(i)$ and $\xi_0(i)$ agree under the natural identification of $\mathbb{Y}$ with $\mathbb{Y}_0$. Next we claim

Claim. $\mu'(\Sigma)^4 - \mu(\Sigma)^4 = \xi(1)^4 + \xi(2)^4$.

Proof. After passing to a multiple, we can assume that $\mu'(\Sigma)$ is represented by a submanifold $\mathbf{M}$ of $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$. Perturbing slightly, we can find four submanifolds $\mathbf{M}_1, \dots, \mathbf{M}_4$ of $\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S)$ whose signed intersection is $\mu'(\Sigma)^4$. The closure of the image of $\mathbf{M}_i$ in $\mathbb{X}'$ is the image of a blowup of $\mathbf{M}_i$. Restricting $\mathbf{M}_i$ to $\mathbb{X}$ and glue it to its closure in image in $\mathbb{X}'$ gives a stratified subspace M_i of $\mathbb{Y}$ representing $\xi(i)$. Taking small general deformations of $\mathbf{M}_i$ gives stratified subspaces M'_1, M'_2, M'_3, M'_4 meeting transversally in finitely many points whose signed intersection number calculates $\xi(i)^4$. After a small perturbation, we may glue $M'_i \cap \mathbb{X}_0$ to $\mathbf{M}_i|_{\text{Hilb}^2 J^{e+1}(S) - \mathbb{X}}$ and use these to calculate $\mu(\Sigma)^4$. Clearly the discrepancy between $\mu'(\Sigma)^4$ and $\mu(\Sigma)^4$ is counted by $\xi(1)^4 + \xi(2)^4$. $\square$

Now $\xi(i)^4$ depends only on $(f \cdot \Sigma)$, e , and an analytic neighborhood X of the multiple fiber and is homogeneous of degree four in Σ . Thus we can write $\xi(i)^4 = d(e, m_i)(f \cdot \Sigma)^4$ for some rational number $d(e, m_i)$ depending only on the analytic neighborhood X , where $d(e, 1) = 0$. Using the previous claim, we see that

$$\mu'(\Sigma)^4 - \mu(\Sigma)^4 = m_1^4 m_2^4 (d(e, m_1) + d(e, m_2))(\kappa \cdot \Sigma)^4,$$

as claimed in Lemma 9.4. $\square$

Appendix: Elementary modifications.

In this appendix, we consider the following problem (and its generalizations): let X be a smooth projective scheme or compact complex manifold, let T be smooth and let D be a smooth divisor on T . Suppose that $\mathcal{W}$ is a rank two vector bundle over $X \times T$, and that L is a line bundle on X . Let $i: X \times D \rightarrow X \times T$ be the inclusion, and suppose that there is a surjection $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow i_* \pi_1^* L$ defining $\mathcal{V}$ as an elementary modification:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow i_* \pi_1^* L \rightarrow 0.$$

For $t \in T$, let $W_t = \mathcal{W}|_{X \times \{t\}}$ and $V_t = \mathcal{V}|_{X \times \{t\}}$. If 0 is a reference point of D , then there are two extensions

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0; \\ 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow V_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular the second exact sequence defines an extension class $\xi \in H^1(M^{-1} \otimes L)$. We want a formula for ξ and in particular we want to know some conditions which guarantee that $\xi \neq 0$.

Proposition A.1. *Let θ be the Kodaira-Spencer map for the family $\mathcal{W}$ of vector bundles over X , so that θ is a map from the tangent space of T at 0 to $H^1(\text{Hom}(W_0, W_0))$. Let $\partial/\partial t$ be a normal vector to D at 0. Then the image of $\theta(\partial/\partial t)$ in $H^1(M^{-1} \otimes L)$ under the natural map $H^1(\text{Hom}(W_0, W_0)) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Hom}(M, L)) = H^1(M^{-1} \otimes L)$ is independent mod scalars of the choice of $\partial/\partial t$ and is the extension class corresponding to V_0 . $\blacksquare$*

Proof. Since W_0 is given as an extension, there is an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X and transition functions for W_0 with respect to the cover $\{U_i\}$ of the form

$$\bar{A}_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{ij} & * \\ 0 & \mu_{ij} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Letting t be a local equation for D near 0, we can then choose transition functions for $\mathcal{W}$ of the form $A_{ij} = \bar{A}_{ij} + tB_{ij}$. With these choices of trivialization, a basis of local sections for $\mathcal{V}$ on $U_i \times T$ is of the form $\{e_1, te_2\}$. Thus the transition functions for $\mathcal{V}$ are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot (\bar{A}_{ij} + tB_{ij}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $B_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, then a calculation shows that the transition functions are equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{ij} & t* \\ 0 & \mu_{ij} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} ta & t^2b \\ c & td \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{ij} & 0 \\ c & \mu_{ij} \end{pmatrix} + tB'_{ij}.$$

Here c is a matrix coefficient which naturally corresponds to the image of B_{ij} in $\text{Hom}(M, L)$. The proposition is just the intrinsic formulation of this local calculation. $\square$

Note. The proof shows that, if the extension does split, then we can repeat the process, viewing V_0 again as extension of L by M . Either this procedure will eventually terminate, creating a nonsplit extension at the generic point of D , or $\mathcal{W}$ was globally an extension in a neighborhood of D .

Let us give another proof for (A.1) in intrinsic terms which, although less explicit, will generalize. There are canonical identifications $H^1(\text{Hom}(W_0, W_0)) = \text{Ext}^1(W_0, W_0)$ and $H^1(\text{Hom}(M, L)) = \text{Ext}^1(M, L)$. For simplicity assume that $\dim T = 1$. Note that if we restrict the defining exact sequence for $\mathcal{V}$ to $X \times C$, where C is a smooth curve in T transverse to D , then the sequence remains exact (since $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/tR, R/sR) = 0$ if t and s are relatively prime elements of the regular local ring R). Thus we can always restrict to the case where $\dim T = 1$. Now $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^2)$ is a subscheme of T , and we can restrict $\mathcal{W}$ to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^2)$ to get a bundle $\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$. The bundle $\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$ is naturally an extension

$$0 \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

and the associated class in $\text{Ext}^1(W_0, W_0)$ is the Kodaira-Spencer class. The natural map $\text{Ext}^1(W_0, W_0) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M, L)$ is defined on the level of extensions as follows: given an extension $\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$ of W_0 by W_0 , let $\mathcal{E}$ be the preimage of M in $\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$, so that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Given the map $W_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, the quotient $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{E} \oplus L)/W_0$, where W_0 maps diagonally into each summand, surjects onto M by taking the composition of the projection to $\mathcal{E}$ with the given map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$. The kernel is naturally L . Thus $\mathcal{F}$ is an extension of M by L , and it is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds to the image of the extension class for $\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$ under the natural map. Finally note that, since $W_0 \rightarrow L$ is surjective, there is a natural identification of $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{E} \oplus L)/W_0$ with $\mathcal{E}/M$ where we take the image of M under the map $M \rightarrow W_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$.

On the other hand, restricting the defining exact sequence for $\mathcal{V}$ to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^2)$ gives a new exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

If we set $\mathcal{E}$ to be the image of $\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon$ in $\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$, then it is clear that $\mathcal{E}$ is the inverse image of $M \subset W_0$ under the natural map. Now there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon/L \cong \mathcal{E}$, and it is easy to see that this isomorphism identifies V_0 with $\mathcal{E}/M$ under the natural maps, compatibly with the extensions. Thus the extension of M by L defined by V_0 has an extension class equal to the image of the extension class of $\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$ in $\text{Ext}^1(M, L)$ under the natural map.

With this said, here is the promised generalization of (A.1):

Proposition A.2. *With notation at the beginning of this section, let $\mathcal{W}$ be a rank two reflexive sheaf over $X \times T$, flat over T , let D be a reduced divisor on T , not necessarily smooth and let $i: D \rightarrow T$ be the inclusion. Suppose that L is a line bundle on X and that $\mathcal{Z}$ is a codimension two subscheme of $X \times D$, flat over D . Suppose further that $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow i_*\pi_1^*L \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a surjection, and let $\mathcal{V}$ be its kernel:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow i_*\pi_1^*L \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Then:

- (i) $\mathcal{V}$ is reflexive and flat over T .
- (ii) For each $t \in D$, there are exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow M \otimes I_{Z'} \rightarrow W_t \rightarrow L \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow 0; \\ 0 \rightarrow L \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow V_t \rightarrow M \otimes I_{Z'} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where Z is the subscheme of X defined by $\mathcal{Z}$ for the slice $X \times \{t\}$ and Z' is a subscheme of X of codimension at least two.

- (iii) If D is smooth, then the extension class corresponding to V_t in $\text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{\mathcal{W}}, L \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}})$ is defined by the image of the normal vector to D at t under the composition of the Kodaira-Spencer map from the tangent space of T at t to $\text{Ext}^1(W_t, W_t)$, followed by the natural map $\text{Ext}^1(W_t, W_t) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, L \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}})$.

Proof. First note that $\mathcal{V}$ is a subsheaf of $\mathcal{W}$ and is therefore torsion free. Given an open set U of $X \times T$ and a closed subscheme Y of U of codimension at least two, let s be a section of $\mathcal{V}$ defined on $U - Y$. Then s extends to a section $\tilde{s}$ of $\mathcal{W}$ over U since $\mathcal{W}$ is reflexive. Moreover the image of $\tilde{s}$ in $H^0(U \cap D; L \otimes I_{\mathcal{Z}})$ vanishes in codimension one and thus everywhere. Thus $\tilde{s}$ defines a section of $\mathcal{V}$ over U , and so $\mathcal{V}$ is reflexive. That it is flat over T follows from the next lemma:

Lemma A.3. *Let R be a ring and t an element of R which is not a zero divisor. Let I be an R/tR -module which is flat over R/tR . For an R -module N , let N_t be the kernel of multiplication by t on N .*

- (i) *For all R -modules N , $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(I, N) = I \otimes_{R/tR} N_t$, and $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(I, N) = 0$ for all $i > 1$.*
- (ii) *Suppose that there is an exact sequence of R -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0,$$

where M_1 is flat over R . Then M_2 is flat over R as well.

Proof. The statement (i) is easy if $I = R/tR$, by taking the free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\times t} R \rightarrow R/tR \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus it holds more generally if I is a free R/tR -module. In general, start with a free resolution $F^\bullet$ of I . By standard homological algebra (see e.g. [EGA III 6.3.2]) there is a spectral sequence with E_1 term $\mathrm{Tor}_p^R(F^q, N)$ which converges to $\mathrm{Tor}_{p+q}^R(I, N)$. The only nonzero rows correspond to $p = 0, 1$ and the row for $p = 1$ is the complex $F^\bullet \otimes_{R/tR} N_t$. Since I is flat, this complex is exact except in dimension zero and is a resolution of $I \otimes_{R/tR} N_t$. Since $F^q \otimes_R N = F^q \otimes_{R/tR} (N \otimes_R R/tR)$, the flatness of I over R/tR implies that the row for $p = 0$ is exact except in dimension zero. Thus $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(I, N) = I \otimes_{R/tR} N_t$.

The second statement now follows since, for every R -module N , the long exact sequence for Tor defines an isomorphism, for all $i \geq 1$, from $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(M_2, N)$ to $\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^R(I, N) = 0$. $\square$

Returning to (A.2), let us prove (ii). There is a surjection $W_t \rightarrow L \otimes I_Z$ and the kernel of this surjection is a rank one torsion free sheaf on X , which is thus of the form $M \otimes I_{Z'}$ for some subscheme Z' of X of codimension at least two. Now there is an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{X \times T}}(i_* \pi_1^* L \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \{t\}}) \rightarrow V_t \rightarrow W_t \rightarrow L \otimes I_Z \rightarrow 0.$$

In the Tor_1 term, the first sheaf is an $\mathcal{O}_{X \times D}$ -module, flat over D , and the second is an $\mathcal{O}_D$ -module. Using (i) of (A.3) identifies $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{X \times T}}(i_* \pi_1^* L \otimes I_Z, \mathcal{O}_{X \times \{t\}})$ with $L \otimes I_Z$. Thus we obtain the exact sequence for V_t .

Finally, the identification of the extension class in (iii) is formally identical to the second proof of (A.1) given above and will not be repeated. $\square$

Next we shall give some criteria for when the extension is nonsplit. The simplest case is when the Kodaira-Spencer map is an isomorphism at 0. In this case we can check whether or not the extension is split by looking at the map $\mathrm{Ext}^1(W_t, W_t) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, L \otimes I_Z)$. Thus the problem is essentially cohomological. A similar application concerns the case where T is the blowup of a universal family along the locus where the sheaves are extensions of $L \otimes I_Z$ by $M \otimes I_{Z'}$. In our applications, however, we shall need a more general situation and will have to analyze some first order information about the family $\mathcal{W}$. For simplicity we shall assume that $\dim T = 1$, with t a coordinate. It is an easy consequence of (A.3)(i) that the general case can be reduced to this special case by taking a curve in T transverse to D .

Proposition A.4. *In the notation of (A.3), let $\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{W}$ to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]/t^2$. Suppose that*

- (i) $\text{Hom}(M \otimes I_{Z'}, L \otimes I_Z) = 0$.
- (ii) *The map from $\text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon)/t \text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon)$ to $\text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon)$ induced by multiplication by t has a one-dimensional kernel.*

Then we may identify the kernel with a line in $\text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, W_0)$, and if the image of this line in $\text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, L \otimes I_Z)$ is $\mathbb{C} \cdot \xi$ then the corresponding extension class is ξ .

Proof. From the first assumption $\dim \text{Hom}(W_0, W_0) = 1$. Thus if θ is the Kodaira-Spencer class, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, W_0)/\mathbb{C} \cdot \theta \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, W_0).$$

Multiplication by t induces the natural map

$$\text{Im}(\text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon)) \subseteq \text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, W_0) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, W_0)/\mathbb{C} \cdot \theta.$$

If this map has a kernel then clearly $\theta \in \text{Im}(\text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon))$ and the kernel is $\mathbb{C} \cdot \theta$. The image of the kernel in $\text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, L \otimes I_Z)$ is then just the image of the Kodaira-Spencer class. $\square$

Here is the typical way we will apply the above: suppose that $\mathcal{W}$ is locally free and that $Z' = \emptyset$. Then $\text{Ext}^1(M \otimes I_{Z'}, \mathcal{W}_\varepsilon) = R^1\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{W}_\varepsilon \otimes \pi_1^*M^{-1})$. Suppose in addition that $\mathcal{W}$ is globally an extension:

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1^*\mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \pi_1^*\mathcal{L}_2 \otimes I_Y \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{Y} \subset X \times T$ is flat over T . Thus there is a map

$$R^0\pi_{2*}(\pi_1^*\mathcal{L}_2 \otimes I_Y \otimes \pi_1^*M^{-1}) \rightarrow R^1\pi_{2*}\pi_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes M^{-1})$$

whose cokernel sits inside $R^1\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{W} \otimes \pi_1^*M^{-1})$. A similar statement is true when we restrict to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^2)$. Now suppose that $\dim H^0(X; \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes M^{-1} \otimes I_{Y_t})$ is independent of t . Then the sheaves $R^0\pi_{2*}(\pi_1^*\mathcal{L}_2 \otimes I_Y \otimes \pi_1^*M^{-1})$ and $R^1\pi_{2*}\pi_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes M^{-1})$ are locally free and compatible with base change, by [EGA III, 7.8.3, 7.8.4, 7.7.5] so if we know that the map between them has a determinant which vanishes simply along D then the same will be true for the restrictions to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^2)$. The image in $R^1\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{W} \otimes \pi_1^*M^{-1})$ is the direct image of a line bundle $\mathcal{K}$ on D . Furthermore suppose that $\dim H^1(X; \mathcal{L}_2 \otimes M^{-1} \otimes I_{Y_t})$ is independent of t . Then $R^0\pi_{2*}(\pi_1^*\mathcal{L}_2 \otimes I_Y \otimes \pi_1^*M^{-1})$ is locally free and compatible with base change. If it is nonzero suppose further that $R^2\pi_{2*}\pi_1^*(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes M^{-1}) = 0$. Thus the torsion part of $R^1\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{W} \otimes \pi_1^*M^{-1})$ is just $\mathcal{K}$ and the restriction of $\mathcal{K}$ to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[t]/(t^2)$ gives the kernel of multiplication by t as in (ii). We can then take the map from the torsion part of $R^1\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{W} \otimes \pi_1^*M^{-1})_0$, namely the image of $H^1(\mathcal{L}_1 \otimes M^{-1})$, to $H^1(L \otimes I_Z \otimes M^{-1}) = \text{Ext}^1(M, L \otimes I_Z)$ and this image gives the extension class.

We will also need to consider a slightly different situation. Suppose that $\mathcal{W}$ is a rank two vector bundle on $X \times T$, E is a smooth divisor on X and L is a line bundle on $E \times T$. Let $j: E \times T \rightarrow X \times T$ be the inclusion and let $\Phi: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow j_*L$ be a morphism. We may think of Φ as a family of morphisms parametrized by T . In local coordinates Φ is given by two functions f, g on $E \times T$, whose vanishing defines a subscheme Y of $E \times T$. Away from the projection $\pi_2(Y)$ of Y to T , Φ defines a family of elementary modifications which degenerates over $\pi_2(Y)$ at the points of Y .

Proposition A.5. *Let $\Phi: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow j_*L$ be a morphism as above and suppose that the cokernel of Φ is supported on a nonempty codimension two subset Y of $E \times T$, necessarily a local complete intersection. Suppose further that, for each $t \in T$, the codimension of $Y \cap (X \times \{t\})$ in $X \times \{t\}$ is at least two if $Y \cap (E \times \{t\}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the kernel of Φ . Then $\mathcal{V}$ is a reflexive sheaf, flat over T , and its restriction to each slice $X \times \{t\}$ is a torsion free sheaf on X .*

Proof. The proof of (A.2)(i) shows that $\mathcal{V}$ is reflexive. As for the rest, the problem is local around a point of Y . Let R be the local ring of $X \times T$ at a point (x, t) , R' the local ring of T at t , and S the local ring of $X \times \{t\}$ at (x, t) . Let u be the local equation for E in $X \times T$. Then locally Φ corresponds to a map $R \oplus R \rightarrow R/uR$, necessarily given by elements $\bar{f}, \bar{g} \in R/uR$. Lift $\bar{f}$ and $\bar{g}$ to elements $f, g \in R$. Then $(u, f, g)R$ is the ideal of Y in R , and Y has codimension three in $X \times T$. Thus u, f, g is a regular sequence, any two of the three are relatively prime, and necessarily $\dim R \geq 3$.

The kernel M of the map $R \oplus R \rightarrow R/uR$ given by $(a, b) \mapsto a\bar{f} + b\bar{g}$ is clearly generated by $(-g, f)$, $(u, 0)$, and $(0, u)$. These three elements define a surjection $R \oplus R \oplus R \rightarrow M$. The kernel of this surjection is easily calculated to be $R \cdot (u, g, -f)$. Thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R \oplus R \oplus R \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

This sequence restricts to define

$$S \rightarrow S \oplus S \oplus S \rightarrow M \otimes_R S \rightarrow 0.$$

Here the image of S in $S \oplus S \oplus S$ is equal to $S \cdot (u, g, -f)$, where we denote the images of u, f, g in S by the same letter. By hypothesis, not all of u, f, g vanish on $X \times \{t\}$ and so this map is injective. By the local criterion of flatness M is flat over R' . Finally we must show that $M \otimes_R S$ is a torsion free S -module. By hypothesis $u, g, -f$ generate the ideal of a subscheme of $\text{Spec } S$ of codimension at least two and thus u does not divide both f and g in S . Given $h \in S$ with $h \neq 0$, suppose that $hm = 0$ for some $m \in M \otimes_R S$. Then there is $(a, b, c) \in S \oplus S \oplus S$ such that $h(a, b, c) = \alpha(u, g, -f)$. We claim that $u|a$. To see this, let n be the largest integer such that $u^n|h$. Then $u^n|hb = \alpha g$ and likewise $u^n|\alpha f$. Since at least one of f, g is prime to u , $u^n|\alpha$. But then $u^{n+1}|\alpha u = ha$, so that $u|a$. If $a = ua'$, then $\alpha = ha'$ and so $hb = ha'g$ and $b = a'g$. Likewise $c = a'(-f)$. Thus $(a, b, c) = a'(u, g, -f)$ and its image in $M \otimes_R S$ is zero. It follows that $M \otimes_R S$ is torsion free. $\square$

Let us finally remark that we can calculate the class $p_1(\text{ad } \mathcal{V})$, in the above notation, by applying the formula (0.1), since Φ is surjective in codimension two.

REFERENCES

1. M. Atiyah, *Vector bundles over an elliptic curve*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **7** (1957), 414–452.
2. S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer, *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*, Clarendon, Oxford, 1990.
3. R. Friedman, *Rank two vector bundles over regular elliptic surfaces*, Inventiones Math. **96** (1989), 283–332.
4. ———, *Vector bundles and $SO(3)$ -invariants for elliptic surfaces I, II* (to appear).
5. ———, *Stable Vector Bundles on Algebraic Varieties*, in preparation.

6. R. Friedman and J. W. Morgan, *Smooth 4-manifolds and Complex Surfaces* (to appear).
 7. W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1984.
 8. Y. Kametani and Y. Sato, *0-dimensional moduli spaces of stable rank 2 bundles and differentiable structures on regular elliptic surfaces*, preprint.
 9. J. Li, *Algebraic geometric interpretation of Donaldson's polynomial invariants of algebraic surfaces* (to appear).
 10. J. W. Morgan, *Comparison of the Donaldson polynomial invariants with their algebro-geometric analogues* (to appear).
 11. K. O'Grady, *Algebro-geometric analogues of Donaldson's polynomials*, *Inventiones Math.* **107** (1992), 351–395.
- EGA. A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents*, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* **17** (1963), 137–223.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NY 10027

E-mail address: rf@math.columbia.edu